## Contents

Overview of Public Expenditure.............................................................................................................. 1  
Agriculture, Food & the Marine............................................................................................................. 6  
Business Enterprise & Innovation......................................................................................................... 12  
Children & Youth Affairs .................................................................................................................... 18  
Communications, Climate Action & Environment............................................................................. 29  
Culture, Heritage & the Gaeltacht ....................................................................................................... 39  
Defence........................................................................................................................................... 46  
Education & Skills........................................................................................................................... 54  
Employment Affairs & Social Protection ........................................................................................... 69  
Finance........................................................................................................................................... 77  
Foreign Affairs & Trade.................................................................................................................... 86  
Health............................................................................................................................................ 92  
Housing, Planning & Local Government.......................................................................................... 106  
Justice & Equality........................................................................................................................... 118  
Public Expenditure & Reform .......................................................................................................... 131  
Rural & Community Development................................................................................................. 151  
Taoiseach......................................................................................................................................... 158  
Transport, Tourism & Sport............................................................................................................. 164
Overview of Public Expenditure

Introduction
Gross voted public expenditure amounted to €67.2 billion in 2019. This set a new record and facilitated the delivery of a myriad of public service across key sectors such as social protection, health, education and justice. In the absence of Covid19, Exchequer spending was due to reach €71 billion in 2020. However, the impact of the pandemic has necessitated the allocation of significant additional resources to protect people, business and the economy. While this report predominantly shows trends in expenditure up to and including 2019, the sections that follow will also highlight where these COVID pressures have emerged and where they are likely to continue to feature at least into next year.

High level Trends in Public Expenditure
Following the global financial crisis period, expenditure has been growing by 4 per cent on average each year. In 2009 total gross voted expenditure reached a peak of €63.1 billion. Over the period of 2009–2014, there was significant expenditure consolidation. The implementation of the 5 year consolidation led to a 14 per cent reduction in spending and expenditure decreasing to €54.1 billion in 2014.

With the improvement in public finances after the consolidation period, there has been modest growth in expenditure from the end of 2014 and increasing by 20%, between 2014–2019. In 2019, expenditure was at a peak of €67.2 billion.

Figure 1: Gross Voted Government Expenditure 2009-2019

Figure 1 illustrates voted expenditure over the past decade. It shows the development of current and capital expenditure, with pay and pension related expenditure and non-pay related expenditure subdivided.
A third of total expenditure in 2019 related to current expenditure on pay and pensions. Non-pay related expenditure has remained consistent over the past decade at 57% in 2009 and 56% in 2019. Over the period of 2009-2019 capital expenditure has experienced the greatest fluctuation, accounting for 12% of gross expenditure in 2009, decreasing to a 7% share in 2014 and currently accounting for 11% of total expenditure for 2019.

Distribution of Public Expenditure

**Figure 2: Allocation of Gross Government Expenditure by Policy Area 2009 and 2019 (€bn)**

Figure 2 illustrates expenditure in the various policy areas in 2009 and 2019. It is important to note that Figure 2 attempts to control for the changes in Health expenditure. This is due to a number of significant changes in health expenditure, thus making it difficult to compare expenditure on a like-for-like basis. These changes include the transfer of Children and Families expenditure to the Children and Youth Affairs Vote, the transfer of the Domiciliary Care Allowance to the Social Protection Vote and the disestablishment of the HSE Vote.

In 2009, four policy areas: social protection, health, education and justice accounted for 78% of total government expenditure approximately. While expenditure in these areas fluctuated over the period, the percentage share of those four policy areas had the same share of expenditure in 2019.
There was significant investment in capital expenditure in the years leading to the economic crisis. Capital expenditure reached a peak of approximately €9 billion in 2008. Public investment was significantly constrained due to consolidation efforts to maintain the provision of public services. Figure 3 shows that capital expenditure reached a low of just under €3.4 billion in 2013.

From 2014 onwards, investment in capital expenditure began to grow. The National Development Plan, published in 2018, sets out investment of €116 billion over the ten-year period 2018 to 2027. The capital expenditure allocation for 2020 is €8.2 billion.

Drivers of Public Expenditure
Throughout this report a range of expenditure drivers have been identified within each of the Vote Chapters. Below is a brief summary of the key drivers of public expenditure.

Covid-19
COVID-19 has had a significant effect on public expenditure with areas such as health, social protection and business experiencing the most demand. In response to the crisis, almost €14 billion has been allocated across a range of areas. See table 1 below for details.
### Table 1: Covid-19 Expenditure Measures 2020, €m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Expenditure (€m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agriculture, Food and the Marine</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Food and the Marine</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef Sector Support</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business, Enterprise and Innovation</strong></td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Supports to end June</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further Business Supports in July Stimulus</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communications, Climate Action and Environment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound and Vision Fund</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht</strong></td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Arts Council Funding</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for Gaeltacht/Islands</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Cultural and Heritage Supports</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education and Skills</strong></td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadmap for Reopening Schools</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Funding for Further and Higher Education</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Training Fund</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment Affairs and Social Protection</strong></td>
<td>9,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandemic Unemployment Payment</td>
<td>3,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Wage Subsidy Scheme</td>
<td>4,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Supports (includes Automatic Stabilisers, Illness Benefit and Activation Measures)</td>
<td>1,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health</strong></td>
<td>1,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing Capacity, Purchase of Equipment, PPE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Housing Planning and Local Government</strong></td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Rates Waiver</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justice and Equality</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Expenditure and Reform</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus (OPW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rural and Community Development</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taoiseachs</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covid-19 Coordination Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport, Tourism and Sport</strong></td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Service Obligation</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports and Recreation</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Works July Stimulus</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>14,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While it remains unclear what the scale of the impact of this public health emergency will be on the economy and the public finances, policy response to the COVID-19 crisis will require an international response driven at EU level. However were solutions cannot be found, Ireland will be faced with tough public expenditure choices including raising taxes to continue to fund a higher level of expenditure, funding a higher level of expenditure through fiscal deficits or reducing public expenditure in line with the reduction in tax revenues.

Demographics
A significant driver of expenditure is the demographic profile of the country. The headline demographic estimates have increased from the previous projections from 2016. The latest projection estimates the cost of demographics for 2020 at €511m, this is higher than the 2016 projection estimate of €435m. Between 2020 and 2030, core demographic spending pressures are estimated to require an additional €4.3bn. This results in an annual average increase in spending of €392m.

The overall population expanded by 0.323 million or 7% in the period 2009 to 2018. The latest comparison figures show that Ireland has the second youngest population in 2019 with an old age dependency ratio of 22.2. It is estimated that by 2040 the old age dependency ratio in Ireland will be around 36.6.

Looking at the Education sector, in 2018, primary level student numbers peaked at almost 569,000 students. This “bubble” is expected to continue into post-primary level, and reach a peak by 2025. The number of students at primary level is expected to decrease over the coming years. This will present challenges particularly in relation to how best to manage and allocate resources in light of projected reductions in demand for education services, retirements, recruitment and redeployment of teachers.

Looking ahead, the annual average demographic cost is estimated to be €497m from 2023 to 2026, reducing to around €321m from 2027 to 2030. This reduction will be driven by pension reforms as the retirement age is due to increase to 68 in 2028. In the long term the main demographic pressure come from an ageing population in the form of increased requirements in the areas of health, social care and pensions.


Economic Dynamics
In the past 10 years, expenditure has evolved in response to the cyclical conditions. Due to the economic downturn in 2008, the number of people on the Live Register rose sharply peaking at approximately 450,000 in 2011. As a result, there was a shift in the distribution of expenditure towards jobseekers benefit and assistance, and employment supports.

Ireland has reacted speedily to the COVID-19 crisis putting in place a number of temporary supports in response to the health and economic impact of the crisis. This has resulted in an additional 1.3 million people (at its peak in May) being supported by DEASP. The total numbers supported by unemployment payments and other temporary COVID-19 income support schemes was 962,662 as at 4 August.

Government Policy Initiatives
Outside of Covid-19 and demographic pressures, there are a range of areas where Government policy has driven the demand for additional resources. Throughout this report, sectoral plans and programmes that impact on ongoing and future spending trends are highlighted within each Chapter.

Structure of this Paper
This paper provides an overview of the developments in public expenditure over the last decade. It looks at the evolution of spending on a sector by sector basis, examining the key expenditure drivers and looking at what has been delivered. It also provides a context for the papers published as part of the Spending Review 2020. Each chapter is structured as follows:

- The first section gives a broad overview of the long term trends in the spending area;
- The second section details the underlying drivers of expenditure within the Votes; and
- The third section highlights the range of funding and policy challenges facing Vote Groups. The impacts, known and potential, of the COVID19 pandemic, are also explored.

Agriculture, Food & the Marine
Niall McCabe

Summary
- Current gross voted expenditure on the Agriculture, Food and Marine Vote is the highest it has been in a decade.
The Vote’s 2019 level of capital gross expenditure is just over half of the level it was during 2010. Since 2011 Capital has stayed around 15% of gross Agriculture Vote expenditure.

In recent years, not reaching Forestry/afforestation targets has contributed to lower capital expenditure than allocated.

Official Controls carried out by DAFM as an independent verifier of the safety of food is a critical aspect of ensuring consumer confidence in Irish food, not only in Ireland but across the globe to the 180 countries to whom we export. Significant sums are being spent on food safety measures on an ongoing basis. The challenge will be to prove that these expenditures are achieving value-for-money (in an era when technological advances offer the opportunity to replace manual inspection practises). In this regard, DAFM is currently implementing a Food Safety and Food Authenticity Strategy which will ensure that the opportunity presented by technological advances is taken to gain benefits for the citizen and consumer as well as enable efficient and effective safeguarding of the food chain.

Increased expenditure on Agri-environmental scheme has been one of the main contributors to growing current voted expenditure in recent years. It is to be expected that Agri-Environmental Schemes will represent a growing proportion of future expenditure in line with Common Agricultural Policy ambitions post 2020.

---

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Figure 1 below displays the total Agriculture Vote gross expenditure over the past decade (2010-2019) split between Current & Capital gross expenditure.

**Figure 1: Agriculture, Food and the Marine Gross Expenditure, 2010-2019 (€m)**

---

3 2008-2010 was an exceptional period for Capital Expenditure.
Figure 2 below breaking out gross current expenditure by functional area highlights farm/sectoral supports make up approximately half of overall Vote current expenditure.

- The main components underlying the increase in current expenditure within the Agriculture Vote in recent years have been linked to farm/sectoral policy commitments arising from the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) namely Areas of Natural Constraint and Agri-environmental schemes as well as solely nationally funded beef schemes.

- In light of COVID impacts, a growing proportion of DAFM’s annual budget may in future be disbursed toward further enhancing already high food safety standards (to ensure beef factory worker and consumer safety).

- It is to be expected that consumer demands will continue to increase. DAFM’s Food Safety & Food Authenticity strategy will provide the framework for responding to the enhancement of food standards.

- It is the role of each Food Business Operator under Health and Safety legislation to protect their factory workers. If costs for Food Business Operators increase, there is a possibility that those costs will be passed back to the primary producer, thus impacting their income and viability. It is conceivable that DAFM may have a support role in safety oversight (while not assuming the role and responsibility of the Health & Safety Authority) and this may have some cost implications for DAFM in the future.

*Figure 2: DAFM Gross Current Expenditure by Function (€m)*
Figure 3 below shows that the lower level of gross capital expenditure compared to 2010 is due to lower capital expenditure in respect of farm / sectoral supports.

- Given the importance of capital investment as a driver of productivity improvements (with positive income impact), an increased weighting of capital (as a proportion of overall Vote spend) in future years is conceivable.
- In recent years, not reaching Forestry/afforestation targets has contributed to lower farm/sector capital expenditure than allocated.

**Figure 3: DAFM Gross Capital Expenditure by Function (€m)**

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers
Through the EU’s Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), a significant proportion of Agricultural Policy and Expenditure is directed via the European Union. The portion of this that is co-funded from the Vote, the Rural Development Programme (RDP), is distributed across the period of the CAP 2014-2020 Programme (and sometimes into following years). Lower annual average expenditure in earlier years of the 2014-2020 RDP means higher expenditure in later years. Given the strong integration of national and European agricultural expenditure, the shape of a new 2021-2027 CAP and the transition period from the old to the new CAP will have a significant impact on future DAFM Vote expenditure. It is envisaged that the new CAP will have a stronger environmental emphasis which can be expected to increase focus on farm/sectoral supports such as agri-environmental schemes. There is an ongoing focus on facilitating generational renewal throughout the sector, creating demand both for Knowledge Transfer training regionally as well as significant investment in modern, low-energy / green-energy consumption equipment. Global demand for meat, including beef, is expected to increase in the next decade (OECD-FAO Agricultural Outlook 2020-2029). While the shut-down of food services had a disproportionate effect on the demand for higher cuts across Europe, this may not be a permanent feature (obviously this is COVID dependant).

Section 3: Future Policy Challenges

**Agriculture, Food and the Marine**

1. **COVID19**
The longer-term impacts of Covid have yet to unfold and will require dynamic policy responses.

2. **Brexit**
Market and product diversification efforts are underway to mitigate the worst effects of Brexit over time.

3. **Rural Development Programme (RDP) post 2020 & Agri-food Strategy to 2030**
Preparatory work is still ongoing for both.

4. **Challenges to Agri-Food industry sustainability**
The longer-term strategy for sustaining the beef sector will require further examination.
Business Enterprise & Innovation  
Sue Blood, Andrew Grey

Summary

- When excluding retired expenditure, the overall expenditure trend within the Business, Enterprise and Innovation Vote (Vote 32) has steadily risen in the years since 2014. Prior to 2014, Vote expenditure was on a generally downward trend.

- Key factors which influenced the reduction of expenditure from 2010 to 2014 were the measures taken in response to the economic downturn and the removal of FÁS from the Department’s remit.

- Total expenditure for Vote 32 in 2019 represented 1.3% of total Voted expenditure in 2019.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

The Department of Business Enterprise and Innovation has undergone significant change in the last decade and that has been reflected in the long term spending trend of the Department. One of the most significant changes in terms of its expenditure profile was the removal of the FÁS function in 2010. In addition, this also occurred during the economic downturn. Figure 1 outlines the extent of the impact which both of these events had on the expenditure of the Department with current expenditure being reduced by significantly. This downward trend in expenditure ended following 2014 and has gradually increased in line with overall Government spending.

Figure 2: Enterprise & Innovation – Including FÁS
For comparative purposes, Figure 2 below shows a like-for-like view on the levels of expenditure in relation to the current functions of the Department. When excluding FÁS, the expenditure trend is much more stable, with spending increases and reductions being much more gradual. One observation to note is that the Department reduced current expenditure significantly (2019 = 19% lower than 2010) during the period while capital expenditure has returned to pre-crisis levels.

Figure 3 and Figure 4 outline expenditure over the period in terms of current and capital expenditure respectively. Figure 3 again illustrates the level of current expenditure which was reduced as a result of the removal of FÁS under the heading of retired expenditure. The Jobs & Enterprise Development Programme has the largest current expenditure of the 3 Department functions, averaging 66% of current expenditure over the period excluding retired expenditure. This is primarily driven by agencies such as IDA Ireland and Enterprise Ireland.
In terms of capital spend, expenditure has been relatively stable during the period 20010-2019 in comparison to current expenditure as illustrated in figure 4 below. Total capital spend reached its lowest level of the period in 2014 with spend of €443m but this was quickly reversed to an upward trend which reached a new peak in 2019 with a capital spend of €577m. The Innovation programme has consistently been the largest capital expenditure programme within the Departments remit and accounted for 64.8% of capital expenditure in 2019.

Figure 4: Business Enterprise & Innovation Capital Expenditure by Programme, €000s

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

DBEI’s main expenditure drivers are influenced by Government Policy and the need for interventions and support for the sector. The key strategy which drove policy in the sector during this period was the Action Plan for Jobs which was published in 2012. Over 3,200 measures were implemented under the Action Plan for Jobs and sought to support job creation in the economy to tackle unemployment.

Economic and other external factors play a significant role in driving expenditure in the Department. During the financial crisis, significant expenditure was allocated to reducing unemployment by maintaining supports for SMEs and by continuing to attract FDI. In recent times, the preparations for Brexit have been a significant external factor driving expenditure.
Section 3: Outcomes and Performance Indicators

The current performance indicators utilised by DBEI give a broad overview of the outcomes of various measures. A key indicator on the Jobs and Enterprise Development programme is the number of jobs created by IDA companies in a given year. This helps to demonstrate the impacts of IDA Ireland and the value created by attracting foreign direct investment. However, it should be acknowledged that as a small, open economy, global economic conditions will likely have a significant bearing on this indicator.

Ireland’s global competitiveness ranking is also utilised as a context and impact indicator. This is an important indicator when considering the economy’s capacity to sustain job creation via both FDI and indigenous industry, given the demands it may place on infrastructure and housing etc. Competitiveness will likely remain a key issue into the future as economies such as Ireland’s continue to transition to carbon neutrality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of new IDA investment projects won</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. gross new jobs created by IDA client companies in year</td>
<td>9,075</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>12,722</td>
<td>13,367</td>
<td>15,012</td>
<td>18,983</td>
<td>18,627</td>
<td>19,851</td>
<td>22,785</td>
<td>24,542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Section 4: Future Policy Challenges

### 1. Covid-19
The onset of the Covid-19 pandemic has had significant consequences for enterprises across the vast majority of sectors. The temporary closure of many businesses has increased the risk of liquidity issues arising, particularly for smaller enterprises. In addition, it is expected that domestic demand is likely to be dampened in comparison to previous estimates. As the economy continues to reopen and adapt to the conditions required to safeguard public health, there will continue to be uncertainty which has the potential to dampen investment.

There have already been a number of interventions by Government designed to support enterprises impacted by the economic conditions created by the pandemic. These range from supports such as the Temporary Wage Subsidy Scheme, direct grants such as the Restart Grant to assist with reopening costs and various loan schemes to address liquidity issues. There will likely be a case for further intervention in order to support employment as the economy recovers from the effects of the pandemic. This will have policy and expenditure implications for the Department in the future.

### 2. Brexit
Brexit continues to pose significant policy challenges for DBEI in terms of its potential to cause disruption across a variety of sectors. The outcome of negotiations regarding the trading relationship between the European Union and the United Kingdom will have wide ranging impacts for Irish business. The impacts will be felt most notably in many exporting sectors, including agri-food, indigenous manufacturing and tourism, as well as in importing sectors, especially those characterised by just-in-time supply chains, such as parts of the retail sector.

The uncertainty surrounding a departure date and the form of Brexit poses significant policy challenges to DBEI and creates challenges to businesses in terms of preparation. A number of measures have already been implemented, including the Brexit Loan Scheme, the Future Growth Loan Scheme as well as support in terms of the delivery of customs training in the form of the Clear Customs Programme. Both IDA Ireland and Enterprise Ireland have received additional funding in order to allow them to increase their global footprint.
Children & Youth Affairs
Larry Dunne and Patrick Kiernan

Summary

- Since 2014, gross voted expenditure by the Department of Children and Youth Affairs has increased by 61% to €1.61 billion.

- In terms of 2020 REV composition, 51% of gross expenditure allocated for 2020 relates to the work and services of TUSLA while 40% is accounted for by childcare related supports.

- At end Quarter 1 2020 there were 4979 (fte) staff employed within the Department and its Agencies, with some 88% employed in Tusla providing child protection and welfare services.

- The primary drivers of expenditure are related to demographics, economic dynamics, service demand and policy direction/implementation.

- In terms of demographics, the number of children is projected to increase over the next couple of years but demographic pressure in this cohort is expected to ease over the medium term.

- Birth rates in Ireland peaked at 16.8 births per thousand in 2008. In 2019, it fell to 12.6 births per thousand, a decrease of 25 per cent.

- Policy direction and implementation is a key factor in determining expenditure in the area of childcare, the implementation of the National Childcare Scheme, the extension of ECCE and commitments to provide supports in this area are important factors.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Over the period 2014 to 2020, gross expenditure on the Children and Youth Affairs (CYA) Vote increased from just under €1 billion to €1.61 billion, an increase of over €610 million or 61%. As the Children and Youth Affairs Vote, established in 2011, centralised policy areas and programmes from a range of areas including health, education and justice, comparing expenditure before 2011 is challenging.
The Department’s responsibilities include a range of policy and service activity, direct and indirect, for children and young people and has a diverse range of cross-cutting impacts on other policy areas. CYA expenditure is focussed on early learning and care (ELC) and school age childcare, youth justice, child welfare and protection, children and young people’s participation, research on children and young people, youth work and cross-cutting children’s initiatives. This cross-cutting feature is reflected in the Department’s National Policy Framework, ‘Better Outcomes, Brighter Futures’, launched in 2014. Building on this work, First 5: A Whole-of-Government Strategy for Babies, Young Children and their Families was published in November 2018.

The following sets out an overview of expenditure by programme between 2011 and 2020 (Table 1) and by type in 2020 (Figure 2). Looking at CYA expenditure by sub-programme area from 2011 to 2020, as in Table 8.1, 51% of the 2020 gross expenditure is associated with TUSLA. The (i) childcare schemes and programmes along with delivery supports and other initiatives and the (ii) ECCE (including AIM) account for 19% and 21% of the allocation respectively, while the remainder relates to youth services and organisations (4%) and other expenditure streams. Note the 2011-2013 figures are amended to include HSE expenditure on Child and Family Services, which transferred to the CYA Vote with the establishment of TUSLA4 in 2014.

Table 1: Gross Expenditure 2011-2020 by Sub-Programme Area, €000s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUSLA - Child &amp; Family Agency</td>
<td>623,645*</td>
<td>628,560*</td>
<td>623,988*</td>
<td>619,318</td>
<td>662,690</td>
<td>678,996</td>
<td>712,693</td>
<td>752,916</td>
<td>809,216</td>
<td>817,372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: 2011-2013 figures adjusted for HSE Children and Family Services expenditure subsequently transferred to CYA on Tusla’s establishment.

---

Early Childhood Care and Education Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2020 (€M)</th>
<th>2019 (€M)</th>
<th>2018 (€M)</th>
<th>2017 (€M)</th>
<th>2016 (€M)</th>
<th>2015 (€M)</th>
<th>2014 (€M)</th>
<th>2013 (€M)</th>
<th>2012 (€M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>976,163</td>
<td>989,366</td>
<td>971,160</td>
<td>999,145</td>
<td>1,029,373</td>
<td>1,088,428</td>
<td>1,249,984</td>
<td>1,386,854</td>
<td>1,518,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Childcare Programmes &amp; Childcare Initiatives</td>
<td>85,778</td>
<td>85,682</td>
<td>76,139</td>
<td>79,001</td>
<td>84,179</td>
<td>81,217</td>
<td>119,097</td>
<td>175,480</td>
<td>234,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Justice – Oberstown Children Detention Campus</td>
<td>15,853</td>
<td>17,254</td>
<td>19,293</td>
<td>54,701</td>
<td>29,343</td>
<td>22,869</td>
<td>23,743</td>
<td>27,658</td>
<td>23,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>26,913</td>
<td>25,072</td>
<td>23,733</td>
<td>21,805</td>
<td>24,594</td>
<td>27,988</td>
<td>34,568</td>
<td>44,512</td>
<td>55,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DPER. 2011 and 2019 are provisional outturn. 2020 is allocation.

Note: 2011-2013 TUSLA figures include expenditure under CYA Vote on Family Support Agency, National Educational Welfare Board, School Completion Programme and Child and Family Support Programme and expenditure on Child and Family Services by the HSE.

Note: The Early Years Subheads under Programme B were reorganised in REV 2019 reducing the validity of year-on-year comparisons.

Figure 2: Gross Allocated Expenditure 2020 by Type

The following sets out a brief overview of the main programme areas under the CYA Vote.

Gross expenditure is around three-quarters non-pay and one-quarter pay, as in Figure 8.2.

As such, the vast majority of the Vote is current expenditure with capital expenditure accounting for 2% of the 2020 allocation. These proportions are broadly similar to 2019.

Tusla – Child and Family Agency

Tusla’s funding, delivered under Programme A, Children and Family Support, aims to support and improve the existing service delivery for the welfare of children, young people and families, including oversight of Tusla. Tusla provides for child protection and welfare services,
educational welfare services, psychological services, alternative care, community-based welfare of children and families, pre-school inspection services and domestic, sexual and gender-based violence services.

**Early Years Care and Education**

Funding of the Childcare schemes, related supports and initiatives are delivered through Programme B - Sectoral Programmes for Children and Young People. The aim is to support the provision of both universal and targeted services for the care, development and well-being of children and young people.

- **Early Childhood Care and Education Scheme (ECCE)**

  ECCE provides children with their first formal experience of early learning prior to primary school. It is a universal and demand-led scheme, available to all legally resident children within the qualifying age range. The scheme entitlement is currently three hours per day, five days per week, over 38 weeks per year, for 2 programme years or 76 weeks in total (up from 38 weeks when introduced and 61 weeks on average when enhanced in 2016).

- **National Childcare Scheme (NCS)**

  The National Childcare Scheme was launched in September 2019. The Scheme provides both universal and income-linked subsidies. The universal entitlement provides up to €1,040 per annum for childcare subsidisation for the under 3s. The NCS replaced the existing targeted childcare programmes with a single scheme.

**Youth Organisations and Services**

Youth Organisations and Services provides the funding to support the delivery of a range of youth work programmes and services for young people. These resources fund youth services throughout the country, including services for those at risk of disadvantage and help address some of the remaining challenges faced by LGBTI+ young people. The principal youth services and programmes in receipt of funding are:

- Youth Service Grant Scheme
- Youth Information Centres
- Targeted Youth Funding Scheme
- Local Youth Club Grant Scheme
- Gaisce
- Léargas
- National Youth Health Programme
- National Youth Arts

**Other Expenditure**

Other expenditure primarily relates to smaller areas within Programmes (A) Children and Family Support and (B) Sectoral Programmes for Children and Young People. These includes
Youth Justice (Oberstown Children Detention Campus); Prevention and Early Intervention Programmes; Intervention Programmes for Children and Young People; Programme for Peace & Reconciliation; and Administration expenditure. In addition, Programme (C) Policy and Legislation, is targeted at key areas of policy, legislation and inter-sectoral collaboration. This expenditure has expanded in recent years relating to Growing Up in Ireland- The National Longitudinal Study on Children, the Children and Young People’s Policy Framework and Other Programmes and the Commission of Investigation into Mother and Baby Homes and certain related matters. The funding delivered under Programme C also supports the Adoption Authority of Ireland and the Office of the Ombudsman for Children.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

The key drivers of expenditure are demographics, economic dynamics, service demand and policy choices. As with any policy area the factors that drive expenditure are varied and complex. However, it is possible to usefully identify a number of high level and interrelated drivers.

Demographic Change

A significant driver is demographic trends in the cohort of children in the State. Over the period 2002 to 2016, the cohort aged between 0-14 expanded significantly by 22%, from 827,428 to just under 1.01 million. This significant expansion has led to expenditure pressures in a number of areas including education, children services, childcare and child related payments (including Child Benefit which is provided from Department of Employment Affairs & Social Protection Vote).

Figure 3: Population Years 0-14, 2002-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CSO

In 2016, the number of families in Ireland was 1,218,370, an increase of 3.3% on 2011 and 51% since 1996. Child population growth has a strong upwards pressure on childcare expenditure, with increased uptake on demand-led supports and programmes. In tandem, there is an increasing demographic demand in child and family and other Tusla services, with an upward trend in child welfare/abuse referrals since 2006, reaching over 50,000 annually in recent years.
However, the Central Statistics Offices in its *Population and Labour Force Projections 2017 – 2051* have stated “Under the most positive scenario M1F1 the population aged 0 - 14 is projected to decline from 2016 levels to 960,600 persons by 2031”.

**Economic Dynamics**

This general driver impacts on service demand. Trends in household income, employment, cost of living, quality of life, poverty and wealth are all important factors for child development. Demand to access childcare and for support to do so, is a function of a number of factors including employment rates, the cost of childcare and income levels etc. Notably, parents’ eligibility subsidies under National Childcare Scheme (NCS) determined by their income. Trends in the cost of the NCS, are driven by wider economic and social factors, which can impact both the level of demand and the level of subsidies paid per household. Significant increases in the number of Live Register participants, due to the impact of the C-19 pandemic will be a major cost driver in 2020 and into 2021. Conversely, the impact of the pandemic on childcare provider’s ability to provide services may reduce expenditure.

**Service Demand**

The level of demand for service provision is a driver of expenditure and is itself impacted by other stated factors such as demographics. As with other policy areas, expenditure pressures are interlinked with demand for services.

**TUSLA**

An example of service demand is set out in Table 2 which shows the total number, and rate, of referrals to Tusla’s Child Protection and Welfare Social Work Services between 2014 and 2019. The total number of referrals increased by 30% to 56,561 between 2014 and 2019 while the rate of referrals (per 1000 population 0-17 years) increased from 37 to 47.5.

**Table 2: Referrals** to Child Protection and Welfare Social Work Services and Rate per 1,000 Population 0-17 Years, 2014–2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Referrals</td>
<td>43,630</td>
<td>43,596</td>
<td>47,399</td>
<td>53,755</td>
<td>55,136</td>
<td>56,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate/1,000 pop 0-17*</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As more than one referral can be received per child, the number of children is likely to be lower than level of the referrals.

**As data from Areas is collated referral figures are subject to revision. In addition the counting of referrals is under review as of Oct 2019 with a view to improve and standardise the approach across all areas.

Source: Tusla Q1 2020 Service Performance Report

---

A further indication of service demand is the total number of children in care as measured by Tusla. There were 5,985 children in care at the end of 2019, about five for every 1,000 children under 18 years. This figure includes Separated Children Seeking Asylum. The majority of children in care (91%) are in foster care, with more than a quarter (26%) in care with relatives. The data, in Table 3, indicates the total number of children in care was 3% lower at end 2019 than at end 2011.

Table 3: Total Number of Children in Care, 2011-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Children in Care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>6,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>6,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>6,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>5,985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data on children in care pre 2014 includes children in respite care, data on children post 2016 includes Separated Children Seeking Asylum.
Source: data.tusla.ie

**Early Years: Care & Education**

**Figure 4: Projected Thematic Breakdown of Expenditure on ELC & SAC Programmes and Initiatives in 2020**

€638m was allocated to Early Years programmes and initiatives in 2020, representing an increase of €377m in funding since 2015. The majority of this funding is allocated to ELC beneficiary schemes and front line services (85%), including the Early Childhood Care and Education scheme (€297m), the National Childcare Scheme (€207m), and also front-line Early Years Specialists.

The remainder provides for overall administration costs and development costs associated with the National Childcare Scheme (7%), quality and Capital measures (5%), and various other initiatives (3%).
This 144% funding increase over the past five years supported initiatives to further the provision of affordable, accessible and quality childcare. It has also enabled a significant increase in the number of children availing of the two largest beneficiary schemes - ECCE and CCS. From November 2020 the CCS has been provided for from the funding allocation of the National Childcare Scheme.

**Figure 5: Unique ECCE Registrations 2014-2019, Annual vs Base (2014/2015)**

![Graph showing unique ECCE registrations from 2014/15 to 2019/20, with peaks and declines indicated.]

Figure 5 above demonstrates that unique ECCE registrations grew by c. 50,000 (61%) between the programme years 2014/2015 and 2019/2020 (current programme year). This corresponded to an increase of €135m (83%) to this programme’s allocation, which also provided for a 7% increase to the ECCE capitation rates in 2018 and the introduction of various accessibility/eligibility measures.

Registrations peaked in programme year 2016/17 following changes to eligibility criteria, which allowed children to begin pre-school from the age of three years. This accessibility measure was further extended in 2018, with availability of the ECCE programme extended to children over 2 years and 8 months, for a full two programme years (76 weeks).

ECCE registrations subsequently fell by c. 12,500 (11%), from the end of the 2016/17 programme year to the 2018/19 programme year. This reduction is primarily attributable to: (i) the reintroduction of a single entry point reducing enrolments (although the number of weeks children availed of increased) and (ii) a number of ECCE eligible children enrolling on other DCYA schemes.

It should also be noted that registration data for the 2019/2020 programme year has been taken from 12th March 2020, prior to the closure of ELC and SAC service providers in response to the developing COVID-19 pandemic. ECCE registrations would ordinarily have increased from this point to the end of the academic year, and therefore uptake figures for the 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 programme years are currently not directly comparable.
Under the Community Childcare Subvention (CCS) Programme, disadvantaged parents and parents in training, education or low-paid employment can avail of childcare at reduced rates. The scheme comprises a number of elements, including CCS, CCSP (Plus), and CCSU (Universal). Targeted programmes, including the CCS, were replaced by the new National Childcare Scheme in Q4 2019. As a result of Budget 2020, children that were on these schemes prior to the introduction of NCS will now be able to stay on those schemes beyond August 2020 or swap to the NCS, depending on whichever will benefit them most. These registrations are known as ‘Savers.’ Data within Figure 6 for the 2019/2020 programme year therefore pertains to both NCS and ‘Savers’ registrations, taken in March 2020 immediately prior to the closure of ELC and SAC services due to COVID-19.

Overall CCS registrations increased by c. 23,000 (90%) in the period from the end of the 2016/17 academic year to the 2018/19 academic year, following a comparatively stable trend over 2014 to 2016. This increase was driven by significant changes introduced in September of 2017. Specifically, a new universal subsidy (CCSU) was introduced for children under 3 in early learning and care settings, while subsidies under the targeted elements of CCS were increased significantly. These changes were accompanied by a public information campaign, which generated further public awareness and demand for those schemes.

Registrations increased by a further c. 5,800 between programme year 2018/19 and the March data point for programme year 2019/2020. As noted previously, registrations would ordinarily have increased further from March 2020 until the end of the 2019/20 programme year.
### Section 3: BREXIT, COVID19 and Other Future Policy Challenges

#### BREXIT
At present, no significant financial implications for Vote 40 are foreseen as a consequence of Brexit.

#### COVID 19
The DCYA Estimate for 2020 will be adjusted to reflect expected Covid-related spending and the reallocation of resources on the Vote to meet these costs.

The Gross allocation has increased by €3m to take account of DCYA-related spending under the Stability Fund, funded by Dormant Accounts. The nett allocation remains the same. Within the Vote, 8m will be re-allocated from the existing Early Years provision to Tusla in respect of additional Covid-related costs. This includes additional staffing costs, supports through provision of additional grant funding required by Voluntary Agencies, estimated expenditure on PPE and additional cleaning costs, additional expenditure regarding Private Residential Provision and ICT development of a number of Covid-related apps.

€109.5m will be re-allocated within the Vote to meet certain approved Covid-related Early Years costs: the Temporary Wage Subsidy Childcare Scheme, the Reopening Grant and the Reopening Capital Grant.

These additional costs will be met by an under spend in Early Years schemes, which were suspended in March 2020.

The Vote’s split of current and capital will also be affected, with €38m now allocated to capital compared to €31m previously, arising from the Reopening Capital Grant.

#### Other Future Policy Challenges
In terms of future policy challenges, there are a number of areas relevant to the Children and Youth Affairs Vote including demographics and policy direction/implementation.

#### Demographic
Demographic pressure will likely moderate over the medium/long term. However, it is unclear how the relative level of service demand as a proportion of the population will trend in the future.

Policy decisions taken within the childcare space have had significant expenditure implications. The resources provided to the Department of Children and Youth Affairs facilitate continued improvements in the affordability, accessibility and quality of childcare and reflect Government commitments in this area.

#### First 5
First 5: A Whole-of-Government Strategy for Babies, Young Children and their Families was published in November 2018. First 5 is a ten-year plan across all aspects of children’s lives to
help ensure positive early experiences. First 5 provides a framework to improve the lives of babies, young children and their families consisting of four goals: Strong and supportive families and communities; Optimum physical and mental health; Positive, play-based early learning; and An effective early childhood system.

**Legislation**

Legislative reform and other changes in the regulatory environment can also impact on funding requirements. For example, the implementation of the requirements of the Children First Act, 2017 and the enactment of the provisions of the Adoption (Information and Tracing) Bill will necessitate a robust response from Tusla and the Adoption Authority of Ireland within the overall framework in which they operate in the provision of services to children, young people and their families.
Summary

- Exchequer expenditure has increased from €500m in 2010 to €587m in 2019 - although annual expenditure over the period cannot be compared on a like-for-like basis due to a number of changes to Departmental functions.

- The Department accounts for approximately 1% of overall gross Government expenditure in 2019.

- Expenditure relates to a number of sectoral areas (which have been varied over the years via Transfers of Departmental Functions).

- Capital programmes under the Energy subhead continue to be the primary drivers of increased expenditure in this period.

- Forecasted increases in capital expenditure for Energy Efficiency programmes and the National Broadband Plan under the National Development Plan will continue to drive increases in the Department’s expenditure into the future.

- However, restrictions introduced in response to the COVID-19 pandemic have resulted in significant underspending on these projects in 2020 to date. The pandemic has also resulted in the reallocation of funding within Vote 29 to the Trading Online Voucher scheme.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

2019 Gross Exchequer expenditure of the Department at €587m is less than 1% of overall Government expenditure.

It should be noted that the Environment and Waste Management programme area, with a 2019 gross spend of €61.6m, was added to the functions of the Department in 2016, and that as such, the total expenditure from 2010 to 2019 depicted in Figure 1 below does not show a like-for-like trend over the period.
**Current Expenditure**

Current expenditure on the Vote is skewed by the ‘pass-through’ nature of TV Licence Fee revenue, which represented 59% of the total gross current expenditure in 2019. These revenues enter the Vote through Appropriations-in-Aid and are simultaneously allocated out to RTÉ, the Broadcasting Fund and An Post (as collection agent) under the Broadcasting Programme. TV Licence Fee revenues typically represent approximately 86% of the Broadcasting Current Expenditure, with the remainder comprised primarily of grant funding to TG4 (approximately 13.5%) and a small proportion on Departmental administration (approximately 0.5%).

Outside of the TV Licence Fee receipts and Administration expenditure, the largest portions of current expenditure continue to be represented by grant funding to TG4 and by funding to the exchequer-funded Non-Commercial State Bodies: Sustainable Energy Authority of Ireland (SEAI), Inland Fisheries Ireland (IFI), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
Over the period 2010 to 2019, Energy expenditure has consistently accounted for the majority of the total Capital expenditure on Vote 29, ranging from 80% in 2011 to 55% in 2017. This spending is primarily directed toward the Better Energy Programme, the energy efficiency upgrade scheme operated by the SEAI.

---

6 Retired expenditure represented for 2010 relates to Administration expenditure for all Department programmes. In 2011, the Administration Programme was split, with the relevant Administration expenditure allocated under each programme subhead.
Figure 3: Communications, Climate Action and Environment – Gross Capital Expenditure by Function (€m), 2010 – 2019

Figure 4: Sustainable Energy Programmes - Gross Expenditure 2019

1. Better Energy Warmer Homes
2. Better Energy Homes
3. Electric Vehicles - Purchase Grants
4. Better Energy Communities
5. Exemplar Projects Technical Assistance
6. Better Energy Warmth & Wellbeing
7. Deep Retrofit Pilot
8. Solar PV Capital
9. Industrial Engagement (EXCEED)
10. SME Energy Efficiency
11. Electric Vehicles - Home Charger Programme
12. Public Sector Energy Efficiency Support
13. Retrofit Programme
14. Support Scheme for Renewable Heat
15. Other (€4.3m)
Non-Commercial State Bodies Expenditure

Under the Capital Plan 2016-21 and subsequently the National Development Plan (NDP) 2018-27, a significant ramping up of capital expenditure on energy efficiency schemes has been planned. As a result, from 2016 to 2019, Exchequer funding to the SEAI has increased by approximately 124%.

Figure 5: Gross DCCAE Funding of Non-Commercial State Bodies under the aegis - SEAI, IFI, EPA, & DHDA, 2016-19

*Figure for EPA expenditure only represents portion of funding received from DCCAE (Vote 29). This figure does not include portion of Exchequer funding EPA receives from either DHPLG (Vote 34) or the Environment Fund.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

Government commitments to the National Broadband Plan and climate change measures continue to account for a significant amount of expenditure.

Sustainable Energy Programmes

As detailed above, Energy expenditure is consistently the largest area of Capital expenditure for Vote 29, and an average 70-80% of that annual expenditure has gone toward the Sustainable Energy Programmes subhead over this period.
This expenditure is driven by a number of energy efficiency schemes managed by the Sustainable Energy Authority of Ireland (SEAI), primarily the following programmes:

**Better Energy Programme**

Operated on behalf of the Department by the SEAI, this is the umbrella programme for a number of schemes that provide full and partial grant aid to householders, communities and people at risk of energy poverty to make energy efficiency improvements.

Two papers on the Better Energy schemes will be published as part of the 2020 Spending Review process:

- An analysis examining the effect these schemes have had on energy efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions in the residential building stock.
- A Social Impact Assessment of the recipients of the two Energy Poverty-related schemes, Warmer Homes and Communities.

**Electric Vehicles (EVs) Scheme**

In place since 2011, this scheme provides grant support for the purchase of EVs and has seen funding increase in line with the rise in models and charging points available, particularly since 2018.
An analysis of incentives for the EV Scheme was published as part of the 2019 Spending Review process. It found that Ireland’s current range of financial incentives are among the most generous in the world and concluded that it would be extremely costly to maintain the current range of financial incentives over the medium term.

Funding for the scheme doubled between 2018 and 2019, and in 2020 was increased further to €36.6m. While the number of EV registrations up to end-March 2020 were 15.6% ahead of the same period for 2019, in April and May 2020 they were down 46% for the same period as a result of COVID-19. It is expected that the outlay on this scheme will be curtailed by COVID-19 and the resulting economic impact.

Under the National Development Plan (NDP) (2018-27), a significant ‘ramping up’ of expenditure is envisioned for Energy capital expenditure, amounting to approximately €5.5bn.

**Broadband**

Under the Capital Plan (2016-21) and NDP (2018-2027), a steady increase in funding for the National Broadband Plan (NBP) from 2016 onwards was anticipated to provide early funding for the preliminary stages of development, before the full scale roll-out once tendering for the project was complete. However, delays to the tendering process prevented the deployment from commencing, resulting in a consistent underspend of allocations.

---

7 [CSO Statbank, Vehicle Licensing Statistics Monthly Series, TEM12: New Vehicles Licensed for the First Time by Type of Vehicle Registration, Type of Fuel and Month](#)
Prior to the signing of the tender contracts in November 2019, expenditure on the NBP has been directed toward technical support, network design, and consultation/advice on corporate, economic, legal and environmental matters. As the contract for the NBP was signed, it was anticipated that expenditure would increase significantly to match the allocated amounts. However, a further underspend is now expected for 2020 primarily due to the following factors:

- A change in the treatment of VAT on subsidy payments, as determined by the Revenue Commissioners, resulting in VAT no longer being included in the spend forecast.
- Project costs incurred by National Broadband Ireland (NBI), the consortium appointed to implement the National Broadband Plan, have been less than forecasted to date.
- COVID-19 has impacted operations and the level of progress expected, which will result in costs not incurred this year falling into subsequent years.

Section 3: COVID19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

COVID-19

Already in 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the drivers of expenditure on Vote 29. Social distancing measures and restrictions on economic activity during the lockdown have curtailed activity. As a result capital spending on a number of large projects, such as the NBP and the SEAI Energy Efficiency grants, has fallen behind the expected levels of expenditure. It has also led to a sudden, unexpected demand on the Trading Online Voucher. It is expected that COVID-19 will continue to impact on DCCAE policy goals and expenditure, in the following ways:

Trading Online Voucher (TOV) Scheme
The TOV scheme assists small businesses to trade online by providing grants, skills training and mentoring. It is funded by DCCAE and delivered in partnership with Enterprise Ireland and the Local Enterprise Offices. With the temporary closure of many non-essential services due to the lockdown, the ability to trade online became vitally important for small businesses. As a result, applications to the TOV rose dramatically, with 1,635 grant applications being approved by end-May 2020 (compared to 1,218 in total for 2019) and a further 4,774 applications having been received. Due to this rise in demand, DCCAE moved to reallocate funding from within their Vote to the scheme, both in April and in June. Furthermore, the Department of Business, Enterprise and Innovation began joint-funding the scheme, and the rules were also amended on a temporary basis, reducing the amount of co-funding required from businesses and allowing second applications from previously successful applicants.

Utility Companies

The COVID-19 enforced restrictions has had an indirect impact on EirGrid and ESB, as electricity demand has fallen sharply. In response to the lockdown, the Commission for the Regulation of Utilities (CRU) introduced a temporary moratorium on domestic electricity and gas disconnections and increased emergency credit levels for all gas prepayment customers.

Furthermore, for non-domestic customers, a Supply Suspension Scheme was also introduced to give small and medium businesses a temporary exemption from energy or network charges. While these measures, and the possibility of consumers struggling to pay electricity bills in an economic downturn, are expected to impact the income of both EirGrid and ESB in 2020 and beyond, both bodies have signalled their ability to adapt to this unexpected shortfall.

Broadcasting

In response to the impact of this fall in advertising revenue on independent commercial radio stations, €2.5m unallocated from the Sound and Vision Scheme was available for such stations, while the broadcasting levy, with an estimated total of €1m, was also suspended.

As with most media outlets, RTÉ’s commercial income has been significantly impacted by the reduction in advertising revenue resulting from the adverse economic conditions. However, licence fee receipts have also been adversely affected, further compounding the reduction in revenue.

An interdepartmental working group was established in 2018 to examine the Future Funding of Public Service Broadcasting. This group recommended amending the funding model of Public Service Broadcasting by introducing a Broadcasting Charge. However, this group recognised that such a charge would be a medium-term solution, and that a shorter-term response to the funding shortfall, at RTÉ in particular, would be to target savings and increased compliance by tendering the collection of TV licence fees. Following this review, a Commission on the Future of the Irish Media was established by Government in December 2019 to make recommendations on RTÉ’s role, financing and structure within a
sustainable funding framework that delivers value for money to the public. Furthermore, RTÉ published a strategy in November 2019 to address the long term decline in advertising revenues by reorienting both its content and cost base. However, the immediate impact of COVID-19 is expected to pose a further challenge to these aims by exacerbating the issue of funding for Public Service Broadcasting in the short term.

Climate Change

The Programme for Government commits Ireland to an ambitious target of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by an average of 7% a year to 2030. Achieving this target will require every sector to contribute to meeting this target, through the implementation of the actions outlined in the Programme for Government and Climate Action Plan. It will also require the development of new policies, underpinned by robust evidence and the continued development of research and modelling architecture by the Department.

Energy

The goal of Irish energy policy is to facilitate a transition to a low carbon energy system, which provides secure supplies of competitive energy to citizens. This will be achieved by ensuring the renewable energy represents a 34% share of all energy consumption by 2030, with 70% of electricity provided from renewable sources. Renewable energy use in 2020 is likely to reach 12.8%, demonstrating the scale of the challenge facing energy policy over the decade. A failure to achieve this target, or periodic milestones on the path towards it, will give rise to additional compliance costs in line with the rules governing the EU Energy Union.
Culture, Heritage & the Gaeltacht
Paul Kilkenny and Tina Hayes

Summary

- Vote 33 is comprised of four programme areas: Culture; Heritage; Irish Language, Gaeltacht and Islands and North-South Co-operation.
- Total voted expenditure by the Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht amounted to €3,077m from 2010 to 2019. In 2019, total voted expenditure amounted to €335m, an 11% increase on the 2018 allocation.
- The Culture programme has been the largest component of spending for the Department in recent years, reaching a ten year high point of €183.5m in 2019.
- The Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht group has represented 0.54% of total voted expenditure over the last 10 years.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Figure 1: Vote 33 Long Term Trends 2010-2019, €m *

* Note: The configuration of the Department has changed a number of times during the period 2009 to 2018 and the expenditure shown above includes all programme expenditure across every iteration e.g. expenditure on sport, tourism, regional and rural affairs, etc. which are no longer associated with the Department.
- The structure of the Department has changed in line with Government priorities. For example, over the past decade the arts function has been within the following Departments: Department of Arts, Sports and Tourism (2002-2010), Department of Tourism, Culture and Sport (2010-2011), Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (2011-2016), Department of Arts, Heritage, Regional, Rural and Gaeltacht Affairs (2016-2017) and Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht (2017 onwards).

Figure 2: Vote 33 Agency Expenditure 2011-2019, €m

- There are 13 agencies under the aegis of the Department: the Arts Council; Chester Beatty Library; Crawford Gallery; An Foras Teanga; Heritage Council; Screen Ireland; Irish Museum of Modern Art; National Concert Hall; National Gallery of Ireland; National Library of Ireland; Údarás na Gaeltachta; and Waterways Ireland.
- Total agency expenditure on the Vote from 2011-2019 was €1,657m. In 2019 total Agency Expenditure amounted to €208m.
The Arts Council incurred the largest agency spend on the Vote totalling €573m from 2011-2019, representing 35% of the overall agency voted expenditure of €1.66bn for the Department over this period.

Agency expenditure has increased year on year from 2014. Agency expenditure was €169m in 2014, increasing by 23% to €208m in 2019.
Figure 4: Vote 33 Current Expenditure by Function 2012-2019, €m

Figure 5: Vote 33 Capital Expenditure by Function 2012-2019, €m

Note: Due to a reconfiguration of programme spending in 2011, expenditure trends are shown from 2012 onward only.
o **Programme A**: Culture is the largest component of the Department’s expenditure totalling €1,218m from 2012 to 2019. This includes €985m of current expenditure and €234m of capital expenditure over the period.
   - Programme expenditure includes cultural infrastructure and development, general expenses of all of the National Cultural Institutions and the National Archives and Advisory Council, the Arts Council, Screen Ireland, regional museums, galleries and cultural centres, the European City of Culture project and the Decade of Centenaries.

o **Programme B**: Expenditure on the Heritage programme totalled €390m from 2012 to 2019, which includes €287m in current expenditure and €103m of capital expenditure across the period.
   - Programme expenditure includes the Heritage Council; the Irish Heritage Trust, National Parks and Wildlife Service, built heritage initiatives including the Built Heritage Investment Scheme and peatlands restoration, conservation and management.

o **Programme C**: Expenditure on the Irish language, Gaeltacht and Islands programme accounted for €373m from 2012-2019. This includes €283m of current expenditure and €90m of capital expenditure over the period.
   - Programme expenditure includes capital grants through Údarás na Gaeltachta, Irish language support schemes, Gaeltacht support schemes, support for Islands communities and the 20 Year Strategy for the Irish Language 2010-2030.

o **Programme D**: North-South Co-operation programme accounts for the smallest share of the Department’s expenditure, amounting to €327m from 2012 to 2019 which includes current expenditure of €288m and capital expenditure of €39m across the period.
   - Programme expenditure includes Waterways Ireland and An Foras Teanga.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

Changes in allocation and expenditure in the area of culture, heritage and the Gaeltacht are driven by Government policy rather than by demographics or service demand. The key policies are as follows:

1. **Programme for a Partnership Government (2016)**

The Programme for Government (2016) contains a number of commitments across the areas of culture, heritage, rural Ireland including the Gaeltacht, the Irish language, and north-south relations, which impact the Department.

2. **Project Ireland 2040**
Culture, heritage and sport is one of the ten Strategic Investment Priorities in the National Development Plan, 2018-2027 and total funding in the area is in excess of €1bn over the lifetime of the Plan. Areas of investment will include the National Cultural Institutions (€460m), national heritage, regional arts and culture facilities, digitisation of national collections, Galway European City of Culture, the restoration of Ulster Canal and investment in waterways and related infrastructure, and investment in media production and the audio visual industry.

3. Creative Ireland (2017-2022)

Launched in 2017, Creative Ireland is the main implementation vehicle for the priorities identified in Culture 2025 - Éire Ildánach, Ireland’s first Framework National Cultural Policy, currently in draft format. It is a five year initiative to put creativity at the centre of public policy and support and enable participation in creative activities.

4. 20 Year Strategy for the Irish Language 2010-2030

Policy in this area is to increase, on an incremental basis, the use and knowledge of Irish as a community language. It commits to the development of a bilingual society, while recognising the policy focus of maintaining the linguistic identity of the Gaeltacht community.

5. Realising our Rural Potential: Action Plan for Rural Development

Despite the transfer of the Regional Development and Rural Affairs programme to the Department of Rural and Community Development in 2017, the Action Plan for Rural Development, still impacts on the Department with regards to Údarás na Gaeltachta. The plan contains over 270 actions and aims to ensure that people who live in rural areas have increased opportunities for employment locally and access to public services and social networks that support a high quality of life.


Ireland’s vision for biodiversity is that biodiversity and ecosystems are conserved and restored, delivering benefits essential for all sectors of society and that Ireland contributes to efforts to halt the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of ecosystems in the EU and globally. The Plan captures the objectives, targets and actions for biodiversity that will be undertaken by a wide range of government bodie, civil society and the private sector to achieve Ireland’s vision for biodiversity.

The Department is developing an adaptation plan for built and archaeological heritage under the framework of a Departmental advisory group. This plan will build on a background study commissioned by the Department to assess climate change impacts and has been commissioned to meet the instruction given by government under the national adaptation framework. The sectoral climate change adaptation plan, currently under public consultation,
identifies goals objectives and actions that will be resourced by the Department through its implementation phase. Departmental spend on mitigating climate change impact on heritage will continue.

Summary

While future policy concerns will differ across the distinct functions of the Department, some challenges continues to exist around measuring and analysing outcomes and benefits delivered by the programmes in question, as a consequence of the qualitative nature of much of the work of the Department. As a result, prioritisation both between the programmes and against other areas of Government expenditure will remain an ongoing challenge.

Section 3: COVID19 and Other Future Policy Challenges

COVID

Additional funding of €25m is being allocated to the Department in 2020 to address immediate priorities to assist with the unique challenges faced by artists, arts organisations and culture workers. €20 million will be allocated to the Arts Council to facilitate new bursaries and commissions, supports for freelance artists and collaborative projects. €5 million will be available for other measures including securing the future of key cultural and museum spaces and facilities throughout the country and the production of high-quality digital art and on-line performances.

In addition, the Department has reallocated some of its existing allocation for 2020 to address pressures arising from the sudden closure of a number of its institutions and agencies, with a view to providing stabilisation supports for the sectors under its remit. These include a €1m Covid-19 Artists Fund and €4.7m for Summer Colleges Stabilisation Initiative (SCSI) for Irish Colleges in the Gaeltacht.

Delivery on Project Ireland 2040

The scale of the funding being made available under Project Ireland 2040 is significant for the Department and delivery of major capital projects and maximising and measuring returns on investment will be challenging and will require careful planning on the Department’s part. Funding is in excess of €1 billion for the 10 year capital investment programme investing in our Culture, Language and Heritage,

In response to this significant increase in funding the Department has established a Project Ireland 2040 Unit. This unit has responsibility for ensuring a coordinated, programme-approach to the management of the investment. The Unit also has responsibility for monitoring and reporting on activity under the programme and supporting compliance with the Public Spending Code including providing technical assessments and guidance on appraisal and evaluation.
Defence
Josh Lernihan, Aíne Mannion and Ronan Nestor

Summary

- Defence expenditure is provided for by the Exchequer through two Votes: **Vote 35 (Army Pensions)** and **Vote 36 (Defence)** which, together, form the **Defence Vote Group**.

- Outcomes for the Defence Vote Group are focused on the high-level goal of the Defence Organisation: ‘To provide for the military defence of the State, contribute to national and international peace and security and fulfil all other roles assigned by Government’.

- Vote 35 funds military pensions and gratuities for retired members of the Defence Forces and (where applicable) their dependants. Vote 36 funds the capital and current costs of the Defence Organisation (i.e. the Department of Defence and the Defence Forces).

- Gross expenditure on the Vote Group reduced from €0.962 billion in 2010 to €0.891 billion in 2013, before increasing to €1.007 billion by 2019.

- Defence Pay and Pensions expenditure accounted for the largest element of annual spend within the Vote Group, ranging from 74% to 80% within the 2010 to 2019 timeframe.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

The Defence Vote Group comprises two Votes: **Vote 35 (Army Pensions)** and **Vote 36 (Defence)**. Gross expenditure on the Defence Vote Group has increased by 4.7% over the decade from 2010, and in 2019 amounted to some €1.007 billion.

Between 2010 and 2013, spending on the Vote group fell by some 7.4%, from €0.962 billion to €0.891 billion, before rising again to €1.007 billion by 2019. Group current expenditure has risen by 7% from 2015 to 2019, while capital expenditure increased by 55% over the same decade.
period, with the majority of the rise between 2018 and 2019 with a year-on-year increase of €43m noted between 2018 and 2019.

**Figure 1: Defence Vote Group Gross Expenditure**

![Defence Vote Group Gross Expenditure](image)

*Note – from 2015 onwards, military equipment was reclassified as capital expenditure rather than current expenditure (D/PER Circular 07/14).*

**Vote 35**

Over 99% of expenditure on Vote 35 relates to retirement pensions, gratuities and disability pensions and as such is non-discretionary. Spending on the Vote has been steadily increasing over the decade, with a notable increase in 2012. Between the January 2010 introduction of pay reductions on foot of the Financial Emergency Measures in the Public Interest (FEMPI) legislation and the expiry of the end-February 2012 FEMPI grace period, some 1,500 military personnel retired from the Defence Forces. Some 75% of these leavers retired with immediate retirement benefits payable immediately on retirement (pension and gratuity). In 2012, most of the unusually high number of c. 600 personnel who exited with immediate retirement benefits retired in the first two months of that year, with many of them qualifying for maximum benefits. These factors combined with the knock-on cost of the other ‘pre-FEMPI’ retirements from 2010-2011 were the primary contributors to the spike in expenditure in that year. Expenditure in 2019 of €251m represented an increase of 15% over the decade, and is €7.4m (3%) more than the previous highpoint in 2012. Trends in expenditure on Vote 35 are shown in Figure 2.

---

Vote 36

On Vote 36, pay and allowances represent the largest element of annual expenditure, accounting for €496m (66%) of the 2019 outturn. As with the group trend in current spending over the period, pay costs fell from 2010 to 2016 during the post-2008 financial crisis, and have risen steadily from 2016 to 2019. Composition of expenditure is shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Vote 36 (Defence) Gross Expenditure, €m

Note – from 2015 onwards, military equipment was reclassified as capital expenditure rather than current expenditure (D/PER Circular 07/14).

11 Please note that rounding can affect totals.
Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

Current Expenditure

Defence Forces pay and pension costs account for the largest element of annual current expenditure on the Defence Vote Group over the last ten years, accounting for €747m (74%) of overall gross expenditure in 2019 (Figure 3).

Figure 4: Defence Vote Group Gross Expenditure by Category 2010-2019, €m

Note – from 2015 onwards, military equipment was reclassified as capital expenditure rather than current expenditure (D/PER Circular 07/14).

Vote 36 – Pay and Allowances

The pay and allowance costs of serving personnel (i.e. military personnel, civil servants and civilian employees) make up the majority of expenditure on Vote 36. As with other Votes with a high proportion of staff costs, wider pay policy is the determinant of pay rates and these are reflective of increases in the Vote. For instance, in 2019, commitments arising from the Public Service Stability Agreement 2018-2020 (amounting to c. €6m) and increases in allowances for the Permanent Defence Force (PDF) arising from the Public Service Pay Commission report (amounting to c. €2m) required a corresponding increase in expenditure.12

Military numbers have reduced over the last two decades. The military personnel target, or Establishment, was set at 10,500 in 2000, and reduced to 9,500 in 2012. The White Paper on Defence (2015), confirmed the Government’s commitment to retain a PDF strength of at least

12 The full-year cost of these increases is c. €10m, comprising: €4.6m for 10% increase in MSA; €4.8m for restoration of allowances cut by HRA; and €0.6m service commitment scheme for pilots.
9,500 personnel. Personnel numbers at end-May stand at 8,451. There have been a number of initiatives undertaken to increase recruitment and retention, including increases to pay and allowances and amendments to legislative provisions to allow for the re-entry into service of former members of the Permanent Defence Force.

In addition to pay pressures, tasking’s of the Defence Force are often subject to circumstances outside of the direct control of the Vote, resulting in pressure on allowances. Expenditure on allowances is largely activity related, and is directly linked to the number of operational activities undertaken and the rates applicable rather than the number of personnel in the PDF. This has been the case in relation to recent Aid to the Civil Authority (ATCA) taskings undertaken in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Other ATCA roles undertaken over the past decade include support at National Commemoration Ceremonies, State Visits, Emergency Flood Relief efforts and Missing Person Searches. The exception to the above is expenditure on Overseas Allowances, for which costs are determined by, amongst others, the actual number of personnel serving on overseas peace support missions, the nature of the mission and the duration of the deployment.\(^1\)

Domestic security remains primarily the role of the Department of Justice and Equality and An Garda Síochána, supported as required by the Defence Forces as part of its Aid to the Civil Power function. Taskings under this function include Central Bank security escorts, high-risk prisoner escorts, explosive ordnance disposal, search and rescue, fisheries protection, and Naval diving operations, among other supports.

**Vote 35 – Military Pensioners**

Military pensions and post-service entitlements of retired members of the Defence Forces are administered through Vote 35\(^1\). In line with public service trends, military pension gross expenditure has risen from c. €218m in 2010 to c. €251m in 2019, an increase of 15%. Upward pressure on Vote 35 is largely driven by the turnover of Defence Forces personnel year-on-year and increased life expectancy more generally. In addition to demographic pressure there is the distinct nature of military pension expenditure. Like all pension payments, expenditure on Vote 35 is demand-driven and non-discretionary. However, military pensions differ in several key respects when compared to other areas of the public service, including an atypically high incidence of voluntary early retirement – before reaching the mandatory retirement age – with entitlement to immediate payment of benefits (pension and gratuity).

There has been an acknowledged under-provision for military pensions through Vote 35 in recent years, resulting in an annual requirement for a Supplementary Estimate largely being met through identified savings on Vote 36 (Defence) but without impacting on Defence Forces

---

\(^1\) The Defence Vote Section in DPER is currently undertaking a Spending Review on the cost of participation on overseas missions which will provide more detail on these allowances.

\(^1\) While the pay of Civil Service staff of the Department of Defence and civilian employee is funded from Vote 36, their superannuation benefits are funded separately from Vote 12 (Superannuation and Retired Allowances). This expenditure does not fall under the Defence Vote Group.
operational capabilities. However, it is to be noted that there is no direct financial co-relation between the two Votes, either in terms of their respective funding requirements / projections or allocations from year to year. Vote 35 and 36 have very different needs in that regard.

The Army Pensions funding issue has been the subject of some comment by the Comptroller and Auditor General, and was addressed in the 2018 Spending Review of Defence Forces Pensions Expenditure (published with Budget 2019). This review recommended that Vote 35 should be allocated resources in line with the cost analysis in the review from 2019 onwards, to ensure that the full cost can be met. As announced in Budget 2020, some €259 million was provided to the Army Pensions Vote for 2020, an increase of €10m over the 2019 allocation of €249m. However, the actual level of funding required by Vote 35 from year to year is influenced by the demand-led drivers as set out in the Spending Review. The number of military pensioners at year-end 2019 was 12,688, and a further increase in this number is projected for 2020.

**Figure 5: Military Pensioners, 2010-2019**

![Military Pensioners, 2010-2019](image)

**Capital Expenditure**

Capital expenditure on Vote 36 has increased over the period, allowing the Defence Organisation to undertake a programme of sustained equipment replacement and renewal and infrastructure development, as set out in the Defence White Paper. Major Defence capital projects are multi annual in nature and expenditure can span a number of years. Defence capital expenditure broadly encompasses spending on Defence Forces Capability Development, Defence Forces Built Infrastructure, Defence Forces Transport and Defence Forces Communication and Information Technology platforms.

The **National Development Plan** provided for a €541m Expenditure Ceiling for the Defence Vote Group for 2018-22, broken down as follows:
Outturn on Defence capital expenditure was some €138m in 2019. The 2015 *White Paper on Defence* sets out capital priorities for D/Defence over the short to medium term, and these may be considered the main drivers on capital expenditure on the Vote. In addition, a five year Equipment Development Plan for the Defence Forces, announced in June 2020, provides a comprehensive list of planned equipment projects due to be progressed over the next five years.\(^{15}\)

Priority ongoing Defence capital expenditure programmes / projects include:
- Maritime Patrol Aircraft programme;
- Air Corp Fixed Wing Utility Aircraft Project;
- Armoured Personnel Carrier Mid-Life Upgrade and Maintenance Programme;
- Defence Forces 4x4 Vehicle Replacement Programme; and
- Naval Service Vessel Mid-Life Refit.

It is worth noting also that a five-year Infrastructure Plan was also published in January 2020. This Defence Forces Infrastructural programme will implement the policy approach contained in the White Paper on Defence to enhance Defence physical infrastructure and accommodation as an important component of defence capability.

1. COVID-19

The collective response to COVID-19 involved Defence Forces personnel, members of the Reserve, Civil Defence volunteers, Civil Servants and Civilian Employees within the Department. A Defence Forces taskforce was set up to deal with elements of the Defence response to the health crisis from a number of State bodies and agencies – most visibly in contact tracing, testing, patient and equipment transport, and logistics on behalf of the HSE and the National Ambulance Service. The Civil Defence have also been tasked with ambulance, support, and transport tasks.

The full impact of COVID-19 on Defence financial resources is not yet fully known, with the final cost likely to be influenced both by the length of the crisis and the type of roles to which the Defence Force are tasked by other bodies. As the crisis evolves, the level of involvement of personnel in operations will influence on expenditure levels.

2. Vote 35 – Military Pensioners

The trajectories of (i) Exchequer spending on military pensions and (ii) the proportion of this area to overall Irish defence expenditure have both steadily grown over the last two decades. Among other reasons, including demographic factors, military pensioner numbers have consistently increased over the last decade, and are projected to continue to do so in the short to medium term. As noted above, military pension’s expenditure is demand driven and by its nature has a higher incidence of voluntary early retirement leading to associated immediate entitlement to benefits. This can present difficulties in making accurate projections of retirees in any given year.

3. Vote 36 – EU & Brexit

Among other developments at EU Level, the EU Commission has proposed a new regulation establishing the European Defence Fund (EDF) under the 2021-2027 Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF). In addition, there is also a proposal for a new European Peace Facility outside of the Union's multi-annual budget, which would run concurrently to the MFF. If these Funds are established with the budgets as proposed, this could have significant cost implications for the Irish Exchequer, which may impact on the Defence Vote.

With regard to Brexit, the precise security implications remain hard to predict at this point, albeit that the overall setting in which security resides may be adversely impacted.
Summary

- From 2009 to 2019, Education and Skills expenditure experienced both a decline and rise. From 2009 to 2013 expenditure decreased from €9.7 billion to €8.8 billion before steadily increasing to €10.9 billion in 2019.
- As a portion of total government expenditure, Education and Skills is the third largest and has remained relatively stable as a percentage of total government expenditure at around 16.0% to 16.6%.
- The scale and composition of expenditure on Education and Skills has changed over time due to a variety of factors including demographics, increase in special needs education, the economic downturn following the 2008 Financial Crisis, need for capital investment and the transfer of functions in the further education sector.
- The largest element of Department of Education and Skills expenditure is pay and pensions, which is estimated to account for 72% of overall DES expenditure in 2019.
- First, Second & Early Years Education saw the largest increase over the ten year period of 19% (€1.3 billion increase) while Higher Education saw a decrease in expenditure over the same period of €15 million (1%).
- In 2019, Special Education Needs’ gross current allocation (€1.87 billion) was just below that of Higher Education (€1.89 billion).
- There are a number of challenges facing the Education sector over the short and long term. The most fundamental of these being managing the demographic shift from primary to post primary level, as well as the future demographic changes, delivering reforms in the special needs education and school transport, expected growth in superannuation costs, higher participation rates and funding pressures in the Higher Education Sector. Also, in the Tertiary Education sector there is a need to ensure that both the higher education and further education and training sectors are optimally configured to meet the skills needs of the labour market. From 2020, a number of these functions will be a matter for the newly established Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science.
Section 1: Long-Term Trends
Overall, average annual growth for the period 2010 to 2019 was 1%. However, as Figure 1 shows, expenditure in Education and Skills fell by an average of 3.4% per annum from 2010 to 2013, with an average annual growth of 3.6% from 2014 to 2019. Non-National Training Fund (NTF) Exchequer expenditure on Education and Skills in 2019 at €10.4 billion was the largest ever allocation to the sector, an 11% increase from 2010.

Expenditure from the National Training Fund (NTF) also decreased during the period 2010 to 2013 where it fell at an average of 3.5% per annum, with an average annual growth of 6.7% during the years 2014 – 2019. NTF expenditure was €508 million in 2019, this represents an increase from 2018 to 2019 of 22%, the largest yearly increase observed over the period, in part due to decisions to increase the NTF from 0.7% to 0.9%. A further increase in 2020 brings the rate to 1.0%.

Overall, expenditure for the sector as a whole (Exchequer and NTF) was at its highest level to date in 2019 at €10.9 billion, some 11.7% higher than in 2010.

Figure 1: DES Expenditure 2010 – 2019, €bn

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform
Figure 2 shows how expenditure on first, second level and early years education as a percentage of overall Education and Skills expenditure has remained relatively stable over the period, averaging 75% of total expenditure over the decade. Higher education expenditure continued to decline after the onset of the fiscal crisis but has increased year on year since 2016. Higher education currently stands at 18% of aggregate Education and Skills expenditure. Expenditure on Further Education and Training averaged 7% of aggregate spend from 2010 to 2019.

The total share of capital expenditure decreased over the period 2010-2013 from 8% to 5%, but has since risen from 6% in 2014 to a 9% share in 2019.

Figure 2: DES Expenditure by Programme 2010 – 2019 (%)

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

Expenditure Growth
Figure 3 outlines expenditure growth over the past decade. Expenditure on first, second and early years education is the highest out of three areas examined. While first, second levels and early years education and higher education experienced a trough in the first half of the decade (in 2013 and 2014 respectfully) growth following this trough has been more pronounced in first, second levels and early years education with current levels exceeding the original 2010 levels. Higher education remained relatively stable for the period 2014-2016 with growth rates increasing year-on-year from 2016-2019.
Figure 3: Expenditure Growth 2010 – 2019

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

Figure 4: DES expenditure as a proportion of total Government expenditure 2019 – 2019

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

Education represents the third largest element of government expenditure (after Social Protection & Health). Figure 4 (above) illustrates the ten year trend of Education and Skills expenditure as a percentage of overall government expenditure. Expenditure in Education and Skills remain relatively stable over the period from 16.1% in 2010, to 16.2% in 2020.
Expenditure as a proportion of total government expenditure was at its highest during the period in 2015 at 16.6%.

**Figure 5: Gross voted expenditure growth: Three-year intervals 2011 – 2019**

![Expenditure growth chart](chart.png)

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

Expenditure on education has followed the trend in overall government expenditure as illustrated in Figure 5 (above). After the onset of the downturn gross education expenditure fell in line with total government expenditure from 2011 to 2013. From 2013 to 2019 percentage growth of expenditure in education was broadly in line with percentage growth in government expenditure.

Figure 6 outlines the four components of DES expenditure in 2010, 2015 and end 2019. As with all other labour intensive frontline public services, such as Health, Defence, Garda, etc. pay is the single largest element of expenditure in the education sector. The education paybill at its pre-crisis peak in 2010 stood at €6.5 billion, reducing to €5.3 billion in 2015 before reaching just over €6.4 billion in 2019, with reduction and restoration pay deals being the main cause for the fluctuations in paybill over those 10 years. In overall terms, the share of four expenditure components as a percent of aggregate education expenditure are outlined in Table 1 below. It is worth noting that between 2015 and 2019 current education expenditure increased by €1.47 billion, 82% (€1.19 billion) of which was in the first, second levels and early years area. 39% of this expenditure (€470 million) was special education
expenditure. Special Education is now 19% of total education current expenditure at €1.9 billion, 90% of which is pay related.

**Figure 6: Composition of DES Expenditure, 2010, 2015 and 2019**

![Chart showing composition of DES Expenditure]

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

**Table 1: Change in Composition of DES Expenditure (Percentage Share) 2010, 2015 and 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-pay</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

**Capital Investment**

Figure 7 outlines gross capital expenditure. Examining the years 2010-2019, the annual expenditure on first, second level and early years has increased to €796 million while third level has increased to €131 million. Following the outcome of the review of the Capital Plan in mid-2017, an additional €663 million was provided for the Education Sector for 2018-2021. This is in addition to nearly €3 billion already provided for the sector for those years under...
the Capital Plan (2016-21). In total, an overall allocation for the Education Sector of approx. €12 billion out to 2027 was set out as part of the National Development Plan 2018-2027.

**Figure 7: Composition of DES Capital Expenditure 2011 – 2019, €bn**

![Bar chart showing composition of DES Capital Expenditure 2011–2019, €bn](chart)

*Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform*
Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

Staffing and enrolment changes
As outlined in Section 1 pay makes up the largest share of Education and Skills Expenditure. These pay costs are driven by rates (determined largely by public sector pay agreements) and numbers. Numbers have been increasing over the past 10 years in the education sector, particularly at primary and post-primary level. This has been in part due to the movement of a demographic bubble through the school system, resulting in increased enrolments, but was also amplified by policy changes.\(^\text{16}\)

Figure 8 outlines staffing trends at first, second and third level. Staffing in the primary education sector has been on an upward trend from 2010 to 2019, growing by 23% over the period. Similarly, second level increased by 19% over the period. Exchequer funded third level staffing had no increase over the period.

![Figure 8: Staffing trend for 1st, 2nd and 3rd Level, 2010 – 2019](image)

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform

Table 2 outlines the increase in students across all three levels of the education sector. Both the primary and post primary sectors saw considerable increases in student numbers with an increase of close to 62,000 (12%) in the primary sector from 2010 to 2019 and an increase of over 41,500 (12%) in the post-primary sector for the same period. In percentage terms, the

\(^{16}\) For example Budgets 2016 and 2018 reduced the general average teacher to pupil ratio at primary level.
third level sector saw the largest percentage increase of 20% in student numbers (38,300 students).

Table 2: Enrolments of Full-Time Students (Number) by Year and Level of Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolments</td>
<td>505,998</td>
<td>544,696</td>
<td>567,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolments</td>
<td>350,687</td>
<td>372,296</td>
<td>392,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolments</td>
<td>189,779</td>
<td>210,624</td>
<td>228,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,046,464</td>
<td>1,127,616</td>
<td>1,188,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Growth</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Education & Skills

Table 3: Change in Expenditure Compared with Enrolments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st, 2nd and Early Years Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding (% Change)</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolments (% Change)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding (% Change)</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolments (% Change)</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Public Expenditure & Reform and the Department of Education and Skills
Table 3 outlines the difference in the percentage changes in expenditure and enrolments for the three periods; 2010 to 2015, 2015 to 2019 and 2010 to 2019. The analysis shows the extent to which first, second and early years’ education has been prioritised over higher education. Overall, higher education saw the largest percentage increase in enrolments from 2010 to 2019 while also seeing the largest decrease in funding over the same period.

**Special Education**

Special education needs expenditure represents nearly 19% of the Department of Education and Skills gross current allocation in 2019 (€1.87 billion). Expenditure on this area increased by 49% (€616m) from 2011 to 2019. An estimated 90% (€1.68 billion) of total expenditure in this area is allocated to pay. This is divided between teacher pay of €1.08 billion (58% of total) and special needs assistants’ pay of €0.56 billion (30% of total). There have been various drivers of the increase in expenditure on special education including, the underlying change in the school age population, the increasing proportion of children who are qualifying for SNA and special educational needs supports, and in particular, the increasing number of pupils presenting with an autism diagnosis.
COVID-19

Primary and Post Primary Education

The safe re-opening of schools is a key priority in the Government’s Response to COVID-19, one to which Government have allocated €375 million for the school year 2020/21. In July 2020 The Government approved the publication of a Roadmap to enable the safe return of schools in September 2020. Measures outlined included enhanced cleaning and hygiene measures, changes to physical arrangements of classrooms to enable social distancing and recruitment of additional staff to support safe and sustained re-opening of schools. DES estimate the total cost of these measures as €375 million for the school year 2020/21, this includes a €75 million Capital package agreed as part of the July Stimulus.

There remains considerable uncertainty around these cost estimates and, in this regard, the Department of Education and Skills have put in place monitoring and control mechanisms. Given the size of the sector, with 1 million pupils, 100,000 staff and over 50,000 classrooms, small alterations in measures can have a considerable impact on costs. In addition to the costs outlined, certain areas of planned spending may not materialise due to the impact of COVID 19, including the cancelation of state examinations.

Summer programmes were provided to special education pupils and those at greatest risk of educational disadvantage. The cost for these programmes is currently estimated at up to €15 million.
Higher and Further Education
As part of the Government response to COVID-19, including the July Stimulus, an additional aggregate investment of €250 million has been allocated to the Further and Higher Education sectors in 2020.

This includes €100 million in NTF funding as agreed in the July Stimulus. Of this, just over €52 million will provide for c. 28,000 new FET places for people who have lost their jobs to COVID-19, additional upskilling opportunities, as well as incentives for employers to hire new apprentices. The remaining c. €47 million will be allocated to the HE sector to provide over 7,000 additional Higher Education places for students at both undergraduate and post graduate levels.

There is also an additional €150 million of Exchequer funding in 2020 to assist in the re-opening of the further education and training and higher education sectors and will provide for: Higher Education supports to the front line response in the Health sector; additional online provision & software; increased Health & Safety Costs; additional research funding; greater supports for the mental health and wellbeing of students and additional measures to improve student access.

COVID-19 also poses risks to revenue streams in the University Sector including the fee income from international students, rental income from student accommodation and income from research. In order to ensure the Government balance sheet treatment of the sector is unaffected, the new Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science is separately examining options for putting alternative, non-Exchequer, funding mechanisms in place to respond to these projected income losses.

The negative impacts of COVID-19 on the wider economy and the labour market will also increase Student Support (SUSI) costs. Decreased incomes due to loss of employment or reduced hours will increase the demand and eligibility for grants.
In relation to Brexit, with a Common Travel Area in place, the Irish and UK Governments have both committed to allowing students across the Common Travel Area to continue to be eligible to study in both jurisdictions.

**Further Education and Training**

Further education and training opportunities will continue to be available to students and trainees from Ireland going to the UK, and vice versa, under the Common Travel Area. In addition to COVID-19 impact on the economy, there is also the additional economic impact associated with Brexit which could lead to increased demand in the FET sector.

**Future Policy Challenges**

There are a number of challenges facing the Education sector over the short and long term. The most fundamental challenges being managing the demographic shift from primary to post primary level, as well as the future demographic changes, expected growth in superannuation costs, higher participation rates and future funding pressures in the Higher Education Sector. Also, in the Tertiary Education sector there is a need to ensure that both the higher education and further education and training sectors are optimally configured to meet the skills needs of the labour market.

**Primary and Post Primary Education**

Demographic pressures at primary school level peaked at 567,800 in 2018/19, with the primary school population expected to decline year-on-year from 2019 to 2034. Furthermore, recent enrolment outturns for 2019/20 show only a small fall in primary enrolment numbers as post-primary enrolments continue to climb. It is likely the small decline in primary enrolments indicate the peak has been reached and that numbers will now decline.

This is not an isolated challenge for the primary education sector; a demographic “bubble” is working its way through the education system. While the decrease in demand for primary

---

teachers can be offset to some extent by the non-replacement of retiring teachers, the supply of teachers must be managed at post-primary level to ensure the needs of the sector are being met, particularly with regard to priority areas such as languages and STEM subjects. However, while post primary enrolments peak in 2025, this is expected to be followed by a year-on-year decline for the following decade (up to 2035), resulting in oversupply of teachers at second level. Beyond demographics, operational mechanisms play a role in determining the number of teaching posts each year—understanding them in greater detail will inform better projections of teaching post numbers. Thus the supply of teachers at both primary and secondary level needs to be carefully managed over the coming years, while having regard to challenges faced in meeting regional variations. Similarly, regional variations will also need to be managed appropriately to ensure cost-effective and sustainable planning of capital investment in the sector.

**Higher Education**

**Demographic changes, higher participation rates and future funding structures continue to pose a considerable challenge in the Higher Education Sector.** Full-time student enrolments have increased from 173,649 in 2015 to 184,981 in 2019 with a projected further increase of 29% (238,232) by 2029. Of these enrolments, Non-EU fee-paying student numbers are currently projected to rise from 20,280 in 2019 to 26,939 in 2029, however COVID-19 may impact on these projections. In addition to the COVID-19 / July stimulus measures highlighted above, recent funding measures have included a rise in the National Training Fund levy from 0.7% in 2018 to a rate of 1.0% in 2020 - providing an additional recurrent c.€200 million for the HE and FET sectors by 2021.

From January 2020, a new Human Capital Initiative, was established within the National Training Fund to invest c. €60 million per annum from the accumulated surplus in the NTF over a 5-year period. This investment will total c. €300 million over the period 2020-2024 and

---

17 Department of Public Expenditure and Reform and Department of Education Spending Review 2020 Teacher Allocation Model  
20 Using S3 baseline scenario from the Department of Education and Skills projections of full time demand for third level education.  
will be a key investment in HE and FET. The HCI will be used to support additional HE and FET expenditure to develop programmes and skills, at regional and national level, close to the labour market to help buffer the economy from potential economic risks.

A key policy challenge in the coming months will be to establish clarity on a sustainable future funding model for the Higher Education sector, including any implication for the treatment of higher education institutions in relation to their general government balance sheet. This will be informed and supported by analysis being funded by the EU Commission Structural Reform Report Services, and which is due to report in Q4 2020. The new Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science, together with D/PER, will be the lead Departments in this regard.

**Demographic changes, resulting in a larger number of first, second and third levels retirees on the superannuation education payrolls.** The actuarial review undertaken as part of the 2018 Spending Review, *Public Service Occupational Pensions in Ireland - Cash Flow Analysis*, projects continued growth in net benefit expenditure in the education sector over time, in line with trends across the public sector. This is a challenge across a number of Government Sectors.
Employment Affairs & Social Protection
Jenny Connors and Orlagh Lavelle

Summary

The Department of Employment Affairs and Social Protection accounts for the largest share of voted Government expenditure at 31% in 2019. Total Social Protection expenditure is funded through both the Vote and the Social Insurance Fund (SIF).

Ireland’s social safety net supported over 1.35 million people at the end of 2019, this equates to approximately 27% of the population.

- From 2014 to 2019, the overall numbers supported by DEASP reduced primarily due to positive labour market conditions over this period which resulted in fewer working age people on income supports.
- Over the same period the number of pension recipients has continued to grow annually, rising from 561,296 recipients in 2014 to 648,580 in 2019. See Figure 1 below.

Figure 1: Social Welfare Recipient Numbers, 2014-2019

---

22 The Social Insurance Fund (SIF) is made up of Pay Related Social Insurance (PRSI) contributions from employers, employees and the self-employed. The SIF provides insurance related payments to people in retirement and to meet certain contingencies, including periods of unemployment, illness and maternity leave.
Ireland’s social transfer system has continued to be effective in reducing the ‘at risk of poverty rate’. However, in more recent years the impact of transfers has diminished due to a more positive pre-transfer position.

- In 2010, Ireland’s social transfers system reduced the ‘at risk of poverty rate’ from 50.1% to 15.2%, a 34.9 percentage point difference.
- In 2018, Ireland’s social transfers system reduced the ‘at risk of poverty rate’ from 41% to 14.9%, a decrease of 26.1 percentage points.
- As illustrated in Figure 2 below, in more recent years the impact of transfers has diminished, this is primarily due to the considerable employment growth Ireland has experienced over this period which resulted in a reduction in the poverty rate before social transfers.

Figure 2: At Risk of Poverty Rate Before and After Social Transfers, 2010 -2018

Source: Eurostat

Section 1: Long Term Trends
- Over the period 2010 to 2012, changes in DEASP expenditure reflected the prevailing labour market conditions and the high number of people on Live Register related schemes.
- In the period 2014 to 2019, increases in expenditure continued but these were not driven by high levels of working age income and employment support recipients as the number on the Live Register reduced significantly.
The expenditure increases over this period were instead driven by a considerable rise in recipients on Pensions, Illness, Disability and Carer schemes. Other expenditure drivers over the period included increases in social welfare payment rates and the introduction of other new measures.

Figure 3: Social Protection Expenditure and Annual Average Live Register Number, 2010-2019

From 2014 to 2019, DEASP expenditure increased by €1 billion or 5% from €19.8 billion to €20.8 billion. The increase in underlying structural expenditure is much greater, this is expenditure after adjusting for scheme transfers to other Votes and excluding cyclical Live Register costs. This spend grew by €2.4bn or 14.5% over the period 2014 to 2019. See Table 1 below.

Table 2: DEASP Expenditure and Underlying Expenditure 2014 – 2019, €bn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>€bn</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total DEASP spend</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Reg. spend + scheme transfers</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying spend</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>+2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

A range of factors have played a role in driving changes in the composition of expenditure over the past decade.

**Figure 4: DEASP Expenditure by Programme Area 2010 – 2019, €m**

- **Firstly, demographic pressures have increased pension recipients, by 145,564 (or 29%) over the last 10 years.** This compares to an overall population rise of an estimated 366,700 or 8% over the same period. The number of children (0-19 years) increased by approximately 82,000 or 7%. Some of costs resulting from demographic pressures have been offset by the significant decreases in expenditure on working age income supports as a result of improvements to the labour market from 2012 onwards.
  - Over a ten year period, pensions’ expenditure has increased by €2.3bn or 39%, from €5.9bn in 2010 to €8.2bn in 2019. The main driver of this increase is the rising cost of the contributory state pension (SPC) reflecting the increase in recipient numbers.

- **The Illness, Disability & Carer (IDC) programme has experienced significant increase in spend over the last decade, increasing by €947m or almost 27%, from €3.6bn in 2010 to €4.5bn in 2019.** This is due to numbers on Illness, Disability and Carer schemes increasing
annually, with the number supported by the IDC programme rising by approximately 76,000\textsuperscript{23} or 25% over the period 2009 to 2018.

- **Expenditure on jobseekers payments and employment supports are driven by cyclical conditions.** The number of people on the Live Register rose sharply in 2008 as a result of the economic downturn and peaked at approximately 450,000 in 2011. From 2012, the numbers on the Live Register reduced significantly, falling by almost 257,000 or 59% to reach 181,996 by end 2019. These reductions have resulted in significant savings in the working age income supports programme of over €4.1bn between 2010 and 2019.

- Following the reductions in rates implemented during the downturn, the state pension rate was increased in Budget 2016 and then all primary social welfare payments were increased in Budgets 2017-2019. The changes in payment rates introduced over this period were well above changes in the inflation rate measured by Consumer Price Index (CPI). See Figure 4.

- The three budgets between 2017 and 2019 provided substantial social welfare packages averaging €475m. These packages have been a key driver of expenditure since 2017.

**Figure 5: Rate of changes to Weekly Social Welfare payments year on year Vs Annual CPI rate 2009–2018, Base = 2008)**

\[\text{Source: DPER Statbank}\]

\textsuperscript{23} This increase represents the period from end 2009 to end 2018 as reported in the DSP annual reports.
Temporary Supports in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

Ireland has reacted speedily to the COVID-19 crisis putting in place a number of temporary supports in response to the health and economic impact of the crisis. The response to the COVID crisis resulted in an additional 1.3 million people (at its peak in May) being supported by DEASP. These temporary supports and measures put in place in response to the COVID-19 crisis included:

1. The Enhanced Illness Benefit payment which was introduced to provide income support for employees and the self-employed who are affected through illness or self-isolation.
2. The Pandemic Unemployment Payment (PUP) was introduced on 13 March at a rate of €203 per week and subsequently increased on 24 March to €350 per week for employees whose employment were forced to close in response to the public health crisis.
3. The temporary increase to the Qualified Adult rate on certain schemes to €147 per week to bring the standard payment for these schemes to €350 for a two adult household.
4. The extension of the Fuel Allowance Season by four weeks from Friday 10 April to Friday 8 May to support current recipients in relation to additional heating costs.
5. The Temporary Wage Subsidy Scheme (TWSS) was introduced on 26 March 2020 to provide income support to eligible employees where the employer’s business activities were negatively impacted by the COVID-19. The aim of the TWSS was to maximise staff retention and firm viability by maintaining the link between the employer and employee.

As of July 2019, there are a total of 2.3 million people being supported by a DEASP income support/subsidy. This equates to 47% of the population. The total numbers supported by unemployment payments and other temporary COVID-19 income support schemes was 962,662 as at 4 August.
Total expenditure to date (as of 27 July) on the PUP and the TWSS temporary COVID-19 schemes is in the region of €5billion.

Figure 7: Weekly Trend of Expenditure on Temporary COVID 19 Schemes, €m
### Other Future Policy Challenges

Demographic pressures will continue to drive up recipient numbers and costs, particularly in relation to pensions. Analysis\(^\text{24}\) published in 2019 estimated the average annual cost of demographic change on the Social Protection budget at €179m between 2020 and 2030\(^\text{25}\). Demographics will also contribute to upward pressures on disability and carer related supports. Other structural issues will also pose a challenge into the future. Disability income support expenditure has increased significantly over the past 20 years but this growth has escalated over the period since 2012 with annual average growth of 5% in the number of recipients.

In the short to medium term, the primary challenges will be to support businesses, jobs and help people to get back to employment. A core aspect to this will be in ensuring expenditure is directed towards the most appropriate supports that help to effectively achieve the social and economic objectives of Ireland’s recovery.

Activation is a key policy tool to support and promote the move back to sustainable employment. In the medium to longer term, a timely recovery will be required to ensure the sustainability of expenditure and for positive employment outcomes.

\(^{24}\) Connors, Moran and Ivory; Budgetary Impact of Changing Demographics 2020 – 2030; IGEES, 2019. The future estimates in this paper have factored in increases of the pension age to 67 in 2021 and to 68 in 2028.
Finance
Victoria Cahill

Summary

Total voted expenditure for this Departmental Group 2010-2019 is €4,519m.

Department of Finance
The Department of Finance manages government finances and plays a central role in the achievement of the government’s economic and social goals having regard to the Programme for a Partnership Government.

C&AG
The objectives of the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor are to carry out high quality audits efficiently, in a timely manner, and in accordance with International Standards on Auditing; to produce reports that facilitate scrutiny of audited bodies by the Oireachtas and which contribute to better public administration; and to authorise the timely release of funds from the Exchequer on foot of requisitions by or on behalf of the Minister for Finance.

Revenue
The Office of the Revenue Commissioners, as the Irish tax and customs administration, plays a vital role in our economy by collecting the vast majority of the taxes and duties due to the State. These receipts underpin Government’s capacity to fund vital services and facilities for society.

Tax Appeals Commission
The Tax Appeals Commission (TAC) was established on 21 March 2016 and replaced the former Office of the Appeal Commissioners.
The principal purposes of the establishment of the new structure for tax appeals were to:

- ensure an enhanced and cost-effective appeal mechanism for tax cases
- provide transparency and increased certainty for taxpayers
- ensure that the Appeal Commissioners be seen as fully independent, and
- ensure that there be no actual or perceived bias in the operation of the appeals system.
Section 1.1: Long-Term Trends

Department of Finance

Figure 2: Expenditure on the Department of Finance 2010-2019, €000s

Total Voted Expenditure on the Department of Finance equalled €359.3m within the period 2010-2019. The expenditure cliff in 2011 represents the establishment of the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform, and the separation of functions. Funding remained relatively stable between 2012 and 2015. Since 2015 it has increased slightly year-on-year. The non-pay increase in 2019 reflects a Supplementary Estimate provided on Vote 7 in relation to the settlement of a case pertaining to Air Travel Tax.
Total Voted Expenditure of the Comptroller and Auditor General equalled €117.9m within the period 2010-2019. From 2010 to 2019, gross annual expenditure has increased from €10.8m to €13.8m, an increase of €3m or 27%.

Total Voted Expenditure of the Revenue Commissioners equalled €4,037m within the period 2010-2019. From 2010 to 2019, gross annual expenditure has increased from €401,769m to €449,520m an increase of €47,751m or 11.9%.
**Tax Appeals Commission**

**Figure 5: Expenditure of the Revenue Commissioners 2010-2019, €000s**

Total Voted Expenditure of the Tax Appeals Commission equalled €5.21m within the period 2016-2019. From 2016 to 2019, gross annual expenditure increased from €0.89m to €1.773m an increase of €0.83m or 99.2%.

**Section 2: Expenditure Drivers**

The drivers of expenditure for the Department of Finance are:

- **The pay bill**: while remaining relatively stable from 2011-2018, has increased in 2019 to provide for recruitment and for the Lansdowne Road and Public Service Stability Agreement. It accounts for almost 46% of the original 2019 gross estimate.

- **Fuel Grant**: €10.5m was provided for in 2019 for the Fuel Grant under the Disabled Drivers and Passengers (tax Concessions) Scheme. The grant has been paid since 1 January 2016 in respect of fuel used in the previous 12 months. This accounts for approximately 25% of the original 2019 gross estimate.

- **Consultancy** related to policy advice and legal advice account for approximately another 11% of the original 2019 gross estimate. The outturn in any year in this category of expenditure depends on the amount of the potential legal cases concerning the Department take place.

As indicated in figure 6, the majority of expenditure by the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General in 2019 was accounted for by salaries (80%) and contracted out audit services (8%).
The most significant increases in expenditure in the period 2010 – 2019 relate to:

- **Salaries, wages and allowances** – due to the steady increase in staff numbers from 150 to 185 (as more resources were put in to financial audits in order to clear arrears of audits; additional effort on certain audits such as third level education audits, more focus on propriety in financial audits and increasingly demanding auditing standards and increase in reporting resources since 2018) and average increase in wages of 4%.

- **Travel and subsistence** – due to overall increase in rates (approx. 20%), business travel needs and international cooperation with other auditing bodies.

- **Training and development** – there has been a steady increase in this expenditure due to year-on-year increases in staff number, staff turnover and the Office’s focus on continuous professional development.

- **Office equipment and information technology** – remote working (either from home or from client sites) is a critical element of the Office’s work, which is supported by this expenditure.

- **Outsourcing audit costs** – the use of contract audit services has been key to advancing the timeliness of financial audit certification. The cost of contracting out audits increased over the last decade due to the high market demand for the services.

The Office may charge fees for audits, inspections or examinations in accordance with section 12 of the Comptroller and Auditor General (Amendment) Act 1993 subject to the consent of the Minister for Public Expenditure and Reform. These fees are classified as appropriation-in-aid.

The recovery policy in relation to charging audit fees is as follows:

- fees are charged for all State-sponsored bodies and most departmental fund accounts
- fees are not charged for the audit of appropriation accounts, Finance Account, inspections or value for money examinations
- fees aim to recover the outlay in carrying out audits for which fees are chargeable.
Since 2001, the Office policy has been to adjust fees to as close as possible to full cost recovery levels over a rolling three-year period. The year-on-year timing of receipts related to arrears or their reduction may have impact on the level of receipts in the year.

The main driver of Revenue’s expenditure is the steady increase in their customer base (the number of active taxpayers – businesses, employers/employees, traders, property owners, etc). Economic growth leads to more business and job creation, creating extra demand on Revenue customer services and extra requirements for Revenue’s compliance work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAYE Employments</td>
<td>2.53m</td>
<td>2.60m</td>
<td>2.31m</td>
<td>2.30m</td>
<td>2.41m</td>
<td>2.51m</td>
<td>2.62m</td>
<td>2.75m</td>
<td>2.96m</td>
<td>3.18m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-assessment</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
<td>0.6m</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.7m</td>
<td>0.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companies</td>
<td>0.16m</td>
<td>0.16m</td>
<td>0.16m</td>
<td>0.16m</td>
<td>0.17m</td>
<td>0.18m</td>
<td>0.18m</td>
<td>0.19m</td>
<td>0.20m</td>
<td>0.20m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued investment in information and communications technology, as well as providing a better service for the taxpaying public, has been a major driver of productivity growth in Revenue to ensure that higher volumes of taxpayers’ needs are addressed in an effective and efficient manner as possible.

In addition to the growth in customer numbers, in any given year, Revenue must implement urgent and new projects that ensure the State’s complex tax systems can support budgetary and legislative changes as introduced by the Government or the EU. These project continually are delivered on time and to a high standard.

The TAC pay bill accounts for the majority of the vote - 79% in 2019, 81% in 2018, 67% in 2017 and 74% in 2016.

On establishment the TAC inherited 282 cases from the Office of the Appeal Commissioners. During 2016 an additional 2,700 additional legacy appeals were transferred from Revenue. As a result, a backlog of appeals developed, standing at approximately 3,370 at year end 2019. In 2019 the TAC received 1,495 new appeals and closed 1,584 appeals.

In 2018, the Minister for Finance sanctioned an independent review of the workload and operations of the Tax Appeals Commission. The review made a number of recommendations on governance, resources and process improvements within the Commission, resulting in a near-doubling of the TAC budget from 2018 to 2019.

Subsequently, additional recruitment took place throughout 2019. Total FTE staff number was 27 at end 2019. In 2020 the total number of staff will reach 32.5 FTE, including 5.5 Appeal Commissioners and a Chairperson, as per the recommendations of the review.
The vote estimate for Office Equipment & External IT Services also increased substantially between 2018 and 2019, to facilitate the procurement of a new Case Management System. The Commission hired 2 internal ICT professionals to manage internal ICT and to develop the new Case Management System.

Section 3: COVID19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

**Department of Finance**

**Covid-19:** The economic landscape has fundamentally changed due to the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic. Economic activity has temporarily come to an effective stand-still in an effort to suppress the transmission of the Covid-19 virus. Non-food retail, entertainment and hospitality are among those sectors most impacted. With other sectors also affected to varying degrees, economic activity has fallen sharply in Ireland.

The Covid-19 crisis has continued to have a significant impact on the labour market. While the standard measure of Monthly Unemployment was 5.6% in May 2020, a new Covid-19 adjusted measure of unemployment could indicate a rate as high as 26.1% if all claimants of the Pandemic Unemployment Payment were classified as unemployed. While this figure is expected to fall with future easing of restrictions and a limited return to work for most sectors, it remains a significant challenge going forward.

**Brexit:** Negotiations between the EU and UK on a future partnership agreement are ongoing and the Government remains hopeful agreement can be reached. However, limited progress to date has resulted in heightened uncertainty, making the assumption of an agreed exit when the transition period ends on 31 December 2020 now less certain. In light of this, the Government has decided to intensify readiness work over the coming months on the basis of two scenarios: (i) a limited trade agreement (including a fisheries agreement), or, (ii) no agreement, meaning the UK and EU would trade on WTO terms from 1 January 2021. In parallel, work is continuing on the implementation of the Withdrawal Agreement, which includes the Protocol on Ireland/Northern Ireland.

It has always been clear that Brexit will have a negative impact on the economy and on domestic living standards, irrespective of the form that it takes. Regardless of the outcome, there will be change for Government, business and citizens. A Free Trade Agreement in goods only will not address a range of areas important to Ireland such as transport, energy and police and judicial cooperation. Recognising this and planning accordingly is the most appropriate strategy and the Department of Finance is preparing for both scenarios.

**Comptroller and Auditor General**

As the OCAG prepares its strategic plan for 2021-2025, progress on the following challenges is the key focus:
Timeliness of certification of audits – Following the significant reduction of arrears of financial audits, OCAG is focusing on improving the timeliness of certification of financial audits within the 12-month period. While many bodies have been able to adhere to normal financial reporting deadlines during the 2020 public health emergency, some are having difficulty in that regard and in engaging with audit.

Adoption of an accruals based accounting framework for appropriation accounts - the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform is leading this project, which will have a significant impact on the production and audit of appropriation accounts. OCAG is represented as an observer on the project’s Standing Advisory Group.

Increasing the number of special reports/examinations – This remains a challenge for OCAG given the competing demands for resources, but both OCAG, and our stakeholders, recognise the importance of us achieving this. During the public health emergency, some of our reporting work was deferred or stopped to free up staff for temporary release for crisis-related work. In the event, a significant transfer out of staff was not required. We are now reviewing our forward work programme to reflect the new risks and challenges public bodies face, and to prioritise appropriately other planned work. Availability of sufficient resources is a key factor in delivering this objective.

Greater sharing of audit insights – OCAG has an opportunity to increase the impact of its work, through broader engagement with clients and a more general sharing of audit findings. One such event in April was deferred and OCAG is exploring option to deliver these types of large scale events remotely.

Revenue

Covid-19: Revenue has worked with the Department of Finance, other government departments and stakeholders to introduce a series of measures and supports to help businesses deal with the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Many of these supports are simplifications of existing administrative practices or reprioritising of certain activities by Revenue. Many of the measures will have only a temporary cash flow impact and are expected to be Exchequer neutral in terms of cost overall but still require IT developments or administrative changes by Revenue.

The Temporary Wage Subsidy Scheme (TWSS) and the ‘warehousing’ of certain COVID-19 related tax debts are two of the most significant measures introduced to support businesses.

TWSS was implemented from March and is supporting over half a million employees with over 50,000 employers by early June. The cumulative value of the payments made under the Scheme is €1,370 million to the same period. Government has announced the extension of the Scheme until end August.
To the end of March, tax warehousing measures provided vital liquidity support for businesses benefiting some 14,000 VAT registered businesses and over 30,000 employers. The value of the measures was approximately €800 million for January/February VAT and February Payroll taxes.

**Brexit:** Revenue will continue to work to support trade and businesses to maintain high levels of voluntary compliance through the transition phase and post Brexit. Revenue’s approach is grounded in facilitating legitimate trade to move goods as speedily and efficiently as possible through the efficient implementation of tax and customs procedures.

As well as hiring additional trade facilitation staff, throughout 2019 Revenue engaged in a series of outreach events to assist businesses in assessing the impact Brexit will have on their operations, Revenue also made direct contact with businesses. This engagement encompassed all businesses (over 100,000) that traded with the United Kingdom (UK) in 2018 and/or 2019, identified through Revenue’s analysis of the VAT Information Exchange System (VIES) returns. This engagement continues in 2020.

**TAC**

**Covid-19:** Whilst remote working and the processing of appeals presents a significant challenge to the Commission, since the restrictions were introduced the processing of current and new appeals is continuing. However, the cancellation of hearings until the restrictions are lifted presents a more difficult challenge due to their nature. The Commission is actively pursuing the possibility of conducting entire hearings using video conferencing only. This presents legal and operational difficulties that the Commission is working to overcome. The Covid 19 restrictions has also led to the delay in the transfer of the Commission’s ICT platform to the BTS ICT platform and a delay in the development of the new Case Management System.

**Addressing backlogs** – the TAC is taking action to address the backlog of appeals to date and will have the challenge of integrating additional Commissioners and staff to the existing cohort to achieve this goal.

**Legislation** – legislation to establish the role of Chairperson in the TAC was enacted in December 2019 Finance (Tax Appeals and Prospectus Regulation) Act 2019, and the appointment of the new Chairperson is awaiting ministerial sign off. The TAC has put in place structures to incorporate the new Chairperson role within its governance structure.

**Progression of ICT projects** – while ICT projects are not policy challenges per se, an effective Case Management System (CMS) and appropriate ICT equipment is essential to the delivery of TAC services. The TAC plan to develop a new CMS with improved functionality to interface with appellants, agents and with Revenue’s systems and to deliver improved reporting capability. There is a need to ensure that the final product is properly designed, tested, user friendly, value for money and supports the Civil Service-wide commitment to providing world-class service to citizens.
Summary

The Foreign Affairs Group of Votes consists of:
- Vote 27 – International Co-operation
- Vote 28 – Foreign Affairs and Trade

Total voted expenditure for this Departmental Vote Group 2010 – 2019 is €7,207m.

The funding provided to International Co-Operation represents international development cooperation funding, including bilateral country programmes, long term multilateral engagement and shorter term humanitarian responses. In 2019, Ireland published a new policy for international development, “A Better World” with its call to reach those Furthest Behind First.

The funding provided to the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade supports the Department’s efforts to serve the Irish people, promote their values and advance Ireland’s prosperity abroad, and provides the Government with the capabilities, analysis and influence to ensure that Ireland derives the maximum benefit from all areas of its external engagement.

The current main expenditure drivers are the COVID19 crisis response which includes the repatriation of Irish citizens who have been stranded abroad and support to the Irish diaspora communities, the ongoing increased demand for passports and citizenship, ongoing related Brexit implementation negotiations, opening new missions and implementation of the government’s strategy “Global Ireland – Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025”, Ireland’s increasing contributions to international organisations, ICT global network support costs, currency exchange exposures and general cost increases worldwide which are expanded on below.

There are five expenditure programmes under Vote 28 corresponding with the Department’s five high level goals: - Our People, Our Place in Europe, Our Values, Our Prosperity and Our Influence.

The future policy challenges facing this Departmental Vote group are:
- Covid19 response (including repatriation of Irish citizens from abroad, supporting Irish communities overseas, analysing international trends to inform Government policy, maintaining Ireland’s mission network and supporting other government Departments and the HSE with the international procurement of critical medical supplies and PPE)
- Brexit implementation;
- Passport Reform and increasing demand (despite the initial reduction during the early days of the COVID19 crisis) for passports;
- Ireland’s commitment to International Development;
- Delivering on “Global Ireland – Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025”, and
- Ireland’s UN SECCO seat 2021-2022.

**Figure 1 – Total Voted Foreign Affairs Group Expenditure, 2010-2019**

**Section 1.1: Long-Term Trends**

**International Co-operation**

**Figure 2 – Expenditure on International Co-operation 2010-2019, €000s**
Total Voted Expenditure on International Co-operation equalled €5,023m within the period 2010-2019. From 2010 to 2019, gross annual expenditure has increased from €524m to €543m and increase of €19m or 3.6%.

**Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade**

**Figure 3 – Expenditure on the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade 2010-2019, €000s**

Total Voted Expenditure on the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade equalled €2,184m within the period 2010-2019. From 2010 to 2019, gross annual expenditure has increased from €224m to €266m, an increase of €42m or 18.8%.

**Section 2: Expenditure Drivers**

- **Ireland’s Consular Response to COVID19**: During the first half of 2020 the Department was at the forefront of efforts to repatriate Irish citizens who had become stranded abroad due to the COVID19 crisis. This involved significant engagement with airlines, foreign governments, local authorities, health services, police forces and Irish citizens stranded overseas. While every effort was made to facilitate returning citizens on commercial flights and charter flights arranged by other countries, the Department also arranged a number of charter flights to repatriate Irish citizens. The Department also activated a dedicated helpline to provide direct support and advice to Irish citizens and is coordinating assistance and support for Irish communities who are resident abroad during this COVID19 crisis.

- **Increased demand for passports**: Despite an initial decrease in passport demand during the early stages of the COVID19 crisis there continues to be a sustained increase in demand for passports. This will probably maintain operating costs at 2019 levels with expected growth in applications anticipated in future years. The Passport Service, over the last two years, has introduced online passport applications for both first time applicants based in EU countries and renewals worldwide. Further
developments are planned to expand online applications to all applicants over the coming 12 months. In addition, there is also a significant increase in applications for Irish citizenship under the Foreign Births Registration procedures.

- **Brexit**: A key focus for this Department continues to be the safeguarding of Ireland’s interests in the broader context of Brexit implementation and additional resources have been put in place at HQ and in key EU Missions to address the challenges.

- **Ireland’s increasing contributions to international organisations**: The Department pays Ireland’s contributions to a number of international organisations including the UN, OECD, OSCE and the Council of Europe. These assessed contributions for Ireland are based on formulae related to Ireland’s Gross National Income (GNI). As Ireland’s GNI has increased this has resulted in a corresponding increase in Ireland’s assessed contributions to these international organisations. In addition, changes to the UN Peacekeeping Budget will result in higher contributions by Ireland in 2019.

- **‘Global Ireland – Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025’ Implementation**: As part of the 2020 Budget, €12.5 million was allocated to the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade to continue to implement on the commitments under Global Ireland. In 2020, funding has been allocated to enable preparations for Ireland’s involvement in Expo 2020 in Dubai (which due to COVID19 has now been rescheduled to 2021), planning for the construction of an Ireland House in Tokyo, the opening of new Embassies and Consulates and the strengthening of resources at Headquarters.

- **New Missions**: The 2020 Budget provided resources to enable the commitments under Global Ireland to complete the first phase of openings of new Irish Embassies and Consulates. Embassies are now open in Wellington, Monrovia, Bogotá, Santiago and Amman, and Consulates General are open in Vancouver, Mumbai, Cardiff, Frankfurt and Los Angeles. Planning is underway to open embassies in Rabat, Kyiv and Manila before the end of the year.

- **ICT global network support costs**, including those associated with the COVID19 response, the supply of ICT services worldwide and an upgrade in technology facilities under the Department’s ICT Strategy 2018-2020 are driving expenditure in this area.

- **General cost increases worldwide**, currency exchange exposure, ICT Global Network and the Global State Property Portfolio are also driving expenditure within this Department.

---

**Section 3: COVID19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges**

**COVID-19**: During the first half of 2020 the Department repatriated Irish citizens who had become stranded abroad due to the COVID19 crisis. While every effort was made to facilitate returning citizens on commercial flights and charter flights arranged by other countries, the Department also arranged a number of charter flights to repatriate Irish citizens. The Department also activated a dedicated 24/7 helpline to provide direct support and advice to Irish citizens. The citizens have undertaken to repay the Department for the majority of the costs incurred.
To meet the urgent needs of Irish communities abroad, a Covid-19 Response Fund was established with funding of €2.5m ring-fenced from the existing Emigrant Support Programme budget which to date has supported over 60 projects worldwide. It is anticipated that further demand will arise in this area.

The Department is now looking beyond the immediate COVID-19 crisis response to a transitional phase, and towards the recovery phase. During this next period, the Department will support the ongoing public health requirements, international procurement and economic support measures, and manage an increasingly complex context for provision of consular and Irish abroad outreach services, while assisting with the strategic response to Ireland’s broader economic and social recovery.

**Brexit:** the Department will lead on the negotiations for a future EU-UK relationship, the implementation of the Protocol on Ireland and Northern Ireland and Brexit Preparedness, all of which will be crucial to Ireland’s recovery in the period ahead.

**Passport Service Reform Programme and increasing demand for passports:** The Passport Reform Programme was launched in 2016 and has an ambitious plan to transform the Passport Service by delivering 32 projects at a total cost of €21.3m over a five-year period. The Programme has delivered major upgrades to Passport Service technology platforms and business processes as well as significant customer service improvements. The introduction of Online Adult Renewals in March 2017, Online Child Renewals in November 2018 and for the majority of First Time Applicants in October 2019 have been the most significant public innovations for the Passport Service the current processing system in the early 2000s. The result has been a significantly enhanced customer service due to ease of use and improved delivery times, with nearly one million citizens using the online channel since its launch.

Tangible benefits from the focus on increasing the protection of the integrity of the Irish passport have been realised through the enhanced Facial Recognition System, the new Emergency Travel Certificate with enhanced security features, and new business processes which help mitigate against the threat of fraud. Before its conclusion, the Reform Programme will also deliver a new back-end Passport Issuance and Processing System (PIPS) and a second automated passport mailing machine, while also expanding the online channel to allow all Irish citizens to apply 24/7 from anywhere in the world. Development of the new system will continue during 2020. While there was a decrease in applications during the early stages of the COVID19 crisis it is expected that application volumes will increase slightly for 2020.

**Ireland’s commitment to International Development:** Ireland’s new policy for international development “A Better World” focusses on a number of commitments addressing international climate action; humanitarian need; gender equality; and governance through its development cooperation programme. This new policy reconfirms Ireland’s commitment to progressing towards the United Nations target of providing 0.7% of Gross National Income (GNI) in Official Development Assistance (ODA), where economic circumstances permit.
In addition, because of a proposed increase in the volume and changes in the management of the EU’s international development support under the next Multiannual Financial Framework, it is likely that the proportion of Ireland’s Official Development Assistance (ODA) going through the EU institutions (presently 26%) will increase. Ireland’s new Policy for International Development, “A Better World”, and “Global Ireland, Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025” commit to the deepening of our relations within the EU on development issues and the strengthening of our presence in multilateral institutions.

**Delivering on “Global Ireland – Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025”**: In June 2018 the Government launched Global Ireland, Ireland’s Global Footprint to 2025, an ambitious plan which aims to double the scope and impact of Ireland’s international presence by 2025. The Department has opened 10 new mission to date with 3 more planned for later this year.

**Ireland’s UN SECCO Seat**: On 2 July 2018 the Taoiseach and Tánaiste launched Ireland’s election campaign for a non-permanent seat on the UN Security Council for the 2021-2022 term.

Ireland has sought election to the Security Council at roughly 20-year intervals. The campaign is being used as an opportunity to showcase Ireland’s foreign policy credentials and international standing at the UN, to highlight our contribution to the UN throughout six decades of membership in the fields of sustainable development, peacekeeping, disarmament and human rights and to build relationships with new partners for investment, trade and tourism. Ireland won a seat on the Security Council in the elections held in June and will formally take this seat on the council on 1 January 2021 for a two year term.
Health
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Summary

**2010 to 2019, total voted expenditure on healthcare rose from €14.52bn to €18.38bn.** Health expenditure has fluctuated over the last decade. Expenditure increased considerably from 2010; initially falling to 2013 due to the economic downturn and then continuously rising, reaching a peak in 2019 of €18.38bn. Healthcare has consistently accounted for approximately a quarter of total Voted Government expenditure over the period.

**Total health expenditure increased by 27% from 2015 to 2019, representing significant growth over such a short period of time.** Growth over this period was significantly driven by increased expenditure in the acute hospital setting through increased staff numbers and wider growth in pharmaceutical expenditure.

In terms of outcomes, from 2010 to 2018 life expectancy in Ireland rose from 80.7 to 82.6, an increase of one year and eleven months. Ireland has a relatively young population, life expectancy has risen and remains higher than the EU average. However, while 84% have described their experience in an acute hospital setting as ‘good’ or ‘very good’, Ireland’s bed occupancy rate is above 90% and has been consistently above that of the EU average over the decade. Obesity and caesarean section rates continues to rise, the population is growing more elderly with the demographic impact of population aging is expected to have a significant impact on health expenditure in the years ahead.

The estimates expenditure pressures arising from demographic costs are informed by the ‘Budgetary Impact of Changing Demographics 2017-2021’ paper, published by the Irish Government Economic and Evaluation Service (IGEES) in 2016. Health was prescribed €130m in demographics for 2019 and €137m in 2020 under this analysis.

**There is an increasing need to ensure that all health resources are deployed as effectively as possible.** To this end, Spending Review 2020 will take an in-depth look at the following health related topics:

- An analysis of State Expenditure on Pharmaceuticals with a focus on High-tech drugs.
- A focused policy assessment (FPA) on the National Drugs Strategy.
Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Public health spending has traditionally been the second largest area of Government expenditure after Social Protection, and the amount spent on in health has consistently risen since 2013. Figure 1 below illustrates this trend.

**Figure 1: Total Public Expenditure on Health 2010 to 2019, €000s**

![Graph showing total public expenditure on health from 2010 to 2019 in €000s.]

Source: Department of Health; Department of Public Expenditure and Reform

2019 Number figure based on estimate of off-vote income by author

It is difficult to compare health expenditure across this period on a like-for-like basis due to a number of significant changes that have taken place. These include the transfer of Children and Families expenditure to the Children and Youth Affairs Vote in 2014 and the disestablishment of the HSE Vote in 2015. Figure 1 attempts to control for these changes. In 2010, the total Healthcare expenditure was just over €14.5bn; by 2019 that figure had risen to €18.34bn.
Spending contracted during the recession—largely the result of central pay agreements and the recruitment moratorium—but has continuously grown since 2013. From 2010 to 2013 health expenditure fell by 7%, as a result of a general decrease in Government spending during the economic crisis. In the period from 2013 to 2019 health spending increased by an average of €809m annually.

Healthcare has made up a significant proportion of Government expenditure over the past decade. Since the establishment of the HSE in 2005, Health has on average accounted for 25% of Voted expenditure annually. While Government spending on health care would have had to increase over the past ten years simply to keep pace with a growing population, the rate of expenditure growth since 2008 has been far greater than demographic pressures.

**Figure 2: Government Expenditure on Health per capita, 2000 to 2018**

![Government Expenditure on Health per capita, 2000 to 2018](image)

*Source: OECD*
As with total expenditure, per-capita spending declined for a period after 2009 but has been growing again since 2013, as can be seen in Figure 3. In 2009, Government spending on health was €2,621 per person but by 2018 this had risen by over 2% to €3,211, based on the latest available OECD Data. This compares to an OECD average per capita spend of €2,005 in 2009 and €2,660 in 2018.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

This sections details the underlying drivers of public expenditure on health.

Pay Bill

The most significant driver of the increase in Health expenditure over the past decade is the HSE pay bill. Pay account for almost 50% of voted health expenditure, and indeed some elements of the non-pay budget, such as grants, also go towards pay. Table 1 below shows that from 2010 to 2019, the health pay bill increased by €1.1bn, or 17%. This increase is a result of a combination of pay restoration and agreements, and of a considerable expansion of the HSE workforce between 2014 and 2019, with approximately 22,000 additional WTEs hired by the HSE over the this period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change (€m)</td>
<td>-463</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>-134</td>
<td>-85</td>
<td>-81</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change (%)</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change</td>
<td>-1,781</td>
<td>-3,580</td>
<td>-2,887</td>
<td>-1,547</td>
<td>-2,168</td>
<td>6,093</td>
<td>3,201</td>
<td>3,710</td>
<td>7,062</td>
<td>1,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Change (%)</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HSE Employment Reports

Pharmaceutical spend

The largest element of growth in total non-pay related health expenditure is pharmaceuticals. Pharmaceutical expenditure has fluctuated over the period 2012 to 2019. Expenditure on some community schemes increased from 2012 to 2014 as a consequence of the introduction of a number of measures tightening eligibility and reducing supplier fees (FEMPI). However from 2014 to 2019 pharmaceutical expenditure was on an upward

26 General Medical Services (GMS), Drug Payment Schemes (DPS), Long Term Illness (LTI)
trajectory, this is similar to the trend in overall health expenditure as significant growth occurred from 2014 onwards.

**Figure 3: Pharmaceutical Spend Across Hospitals and Community Schemes 2012-2019**

Source: PCRS Administrative Data, HSE Acute Hospital Drugs Cost Estimate

The impact of new and more advanced treatment options in the form of high-tech drugs is both significant in enhancing the wellbeing of individuals with illnesses and remains a significant challenge in terms of overall budget impact, due to their high costs.

**Hospital Expenditure**

Acute hospital gross expenditure remained relatively flat from 2013 to 2014, and then expenditure rose consistently. The majority of the increase in hospital spend from 2013 to 2019 is explained by the considerable growth in pay expenditure over the period. Hospital expenditure increased by 39% over the period 2013 to 2019 and in 2019 67% of expenditure was on pay related spend.

Growth in non-pay expenditure in hospitals followed a similar trajectory to spend on hospital drugs. Mainstream hospital drugs account for 70% of total drugs spend. Hospital drug spend increased by €197m (63%) over the period 2013 to 2019 which explains the majority of the increase in non-pay spend in hospitals over the period. Growth in hospital drug spend began to stabilise after as a result of a pharmaceutical sector agreement in 2016. This agreement reduced the price the State pays for medicines in Ireland. The impact of this agreement is evident from 2016 to 2017 when spend on hospital drugs increased by just 3%, however, further increases have been evident in subsequent years.
Caesarean Sections

**Figure 4: Caesarean Sections per 1,000 live births, 2017**

Caesarean sections can result in increased financial costs and lengthier stays in hospital. Ireland’s rate of caesarean section has increased steadily since 2010 when there were 260.4 sections for every 1,000 live births (26%). In 2017, Ireland had the 7th highest rate of caesarean section per 1,000 live births in the OECD, with 314 sections taking place for every 1,000 live births (31%). This is above the OECD average of 262.1 caesarean sections per 1,000 live births and well above the 10-15% the WHO recommended national C-section rate.

Source: OECD

Obesity

Obesity significantly increases the risk of chronic disease placing additional pressure on a country’s healthcare system. It is associated with many forms of cancers, stroke, type-2 diabetes and heart disease. The treatment of obesity and its related ailments also comes with direct and more significantly indirect costs in the form of public health care spend. It is an increasing health and budgetary concern in Ireland and indeed around the world.

Ireland has seen a marked increase in obesity rates over the last two decades. Measured using BMI, Eurostat 2014 statistics show Ireland as the 10th most obese country in Europe with 18.2% of the population obese. 15.6% of the EU population in 2014 was obese, that’s one in every 6 adults over 18 years of age. The WHO forecast that by 2030, 47% on the adult population over 20 years of age in Ireland will be obese.\(^2\)

\(^2\) Based on 2008 estimates.
remain a significant burden on the Irish healthcare system and indeed a driver of health care expenditure.

**Medical Cards**

While the issuing of medical cards was a significant health spend driver in recent years, numbers peaked between 2012 and 2013 and following this they have been on a downward trajectory. This downward trend has continued into 2019 with the number of medical cards falling by a total of 305,006 or 16% from end 2013 to end 2019. See Table 1 for annual medical card numbers from 2013-2019.

**Table 4: Number of Medical Cards in the Health System 2013-2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Medical Cards</th>
<th>Change 2013-2019 YTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1,849,380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,797,811</td>
<td>-51,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,734,853</td>
<td>-62,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1,683,768</td>
<td>-51,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1,609,820</td>
<td>-73,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,565,049</td>
<td>-44,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1,544,374</td>
<td>-20,675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Number of Medical Cards in the Health System 2013-2019

Source: PCRS Administrative Data

To highlight the trend over the decade, Figure 5 below shows that medical card numbers rose consistently from 2010 to 2012 however since 2012 the number of medical cards in the system has fallen every year.

**Figure 5: Number of Medical Cards in the Health System 2010-2019**

Over the period 2013 to 2019, medical card numbers have fallen by an annual of average 3% or around 50,000 cards each year, as the labour market improved. However, these reductions are expected to reverse in 2020 as indicated by early figures which show that medical cards have increased by 17,575 (1%) to 1,561,949 since the beginning of 2020 to end April 2020. The number of GPVC holders has increase sharply over this period due primarily to policy changes in universal GP access for certain age cohorts.

**Summary of Expenditure Drivers**

The pay bill has increased 17% over the period and pressures in this form are expected to continue into 2020 with additional staffing requirements due to COVID-19 and further FEMPI
restoration measures expected in October 2020. Hospital expenditure has also increased over the period and has been signalled as a significant expenditure driver again for 2020. This is discussed in further detail in Section 4. While C-section rates have continued to increase and this is ultimately a clinical decision as well as an expenditure pressure, the percentage of women in child bearing age continues to fall as does the number of children borne by each. Although obesity has been noted as a significant expenditure risk going forward, the most recent Programme for Government does outline preventative measures such as tackling obesity and promoting exercise for increased health benefits. Such measures may save money in the long-term if implemented in a manner that brings about behavioural changes.

The State’s total pharmaceutical spend is a significant driver with a 31% increase (almost €0.6bn) increase over the 2014-2020 period. The cost of new drugs, expanding eligibility and demographics are the main drivers and will provide a challenge into the future. Savings associated with the significant decline in the numbers of medical cards allocated in recent years have dissipated and early indications in 2020 are that card numbers are increasing.

Section 3: Outputs and performance indicators
The increased investment in health over the past decade has been associated with improving health outcomes in the population. The clearest and most objective measure of this success is perhaps the growth in life expectancy that has been witnessed since 2010, as shown in Figure 11.

Life Expectancy

Figure 6: Life Expectancy at Birth (years); 2010-2018

Ireland’s life expectancy at birth has increased 2.3% over the decade. In 2010, Irish life expectancy at birth was 80.7 years; 2.4 months more than the EU15 average of 80.5 years.
During the economic downturn there was some divergence but in 2016 Ireland’s life expectancy at birth converged with the EU15 average, at 81.8 and 81.7 years respectively. The following two years saw Ireland’s life expectancy at birth rise further and surpass the EU15 average, to reach 82.6 years in 2018, compared with 81.9 years average of the remaining EU15.

The growth in life expectancy in those years coincides with expenditure growth of €14.2bn to €16.2bn (14%) across the same period; an average annual increase of €251m. This growth in life expectancy suggests that investment into healthcare in recent decades has translated into tangible benefits for the population. However given the amount invested in health care each year and Ireland’s relatively young population, it may be the case that our performance in terms of health metrics is not as strong as it may appear on the surface.

**Elderly population**

*Figure 7: Percentage of Population classified as elderly (>65 years), 2010-2019*

The growth in the proportion of elderly within the population is something which healthcare and other areas of public expenditure, such as social welfare, are focused on in planning for the future. However, latest comparison figures (2019) show that Ireland’s population remains the youngest in the EU with the proportion of elderly in the population just 14.1%, 5.7 percentage points less than the EU average in 2019 of 19.8. Ireland has also witnessed a growth in healthy life years over the period. **In 2009 an individual in Ireland was expected to have 61.8 healthy life years and by 2019 this had increased two years to 63.8.**

Census 2016 found the average age in Ireland to be increasing with the projected old age dependency ratio set to rise from 20% in 2016 to 28% by 2031. The number of persons over 65 as a percentage of the working age population is in line with the European Commission’s
2015 Ageing Report which forecasts that by 2060 public expenditure in long-term care will increase by a proportionately greater extent than total expenditure on healthcare.

In the general population overall, there is on average a 50:50 split in males and female. However as you move through the age groups, the female to male ratio increases. 66% of the population in Ireland over 85 is female and 3% of the elderly population in Ireland are co-funded by the State to reside in long term residential care through the Nursing Home Support Scheme (NHSS).

While the elderly population is increasing in numerical terms, it remains relatively small (but growing) proportion of the overall population. The largest proportion of the Irish population remains aged under 4028.

From 2013 to 2019, Exchequer expenditure on the Scheme grew by almost €100 million or 11% at a time when the proportion of the elderly population increased by less than 2%. At end 2019, there were 23,629 people supported in the Scheme. The elderly population were also supported with 18.3 million public-funded home-support hours delivered in 2019.

While no significant correlations exist, the Economic and Social Research Institutes (ESRI) in the Projections of Demand for Healthcare in Ireland 2015-2030, has found that the demand for places in nursing homes and other settings for long as well as intermediate term periods is forecast to increase by between 40% to 54% from 2015-2030. Over the same period, demand for home help hours is estimated to increase in the range of 38% to 54%.

It is within this context of a small but growing elderly population that has a comparatively high life expectancy at 65 (the cohort that this policy is most applicable to) and the forecasted increase in demand for nursing home places that Ireland may see a significant increase in healthcare spend into the future. Demand for residential nursing home places has increased over the decade.

**Bed occupancy rate (%) acute care hospitals**
There were 1.7 million in-patient and day cases provided in the acute hospital setting in 2019 with 84% of individuals stating that their patient experience in the acute health care services was ‘good’ or ‘very good’ in 2019.

In the twenty years from 1980 to 2000 the bed occupancy rate rose just 3 percentage points from 82% occupancy to 85%. The following decade saw a much steeper rise of 6 percentage

---

28 While the age profile of a population and demographic trends may influence a country’s interaction with the health care system, it should be noted here that there remains no consensus on the extent to which population ageing necessarily results in proportionally higher healthcare expenditure. Smith et al (2000) found that the impact of an ageing population on healthcare expenditure to be minor with healthcare spend more strongly associated with proximity to death than the age of the population, in explaining US health spending over the period 1940-1990 (Smith, 2000). In line with this, an Irish analysis found no significant correlation in changes in the size of the elderly population and the demand for Nursing Home Support Scheme (NHSS) funding in Ireland (Campbell and Connors, 2015).
points to 91.4%. The same increase in the 20 year period from 1980 to 2000 was seen in just 4 years from 2010 to 2014. **Ireland’s bed occupancy rate has been above that of the EU average consistently since 1987.** The graph below shows the divergence from 2010 to 2014. Bed occupancy rate was 16% higher during the period than the EU average.

**Figure 8: Bed occupancy rate 2010-2014**

![Bed occupancy rate 2010-2014](image)

*Source: WHO*

Bed occupancy is likely to increasingly become an issue as we focus on infectious disease management going forward. This is highlighted on an annual basis during flu season when additional beds (trollies) are placed in hallways to attempt to accommodate demand. Under the National Development Plan, **Ireland 2040**, there is a commitment to provide an additional 2,600 beds across three ‘elective-only’ hospitals in Dublin, Cork and Galway. This capital investment will assist in the bed occupancy rate.

**Cancer**

Across the EU, cancer is linked to over a quarter of all deaths and is one of the main causes for hospitalisation, with breast cancer the highest prevalence and incidence in cancer types. Many EU countries, including Ireland, have a variety of early detection measures in the form of cancer screening programmes. Such screening programmes aim to detect cancer while it is treatable and before it becomes life threatening. There are four areas of population based health screening in Ireland, three of which are cancer related.

In 2015 the eligible uptake rate for the breast cancer screening programme in Ireland ‘BreastCheck’ was 74.7%, with similar rates across other services. This had risen to 75.15 by

---

29 In the age group under 65 it accounts for 37% of all deaths.
2016. In the 2017/18 cycle, all counties surpassed the 70% uptake rate of those in the eligible population\textsuperscript{30}. Breastcheck\textsuperscript{31} undertook 170,957 screenings in 2019.

23\% of all cancer survivors are breast cancer survivors in Ireland. Despite this and while five-year survival rates in Ireland for all cancers have improved, they are still below that of the OECD average in terms of breast cancer five year net survival; 82\% compared with 85\%. The OECD\textsuperscript{32} found a positive relationship between per capita health spend and life expectancy including that of 5-year net survival from breast cancer. Despite significant investment in health, breast cancer mortality rates in Ireland are among the highest in the EU and are above the OECD\textsuperscript{35} average.

Pain
While pain is subjective it can be a good measure of the health of the population. Psychological effects as well as mental health issues can be associated with pain, in particular chronic pain. The graph below compares Ireland in terms of severe/very severe pain with those of EU15.

Figure 9: Severe or very severe bodily pain by country EU 15 2014

\textit{Source: Eurostat}

\textsuperscript{30} Although it should be noted that some few areas, mainly those showing deprivation, did fall below the standard.

\textsuperscript{31} Breast screening in Ireland operates on a bi-annual cycle across all counties. It is free to access for those within the eligible population of 50 to 69 years.

\textsuperscript{32} \url{https://www.oecd.org/health/health-systems/Health-at-a-Glance-2019-Chartset.pdf}
Figure 7 shows that Ireland has a comparatively low percentage of the population in 2014 suffering from severe/very severe pain. In addition to this, 53.6% of the population have no bodily pain, above the EU15 (excluding Ireland) average of 43.9%.

**Section 4: Future Policy and Expenditure Challenges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Future Policy and Expenditure Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There are some policy and funding challenges that are apparent in the Health Vote with regards to sustainability of expenditure into the future. The main expenditure driver going forward is the system-wide response to COVID-19 and the potential additional challenges this places on the healthcare system in terms of financial and non-financial resources.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The level of investment in health over recent years continues the process of putting our healthcare service on a sustainable footing and 2020 represents the highest ever level of health funding in the State. The **2020 allocation includes approximately €2bn in additional funding for the Health Vote to support the National Action Plan produced in response to the Covid-19 pandemic.** There is likely to be significant expenditure pressure associated with infectious disease control management going forward.

The COVID-19 crisis has resulted in the Government approving the scaling up of existing public healthcare services, including the resourcing and opening of additional intensive care beds and facilities in several public hospitals. Alongside this, there has been substantial redeployment of healthcare staff within the acute hospital setting. Ireland’s National Action Plan for Covid-19 provides funding for up to 6,000 additional healthcare staff, mostly on a temporary basis. Hospital expenditure will also be a significant expenditure driver in 2020 with the acute setting the front line in tackling the COVID pandemic. Expenditure on the procurement of Personal Protective Equipment for Healthcare staff to end-June 2020 was over €575m. Additional staff such as medical interns, student nurses and clinical staff temporarily coming out of retirement and returning to work means that pay bill pressures will continue into 2020 with these additional staffing requirements due to COVID-19, and further FEMPI restoration measures expected in October 2020.

As services that were put in place for the period of the pandemic begin to be scaled back, there are likely to be increased costs associated as normal service provision resumes. There was a significant reduction in the number of persons presenting with heart attacks and strokes over the last four months. This treatment demand may re-emerge as the COVID-19 restrictions are relaxed. Infectious disease control management will see increased PPE costs and capacity restrictions at least over the medium term. There will be significant costs associated with testing and tracing, including the regular testing of healthcare workers, patients and nursing home staff and residents and of test subjects identified following the roll out of the mobile tracing app.

While measures taken during the pandemic, for example, the temporary cost-only leasing of private hospital capacity and expansion of publicly-funded GP services to cover COVID-19 services, may appear to be in line with the Sláintecare plan, their speedy implementation in
response to a health care crisis does not constitute a clear roadmap to achieving universal healthcare by sustainable means. However the pandemic does present an opportunity to embed a culture of data driven policymaking in various health sectors, and to maintain and nurture the expertise and experience in evidence synthesis and modelling gained over the course of the crisis. The use of technology, in particular telemedicine and in pharmacy engagements such as in relation to prescribing practices has increased substantially over the period. The use of such technology for remote healthcare consultations could be a cost-effective method to significantly impact how services are delivered if this is retained and expanded following the pandemic. The rapid increase in the use of remote consultations via telephone or videoconference may accelerate the long-term adoption of this technology within the health system. Many of these products are being licensed free of charge to healthcare providers during the pandemic. This may create a familiarity and acceptance of this technology that will see it being retained and expanded after COVID-19.

The cataclysm of COVID has occurred at a time of a wide-reaching programme of transformational change under Sláintecare. Sláintecare is a programme which sets out to achieve a universal single-tier health and social care system; one in which everyone has equal access to services based on need, and not ability to pay. Over the next year the focus of this programme is on community healthcare, increasing capacity and deciding on patient access and entitlements to universal care free at the point of delivery. Achieving these goals in a sustainable manner will be a challenge and indeed wider societal changes in health-related behaviours and preferences have the potential to produce more profound changes in the long run than any specific health policy decisions.
Summary

- The Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage (DHLG&H) vote disburses central government allocations for housing programmes, water services, planning and local government and Met Éireann. Since 2018, the vote also includes funding for Irish Water’s domestic water services and capital projects.

- DHLG&H gross exchequer expenditure has increased from €2.1bn in 2010 to a peak of just over €4.2bn in 2020. Following the economic crisis, it dropped below €1bn in 2014 but has since returned to pre-crash levels. This does not reflect transfer of functions over the period.

- Housing stock in the State has risen from approximately 1.16 million units in 1991 to just over 2 million units in 2016.

- The State currently has a shortage of housing to meet overall demand. Current estimates of overall housing need is 25,000 units per annum in the short term. The National Development Plan notes that from 2020 to 2027, in the region of 30,000 to 35,000 units will be required per annum to address pent up demand. The Central Bank also estimate the level of demand at 34,000 units. CSO data for new completions in 2019 show that 21,241 additional housing units were built in that year.

- Under the Rebuilding Ireland Action Plan, the Government has committed to investing €6bn to advance a range of policy interventions to ensure a sustainable and sufficient supply of housing. 50,000 additional units of social housing stock have been targeted in the action plan by 2021, with a further 87,000 social housing solutions provided over the lifetime of the plan.

- Under the National Development Plan, from 2021, local authorities and approved housing bodies will be providing 12,000 social housing homes to those on social housing waiting lists annually. This level of provision will be maintained over the remainder of the period of the National Development Plan (2022 to 2027 at a cost of €7.5bn resulting in an additional 72,000 households having their housing needs met in a social housing home over the next decade).

- The Land Development Agency (LDA) was established to ensure the optimal use of State land, with the key strategic objective to coordinate appropriately those lands for regeneration and development by opening up key sites not being optimally used, especially for home delivery, while focusing on the overall public interest in determining land use. The LDA aims to deliver 150,000 homes over the next 20 years. Budget 2020 provided €17.5m towards the cost of activities of the LDA in 2020, ahead of its expected capitalisation through ISIF following the enactment of the relevant primary legislation.

- To address the issue of affordability, under the Serviced Sites Fund (SSF), €310m has been provided from 2019 to 2021 to enable affordable housing delivery, on local authority lands, through the funding of infrastructure. Under the SSF,
Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government

It is very important to note that the composition of the DHLG&H Vote has changed over recent years and therefore a year on year, like for like comparison is difficult from a Vote funding perspective. For example, Community functions transferred to the new Department of Community and Rural Development in 2017 and Environment programmes transferred to the Department of Communications, Climate Action and Environment in 2015.

As provided for in the Water Services Act 2017, on foot of the Report of the Joint Oireachtas Committee on Future Funding of Domestic Water Services, technical adjustments to the Vote for funding Irish Water were made in the Further Revised Estimates 2017. Since 2018 all State funding to Irish Water is being channelled through the Vote with the subvention accounting for approximately one third of the total Vote in 2019.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends
As illustrated in Figure 1, DHLG&H expenditure declined between 2010 and 2014 but began to rise again in 2015 and increased significantly following the launch of Rebuilding Ireland in 2016.

The Housing Programme (both current and capital) has increased by more than two and half times from 2016 to 2019 (€943m to €2.4bn) to provide for increased delivery of housing programmes to meet Rebuilding Ireland targets. Over the period 2015 to 2017 a greater share of the housing budget (43%) was spent on current expenditure supports for social housing provision with both current and capital investment peaking in 2020 (now 47.5% and 52.5% respectively). This will see the housing needs of over 27,500 households being met in 2020.

The revised funding model for Irish Water, introduced in mid-2017, has also had an impact on the overall size of the Vote. The Water Programme reduced during the period 2014 to 2016 reflecting the funding mechanism in place during that period but increased from 2017 to 2020 indicating the new funding model for Irish Water which is now channelled through the Vote.
Figure 1: Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage Expenditure (Gross) 2009 - 2020

![Bar chart showing Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage Expenditure (Gross) 2009 - 2020](image)

Source: DPER Databank
*Includes LPT self-funding

Figure 2: Current Expenditure by Programme (Gross) 2010 – 2020

![Bar chart showing Current Expenditure by Programme (Gross) 2010 – 2020](image)

Source: DPER Databank
*Includes LPT self-funding
The Housing Programme (both current and capital) has increased by more than two and half times (€943m to €2.4bn) from 2016 to 2019 to provide for increased delivery of housing programmes under Rebuilding Ireland targets. Expenditure increased significantly in 2017 and 2018, increasing by 49% and 46% respectively, in 2019 it increased a further 18%. The 2020 REV allocation increases the housing programme budget by a further 7% in 2020 to €2.5bn.
Developments in the overall housing market are strongly interlinked with the operation of social housing and housing support programmes. In particular, developments in disposable income, property purchase and rent prices affect overall social and affordable housing demand. Property prices nationally have increased by 82.9% from their trough in early 2013. Dublin residential property prices have risen 91.4% from their February 2012 low, whilst residential property prices in the rest of Ireland are 81.7% higher than at the trough, which was in May 2013. Figure 4 below provides an overview of property price developments in recent years.

**Figure 4: Residential Property Price Index; Jan 2010 – July 2020**

![Residential Property Price Index](image)

*Source: CSO*

Similarly the cost of renting a property has risen significantly over the last six years as demonstrated in Figure 5.

**Figure 5: Residential Tenancies Board Rent Index, 2010 Q1 – 2020 Q2**

![Residential Tenancies Board Rent Index](image)

*Source: RTB*
The Homeless budget has increased substantially from €45m in 2014 to €166m in 2020. This represents a cumulative annual growth rate of 30% in the last seven years. The significant budget increases reflect the increase in homelessness since 2014.

In August 2014, there were 385 families in emergency accommodation while in August 2020, there were 1,120 families, an increase of 190%. The August 2020 figure is the lowest since June 2016 (1,078). Between January and August 2020 there was a sharp drop of 30% or 491 less of families in emergency accommodation. Recent figures show a gradual reduction, particularly in relation to family homelessness. While some of this reduction can be attributed to an increase in the number of exits to tenancies, emergency legislation introduced due to COVID 19, resulting in a moratorium on evictions from the private rented sector also had a significant impact over the last six months.

**Figure 6: Homeless Families, dependants and singles in emergency accommodation Q2 2014 to Q2 2020**

![Graph showing homeless families, dependants and singles in emergency accommodation from Q2 2014 to Q2 2020.](source)

**Figure 7: Social housing rental solutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAS</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>3,944</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,075</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>17,916</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,926</td>
<td>16,760</td>
<td>17,025</td>
<td>64,942</td>
<td>15,750</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>86,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>13,331</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>18,806</td>
<td>17,600</td>
<td>18,681</td>
<td>17,360</td>
<td>18,068</td>
<td>68,886</td>
<td>16,350</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source: DHLG&H**

The latest estimate of social housing demand puts the total net household need at 68,693 as of June 2019. ‘Net Household Need’ is defined as the total number of households qualifying for social housing support whose social housing need is not being met. Net household need increased between 2013 and 2016 by 1.9% or 1,728 households with a slight decline of almost 5,000 between 2016 and 2017. As of June 2019 the number of households on the waiting list
decreased by 3,165 (-4.4%) compared to the previous assessment, with 19 of the 31 local authorities reporting a decrease. With regard to ‘Net Need’ in Figure 8, it is important to note that it excludes those already receiving social housing support, for example, the Housing Assistance Payment. Recipients on HAP can elect to be included on a transfer list for a permanent social house. The numbers on the HAP transfer list are unknown, but at the end of 2019 there were over 52,000 active HAP tenancies. Data is not comparable pre-2013 as the collection methodology changed.

Figure 8: Net Household Need 1993-2019

Source: Housing Agency

Water
The investment being delivered by Irish Water will contribute to maintaining public water and waste water infrastructure to continue current levels of service, achieve environmental compliance and support expansion, deliver improvements in drinking water quality and capacity, manage waste water to protect and enhance our environment and to support growth and economic development for Ireland.

The Irish Water Strategic Funding Plan 2019-2024, which will facilitate the investment outlined above, was approved in November 2018. It sets out Irish Water’s multi-annual strategic funding requirement of €11bn to 2024, comprised of a €6.1bn investment in infrastructure and assets, and €4.9bn in operating costs. Over €8bn of the total €11bn investment will be funded by the State. Irish Water is refreshing the Strategic Funding Plan (SFP) following the Commission for Regulation of Utilities (CRU) Third Revenue Control (RC3) determination for the period 2020-2024 for Irish Water.

Table 5: Irish Water Infrastructure Outputs 2018-2024
### Planning

The National Development Plan (NDP) sets out the significant level of investment, almost €116bn, which will underpin the National Planning Framework and drive its implementation from 2018 to 2027. As part of the NDP, the Government has committed €2bn to the Urban Regeneration and Development Fund (URDF) to be administered by DHLG&H. The URDF looks to support more compact and sustainable development, with a particular focus on the five cities and other large urban centres. Budget 2020 provides funding of €96.5m (with an additional €33.5m carried over) for the URDF. The NDP has also promised €1bn for the Rural Regeneration and Development Fund, to promote rural renewal and sustainable growth for these communities. The NDP intends on building an average of 25,000 to 30,000 homes per year in order to meet future needs, with 112,000 social houses by 2027.

The Land Development Agency (LDA), a body under DHLG&H, was established on an interim basis in September 2018 and is working to ensure the optimum management of State land with an immediate focus on providing new homes, including social and affordable housing. Budget 2020 provided €17.5m towards the cost of activities of the LDA in 2020, ahead of its expected capitalisation through ISIF following the enactment of the relevant legislation.

### Section 3: Outcomes and Performance Indicators

### Housing

As the RBI delivery streams (Table 2) are not directly linked to Housing Programme Subheads in the Revised Estimates (Table 3), it is difficult to track spend against outputs (delivery). This point has also been made by the Parliamentary Budget Office (PBO) in its February 2019 paper on the Revised Estimates. The 2020 RBI output target for social housing delivery, as per Table 2, is 11,167. This figure comprises build (7,736), acquisition (800) and lease (2,631). This overall output target is not reflected in the REV Key High Level Metrics template (Table 3). For example, in Table 3 the

---

2020 output target of 8,686 to be secured through Social Housing Capital Programmes comprises Build and Acquisition.

Table 2: Rebuilding Ireland Delivery Targets (2016 -2021) and Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build</td>
<td>2,260</td>
<td>2,965</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>4,054</td>
<td>4,969</td>
<td>4,811</td>
<td>6,545</td>
<td>6,074</td>
<td>17,904</td>
<td>7,736</td>
<td>8,907</td>
<td>33,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>1,755</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>2,214</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2,610</td>
<td>1,325</td>
<td>2,772</td>
<td>9,553</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lease</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>2,130</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>3,781</td>
<td>2,631</td>
<td>2,450</td>
<td>10,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>4,240</td>
<td>5,714</td>
<td>5,050</td>
<td>7,095</td>
<td>7,869</td>
<td>8,422</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,007</td>
<td>31,238</td>
<td>11,167</td>
<td>12,157</td>
<td>50,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>3,944</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>12,075</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>17,916</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>17,926</td>
<td>16,700</td>
<td>17,025</td>
<td>64,942</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>83,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>13,331</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>18,806</td>
<td>17,600</td>
<td>18,681</td>
<td>17,360</td>
<td>18,668</td>
<td>68,886</td>
<td>15,750</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>87,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Total</td>
<td>17,240</td>
<td>19,045</td>
<td>21,050</td>
<td>25,901</td>
<td>25,469</td>
<td>27,103</td>
<td>27,360</td>
<td>28,075</td>
<td>100,124</td>
<td>27,517</td>
<td>22,157</td>
<td>138,043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: REV Key High Level Metrics$^{34}$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing needs met</td>
<td>21,050</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>27,360</td>
<td>27,517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Housing Units to secure through Social Housing Current Expenditure Programme (SHCEP)</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing units to secure through Current Funded Programmes</td>
<td>16,600</td>
<td>19,600</td>
<td>19,490</td>
<td>18,981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of housing units to secure through leasing arrangements</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of housing units to deliver under Social Housing Stimulus Programme</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of additional households to transfer from rent supplement to Rental Accommodation Scheme (RAS)</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of units to deliver under Social Housing Investment Programme</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing units to secure through Social Housing Capital Programmes</td>
<td>4,450</td>
<td>5,869</td>
<td>7,870</td>
<td>8,686</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing units to secure through all Build Programmes</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>4,969</td>
<td>6,545</td>
<td>7,736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing units to secure through Local Authority Build Programmes</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>3,209</td>
<td>4,197</td>
<td>4,719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of social housing units to secure through Approved Housing Body Build Programmes</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>2,348</td>
<td>3,017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Special Needs Units to deliver under Capital Assistance Scheme</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of units to deliver under the National Regeneration Programme</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No. of Traveller Specific Units to deliver | 40 | 55 | 75 | 90 | 110 | 120 | 130
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
No. of units to upgrade under retrofitting programme | 14,166 | 11,000 | 12,500 | 12,500 | 9,000 | 3,000 | 1,000
No. of additional households to be supported by Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) | 8,400 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 17,000 | 16,760 | 15,750 |
No. of vacant social housing units to be refurbished and brought back to productive use | 1,000 | 1,500 | 766 | 560 | 303 | 180 |
No. of properties repaired and brought into leasing under the Repair & Leasing Scheme | | | 150 | 800 | 950 | 150 |
No. of grants to assist older people and people with disabilities to remain in their home for longer | 8,200 | 8,500 | 9,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 12,000 |
No. of adults exiting from homelessness | | | 3,079 | 4,729 | 5,135 |

1 Figures for 2013 to 2017 represent outputs and those for 2018 and 2019 represent targets. As the key metrics and how the number of housing units are tracked in the period 2013 to 2016 has changed this makes analysis of social housing provision in the context of the REV more challenging.

Water

The Key High Level Metrics assigned to the Water Programme relate to Private and Public Group Water Schemes paid, the total number of Private Well Grants Paid and improvements of water services in rural areas. These metrics, 7% of the allocation to Programme B, are not reflective of the level of funding assigned to the Water Programme which comprises 31% of the overall vote programme composition. Table 5 looks at various KPIs in relation to outputs and targets over the period 2018 to 2020.

Metrics that could be assigned to the Irish Water Programme are, inter alia, the number of current boil water notices lifted; the number of leakages reduced in the water network and reductions in wastewater discharge without treatment.

Table 4: Irish Water Exchequer Funding Requirement 2019 - 2024

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
<td>€m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subvention - Current</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>3,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subvention - Non Current/ Capital Contribution</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>4,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Exchequer Funding Requirement</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>1,259</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,535</td>
<td>1,650</td>
<td>8,264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Irish Water Strategic Funding Plan 2019-2024. Irish Water is preparing an updated Strategic Funding Plan 2020-2024 following the CRU revenue control determination.

Table 5: Irish Water KPIs 2018 - 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2018 Output Outturn</th>
<th>2019 Output Target</th>
<th>2020 Output Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

115
### (2018 Output Target)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2018 Output</th>
<th>2018 Target</th>
<th>2019 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Operational Supports to Private Group Water Schemes</td>
<td>563 (500)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Operational Supports to Private Group Water Schemes</td>
<td>195 (150)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of Private Wells (new and upgraded)</td>
<td>1,569 (3,650)</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of Septic Tanks (upgraded)</td>
<td>83 (70)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of Waste Water Treatment Plants (new and upgraded)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. of Drinking Water Treatment Plants (new and upgraded)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilometres of Waste Water Network sewer (new and rehabilitated)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilometres of Water Network (new and rehabilitated)</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>189****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only upgrades planned for 2020 (no new plant). Capacity PE (population equivalent) for these 5 upgrades is 317,556 vs Capacity PE for 2019 of 379,540. In 2020 a number of projects are being progressed through design and construction with project completions planned to increase for subsequent years.

**The 3 relates to 1 new & 2 upgraded plants the equivalent of 36.5 ml/day in total which compares to 85 ml/day in 2019. As above, a number of projects are being progressed through design and construction with project completions planned to increase for subsequent years.

***The targets for 2020 are reflective of targeting rehabilitation of high priority larger diameter critical sewers, which have a higher unit cost than the smaller sewer diameters delivered in previous years.

****Irish Water funding is being prioritised for leakage reduction programmes e.g. Find and Fix, in order to achieve leakage savings which will also impact on reducing new and rehabilitated water network in 2020.

### Planning

When comparing 2019 with the previous year, Programme D ‘Planning’ allocation has grown by a greater proportion than any other Programme in the Vote (132%). Of this increase, 70% (€53 million) is attributable to one subhead ‘D9 – Urban Renewal/Regeneration’. While there are existing metrics in place in relation to the Planning Programme these cover issuing of statutory guidance, foreshore consents and work conducted by An Bord Pleanála. Additional metrics to cover the Urban Renewal/Regeneration Programme should also now be included.

### Section 4: COVID-19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

There a number of future policy challenges for DHLG&H, particularly in the context of COVID-19.

Housing expenditure has grown significantly over the past number of years, and at €2.6bn in 2020, has more than doubled since 2016. The existing housing delivery plan, Rebuilding Ireland, comes to an end in 2021. The DHLG&H will need to provide for a new plan to cover...
the next five years. This will require an evidence based approach to identify an appropriate unit cost per delivery mechanism and appropriate delivery blend which abides by the budgetary constraints and accords with the level of housing need.

In addition to developing the next phase of Rebuilding Ireland the DHLG&H will need to incorporate their affordable housing strategy into the next phase of their delivery. The affordable housing scheme will see homes being sold at discounted affordable prices to households due to the introduction of an equity stake held by the State.

Homelessness expenditure has grown by 186% since 2016. Covid-19 has had a significant impact on homelessness expenditure. The homeless spend has increased because of the need to ensure compliance with social distancing requirements and the need to have necessary isolation accommodation in place if required. The impact of Covid-19 on the homeless budget will need to be kept under review.

Local Government funding also poses a significant challenge following the COVID-19 crisis. Rates waivers were imposed to affected businesses who were forced to close, leading to central government having to compensate for the lost income. The longer-term consequences of the crisis (e.g. business closures) could also have a significant impact on rates income in the future.

It will also be important to ensure that sufficient funding is available to meet the ambitious programmes being delivered by Irish Water, such as the Eastern and Midlands Water Supply Project (Shannon/Parteen Basin) and the Ringsend Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP) project. The Irish Water Strategic Funding Plan outlines exchequer funding of €8.3bn for the period 2019 to 2024.
Summary

- From 2010 to 2019, expenditure on the Justice Group of votes increased from €2.47 billion to €2.83 billion.
- As a proportion of Government expenditure, the Justice sector accounts for almost 4.2% in 2019.
- Pay is the key driver of expenditure in the Justice sector. When combined with pensions, it accounts for approximately 70% of vote expenditure.
- International protection applications, one of the main drivers of expenditure on direct provision accommodation, continued to rise in 2019 with a 30.2% increase in applications.
- Reform continued to gather pace in the Justice Sector last year, with the completion of the restructuring of the Department of Justice and Equality, and the launch of the comprehensive Garda Reform implementation plan A Policing Service for the Future. There is also a new impetus for reform in the Courts Service following the publication of the Organisation Capability Review in April 2019.
- A large volume of performance indicators are utilised across the Justice sector and the wider criminal justice system. It is timely in the context of the wider reform agenda to review the coverage, quality and use of performance indicators, commencing with a focus on policing in 2020.
- Spending Review 2019 reviewed the following areas of expenditure in the Justice sector:
  - Direct Provision: Overview of Current Accommodation Expenditure (D/Justice & Equality)

Section 1: Long-Term Expenditure Trends

Between 2010 and 2019 Justice Group expenditure increased by €0.37 billion, from €2.47 billion to €2.83 billion, representing an increase of 14.9%. This shows significant changes in the level of expenditure during this period. The Group of Votes has also changed in composition with the introduction of new votes such as the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission (2015) and the Policing Authority (2016). Further changes occurred in 2018 when the functions of the Valuation Office and the Property Registration Authority transferred to
the Department of Housing, Planning and Local Government. Figure 2 shows the breakdown between current and capital expenditure for each Vote over the last decade.

**Figure 1: Breakdown of Justice Group Gross Expenditure by Vote 2010-2019, €000s**

Source: DPER INFOR system and Databank
Note: Expenditure related to IHREC was managed within the Human Rights Commission and Equality Authority subheads in the Justice vote for the period 2011 to 2014.

**Figure 2: Breakdown of Justice Group Current and Capital Expenditure 2010-2019, €000s**

Source: DPER INFOR system and Databank

In the 10 years between 2010 and 2019 inclusive, Justice Group expenditure increased annually by an average of 1.4% per annum, reaching its highest level of €2.83 billion in 2019. Justice Group expenditure declined to a low of €2.27 billion in 2014, falling by €0.19 billion or 8% compared with the corresponding figures in 2010. It increased by €0.56 billion or 25% to the €2.83 billion reached in 2019.
Figure 1 also shows that over the decade, the three biggest contributors to expenditure on the Justice Group were the Garda Vote, the Prisons Vote and the Justice Vote. Figure 3 shows the proportional breakdown of expenditure for 2019 compared to 2010. There was some variation over that period – for example, Garda spending as a share of total justice sector spending was approximately 62% in 2010 but increased to 63% in 2019. Justice vote spending accounted for a marginally larger share of spending in 2019 (19%), compared to 2010 (17%). Conversely, the relative share of Prisons Vote spending has decreased slightly from 14% to 13%.

**Figure 3(a) - Justice Group Gross Expenditure, 2019**

- Garda: 63%
- Prisons: 5%
- Courts: 0%
- Justice: 19%
- IHREC: 13%

**Figure 3(b) - Justice Group Gross Expenditure, 2010**

- Garda: 62%
- Prisons: 6%
- Courts: 1%
- Justice: 17%
- PRA: 14%

*Source: DPER INFOR system and Databank*
Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

Pay and Numbers

Staff is the most important influence on expenditure for the Justice Group of votes. Changes in the number of Gardaí, prison officers as well as the quantum of staff across the Justice and Courts votes have a large impact on the sustainability of expenditure. Table 1 shows that, taken together, pay and pensions accounted for 70% of total gross public expenditure on the Justice Group of votes in 2019. This is 2.3% less than the equivalent share in 2010.

Table 1: Justice Group Gross Expenditure by composition: 2010 - 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pay and Pensions</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Pay</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DPER INFOR system and Databank

The number of Garda members remains the most significant staffing cohort across the Justice sector. The sectoral policy drivers for staffing numbers across the Justice sector include, among others:

- Previous Government policy to increase numbers e.g. in policing to 21,000 (reservists, Garda members, Garda staff)
- Pressures on large operational areas e.g. the Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Service
- Regulatory demands – e.g. Data Protection Commission
- Government decisions on new bodies.

Non Pay

On the non-pay side, the key drivers of expenditure continue to encompass:

- Criminal and civil legal aid
- Accommodation for international protection applicants
- Service contracts such as GoSafe (speed cameras)
- Non pay spending to support frontline staff in the Criminal Justice system (e.g. prison officers and Gardaí) – transport and T&S, equipment etc.
- Grant programmes.

Figure 4a compares occupancy and capacity in accommodation centres for international protection applicants. It shows that numbers of international protection applicants in direct
provision centres has increased to at least full occupancy in terms of capacity, an increase on the 2017 figure of 92.6%. This is in turn a reflection of the recent increase in applications since 2016 and an increase in applications of 30.2% from 2018 to 2019 (see Figure 4b). The number of applications in 2019 stood at 4,781 and it was the first time since 2006 that numbers exceeded 4,000 applying for asylum in the State – a very substantial increase. It should be noted that the volume of new applications can escalate/reduce rapidly from year to year, with the number of year-to-date applications to end May 2020 of 775 representing a 58.1% decrease on the corresponding number of applications in May 2019 of 1,852. The recent decrease is mainly attributable to travel restrictions arising from the COVID-19 crisis.

Figure 4a: Reception and Integration Agency Occupancy and Capacity, 2004 to 2019

![Graph showing Reception and Integration Agency Occupancy and Capacity, 2004 to 2019.]

Source: Reception and Integration Agency (year-end figures)

Figure 4b: Applications for Refugee Status, 2004 to 2019

![Graph showing Applications for Refugee Status, 2004 to 2019.]

Prisoner population is an example of a driver which influences both pay and non-pay and merits a brief discussion. The average daily number of prisoners in custody in 2019 was 3,971
compared to 3,893 in 2018, an increase of 2%, as shown in figure 5. The Annual Report of the Prison Service for 2019 notes that the numbers in custody from 1 January 2019 to end December increased by 2.1% - a significant increase over a short term. This likely reflects increased Garda activity (e.g. more detections) among other pressures. Continuation of this trend will place pressures on the prisons system (both pay and non-pay) to manage this additional demand given that the annual cost of an available staffed prison space was €75,000 in 2019.

Figure 5: Average Prisoner Population, 2009 to 2019

![Average Prisoner Population, 2009 to 2019](chart)

Source: Annual Report Prison Service

**Capital**

Figure 6 below shows the main core components of the capital budget for the Justice Vote Group and the proportion of capital spend that each component comprises from 2014 to 2019 inclusive. The core components are as follows:

- Buildings (accommodation for Prisons, Gardaí and courts)
- Office equipment
- ICT – case management, processing systems, new Garda systems
- Transport – Garda vehicles
- Capital Building Programme (An Garda Síochána)
Outcomes

The Justice Vote Group covers a significant number of functions, programmes, subheads and agencies. Accordingly, it is not possible to fully analyse the entire range of outputs and outcomes of spending on the justice system within the scope of this chapter. Consistent with the approach taken to the Justice chapter of the trends papers in recent years, this section focuses specifically on policing given the scale of reform efforts underway in the sector and the size of Vote 20. The first section reviews the current set of indicators presented in the Garda vote as part of the 2020 Revised Estimates. The second section considers crime trends as published by the CSO.

Table 2 below shows the performance indicators (outputs) presented in the 2020 Revised Estimates Volume.

Table 2: List of Garda Indicators presented in the Revised Estimates 2020 (outputs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>2020 Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Policing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing our new Community Policing framework in 6 Divisions</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting People</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing and commencing operation of satellite cybercrime hubs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligence entries created by Roads Policing personnel</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting Ireland to Schengen Information System</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Rollout of Divisional Protective Services Units</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-person contact within 7 days to victims of Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Assessments completed within 3 days</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35 In 2018 and 2019 analysis covered satisfaction with An Garda Síochána, awareness of Local Patrols, occupancy and capacity in RIA as well as outputs for regulatory bodies such as the Data Protection Commission and the Garda Síochána Ombudsman Commission.
Enhancing governance of missing person investigations: Reducing the number of incidents with overdue reviews to 60 per month nationally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory Intoxication Test Breath Tests conducted</td>
<td>348,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average number of contacts per victim of crime</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Our People – Our Greatest Resource</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of AGS members engaging in PALF (Performance and Accountability Learning Framework) process</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transforming Our Service</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Garda Síochána Operating Model on a phased basis in a number of Divisions</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deploying Enterprise Content Management in Divisions/HQ/Specialist Sections</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation of policing enabled mobile devices</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As well as these high level metrics, there are also context and impact indicators in the Revised Estimates in terms of reported crime incidents for certain offence categories as published by the CSO. In addition to these indicators, the annual Public Service Performance Report published by the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform includes additional output indicators for the Garda vote such as, among others:

- Number of Gardaí reassigned to policing duties;
- Average overtime hours per Garda Member per year\(^{36}\);
- Lifesaver offences where a fixed charge penalty notice was issued;
- Other results from the Garda Public Attitudes Survey.

The 2019 spending review published by the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform, “Towards a Framework for Multi-Annual Budgeting: Considerations for An Garda Síochána” identified 4 enablers for the advancement of multi-annual budgeting in An Garda Síochána, one of which was expenditure tools. Performance budgeting and the use of performance indicators were particular prerequisites under the expenditure tools heading that were noted as requiring further development. A spending review examining the use of performance indicators is a logical next step in progressing multi-annual budgeting and financial capability reforms in An Garda Síochána.

Accordingly, in 2020 the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform proposes to publish a spending review which will examine best practice for designing performance indicators in policing, having regard to international best practice in this area. The spending review will further inform the engagement between the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform, the Department of Justice and Equality and An Garda Síochána when selecting appropriate performance indicators and metrics for use in the 2021 Revised Estimates Volume and next year’s Public Service Performance Report.

**Recorded Crime**

Recorded crime is a measure of incidents reported to the Gardaí where it is determined that a criminal offence has taken place, as defined by the law. It is influenced by many factors,

\(^{36}\) New metric in 2019 Public Service Performance Report
including policing activity. Other factors include economic growth, demographics, social conditions (e.g. deprivation and poor childhood outcomes), among many others.

Table 3 shows the trend in recorded crime for the period 2015 to 2019, as categorised by offence group. This table should be read in conjunction with the caveats regarding crime statistics as set out by the CSO in the Review on the Quality of Crime Statistics 2018. In September 2017, the CSO took the decision to further defer the publication of Recorded Crime statistics. This arose because work on issues raised by the CSO in May 2017 had not been fully completed and An Garda Síochána had also decided to extend the period of their review of homicide incidents. Accordingly, crime statistics are categorised as Under Reservation. This mean that the quality of these statistics do not meet the standards required of official statistics published by CSO.

The Under Reservation categorisation will remain in place until the CSO is satisfied that the level of accuracy and completeness of the underlying data is of sufficient quality. The CSO is engaging with An Garda Síochána to set out the criteria for the lifting of the reservation. These criteria cover quality concerns across a broader range of issues such as data governance, training, crime data recording procedures and the auditing and monitoring of data quality.

Table 3: Recorded crime incidents classified by offence group & annualised to end Q4, 2015 to 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offence Group</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homicide Offences</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Offences</td>
<td>2,288</td>
<td>2,525</td>
<td>2,884</td>
<td>3,182</td>
<td>3,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempts/threats to murder, assaults, harassment &amp; related offences</td>
<td>16,896</td>
<td>16,691</td>
<td>18,925</td>
<td>20,165</td>
<td>21,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous or negligent acts¹</td>
<td>7,117</td>
<td>7,689</td>
<td>8,374</td>
<td>8,559</td>
<td>8,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidnapping and related offences</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery, extortion and hijacking offences</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,102</td>
<td>2,186</td>
<td>2,435</td>
<td>2,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary and related offences</td>
<td>26,306</td>
<td>18,551</td>
<td>19,182</td>
<td>16,966</td>
<td>16,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft and related offences</td>
<td>75,729</td>
<td>64,510</td>
<td>69,283</td>
<td>66,920</td>
<td>68,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud, deception and related offences</td>
<td>5,782</td>
<td>4,937</td>
<td>5,436</td>
<td>6,295</td>
<td>7,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled drug offences</td>
<td>15,049</td>
<td>16,029</td>
<td>16,792</td>
<td>18,309</td>
<td>21,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weapons and explosives offences</td>
<td>2,374</td>
<td>2,137</td>
<td>2,377</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>2,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to property and to the environment</td>
<td>25,943</td>
<td>22,192</td>
<td>23,209</td>
<td>21,531</td>
<td>21,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public order and other social code offences</td>
<td>33,268</td>
<td>29,224</td>
<td>31,199</td>
<td>31,982</td>
<td>32,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offences against government, justice procedures and organisation of crime</td>
<td>11,440</td>
<td>12,308</td>
<td>13,740</td>
<td>16,165</td>
<td>16,474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CSO (Recorded Crime Q4 2019)
Note: Figures may be revised by the CSO after publication and the annualised figure for a given quarter is the total number of crimes recorded in the 12 months prior to the end of that quarter

The overall number of recorded offences declined between the end of 2015 and the end of 2016. However, the equivalent number rose between 2016 and 2017 with increases in nearly all categories. The overall number of recorded crimes was broadly the same for 2018. On the
issue of changes in the incidence of crime by offence category, the following broad trends can be observed over the period 2016 to 2019:

- The number of homicide offences has declined
- Sexual offences have risen significantly
- Burglaries rose in 2017 before falling again in 2018 and 2019 to below 2016 levels
- Controlled drugs offences have increased

This commentary does not take into account the issue of underreporting of crime.

Section 3: Future Policy Challenges/COVID-19

1. A Policing Service for the Future

The report of the Commission on the Future of Policing in Ireland (CoFPI) was published in September 2018. It aimed to provide a fresh start for policing through a comprehensive examination of all aspects of policing in Ireland. “A Policing Service for the Future”, the four year plan to implement the CoFPI recommendations, commenced in 2019 and is underpinned by a robust reporting framework to oversee the delivery of the plan, which is led by the Department of the Taoiseach. The Department of Public Expenditure and Reform is one of the key stakeholders in driving this reform.

In addition to the recommendations to improve the effectiveness of policing, the CoFPI report clearly identifies the levers available to deliver better Value for Money including reforms to learning and development, multi-annual budgeting, redesign of business processes, a capability review of the finance function, streamlining of allowances and divestment of non-core functions, among others. This reinforces the message that the challenges to improve policing are not solely about increased investment.

Another central theme of the CoFPI report is the need for better data so that policing becomes information-led. Currently there is a challenge in obtaining good published data and related analysis on either the costs of police activity or the results in terms of crimes detected, resolved or the important crime prevention work carried out by An Garda Síochána. A better understanding of demand is also a crucial input into improved workforce planning.

As has been highlighted by the Policing Authority on many occasions, the enablers of policing reform require significant improvement i.e. ICT, HR, Finance etc. Work is ongoing on a number of fronts to address these issues including a Finance Function capability review which is nearing completion.

Covid-19 presents particular challenges for frontline organisations, and necessitated a refocus and reprioritisation of resources within An Garda Síochána (AGS) in the short term to meet the pandemic effectively. DPER, D/Taoiseach, D/Justice and Equality and An Garda Síochána have recently reviewed the APSFF reform plan in the context of Covid-19 to ensure the delivery of key reform actions in 2020.

2. International Protection/Direct Provision
The pressures on the direct provision system have increased significantly driven by rising numbers of international protection applicants in 2019 and higher costs of securing accommodation for applicants including the costs of emergency accommodation.

The underlying financial pressures in the International Protection Seeker Accommodation area (Direct Provision) are exacerbated by the Covid emergency. The necessity to provide self-isolation facilities and reduce the numbers in proximity to each other is leading to increased accommodation requirements and consequential additional costs.

The Programme for Government (PfG) proposes that a new Government is committed to ending the system of Direct Provision and replacing it with a new international protection policy centred on a not for profit approach. Their intention is to publish a White Paper by the end of 2020, informed by the recommendations of an expert group, which will set out how this new system will be structured and the steps necessary to achieving it.

In the interim period, an advisory group has been set up to improve the situation of those within the direct provision with a number of proposals put forward. These recommended measures include:

- Extending the right to work;
- Exploration of alternative Housing models and funding provisions;
- Clear guidance with regard to ensuring all applicants can open bank accounts;
- Reducing the amount of time taken to process positive decisions;
- Ensuring binding standards for centres are applied and enforced by January 2021;
- Compulsory training and regular networking for centre managers;
- Moving away from the use of emergency accommodation;
- Ensuring vulnerability assessments take place;
- Working with the Department of Transport towards access to driving licenses.

Access to Labour Market

The Department of Justice and Equality carried out a review of labour market access for persons seeking international protection in May 2020. The review considers the present arrangements, including legislative timeline, for providing access to the labour market where an applicant for international protection has not received a first instance decision within 9 months of the initial application for protection.

The report makes a number of recommendations with two of the main recommendations as follows;

- Labour market access should be provided within 6 months rather than the current period of 9 months in line with the recommendation of the inter-Departmental Group Report on Direct Provision. The move to 6 months would also be in line with the position in many other EU+ countries, but not in the United Kingdom, where access to work is provided to protection applicants on a restricted basis after 12 months.
• The duration of a labour market access authorisation should be increased from 6 to 12 months. This will make it more attractive for employers to hire applicants for international protection as the increased duration of the authorisation provides greater certainty in terms of a person’s availability for work.

3. Courts and Prisons Reform

Courts

Further to the completion of the DPER Organisational Capability Review in 2018, challenges were identified to be addressed in the areas of leadership, ICT, strategy and better service delivery for the user in the Courts Service. One of the key issues highlighted was the need to improve the eCourt environment for management of cases in the courts.

The ambitious nature of the reform programme means that significant planning, appraisal and evidence gathering work is needed to ensure that any overall implementation plan is realistic, properly costed and includes an analysis of the monetary and non-monetary benefits. The potential for this plan to generate efficiencies and productivity needs to be clearly analysed in advance of implementing change programme activities.

As a result of the COVID-19 emergency, the Courts Service has projected a shortfall on court fees receipts by year end, associated with licensing and specifically Special Exemption Orders (SEO’s). This forecast was based on the closure of pubs since mid-March, with no SEO applications being lodged since then. There will also be a shortfall in Court receipts due to the reduction in the Courts civil matters for the months of April, May and June.

While the COVID-19 emergency has presented many challenges, it has driven the desire to do things differently. e.g. remote courts, delivering judgments electronically in the High Court, lodging of consent terms by e-mail and the increase in Video-link hearings with the IPS for prisoners in custody. It is planned that the new Target operating model, will build on these revised arrangements and ensure that further enhancement in IT capacity sustains these new ways of working.

Prisons

In the Irish Prisons Service, rising levels of committals will pose a challenge in the coming years. It will be necessary to manage the rise in demand through a combination of increased efficiencies and strategic reforms.

The Irish Prison Service Strategic Plan 2019 - 2022 is a plan for service improvement, development and a road-map, which builds on recent achievements, and sets out how the Prison Service intends to operate as a highly functioning public service organisation. This strategy has been built around five pillars, including Staff Support, Prisoner Support, Safe and Secure Custody, the Prison Estate and Governance.

These following intended outcomes include:
- Enhanced services for staff and positive physical and mental health of all employees
- Enhanced Psychological Services for the provision of mental health services and offence-specific interventions to people in custody
- Provision and enhancement of healthcare services
- Increased participation in rehabilitative activities by prisoners
- Improved coordination of community integration plans in preparation for release of prisoners
- A more modern technology-driven prison estate for safer prisons
- Contribute to safer communities through prisoner progression and tackling overcrowding and over-capacity
- A fairer, simpler Prisoner Complaints System
- Enhanced Organisation Governance Structure by continuing to strengthen internal governance and risk management, information and security systems

Over the medium term penal policy reform has the potential to lead to better outcomes for the prisoners and to reduce the risk of re-offending through improvement in sentence management and enhanced co-operation with key stakeholders such as the Probation Service and An Garda Síochána, among others.

4. Brexit

As with all other areas of Government, the effects of the UK exiting the EU will pose challenges in the Justice Sector. The Department of Justice and Equality has worked closely with stakeholders to plan for the conclusion of the transition period at the end of the year in whatever form it takes.

5. Spending Review 2020

Building on some of the themes established in the 2017, 2018 and 2019 Spending Reviews, this year the focus is on best practice for designing performance indicators in policing. This was a subject that was highlighted for future development in the 2019 Spending Review “Towards a Framework for Multi-Annual Budgeting: Considerations for An Garda Síochána”.

The Courts Service also plan to publish a Spending Review in 2020 focusing on the examination of the increased usage of technology, including video conferencing, in the Courts Service.
Public Expenditure & Reform
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Summary

The Public Expenditure and Reform Group consists of 9 Votes in total:

1. Public Expenditure and Reform
2. Superannuation and Retired Allowances
3. Office of Public Works
4. State Laboratory
5. Secret Service
6. Public Appointments Service
7. National Shared Service Office
8. Office of the Ombudsman
9. Office of Government Procurement

Vote 11 – Department of Public Expenditure and Reform

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Figure 1: Department of Public Expenditure and Reform Gross Voted Expenditure 2008-2019, €m

The Department of Public Expenditure and Reform’s expenditure has grown from €30.1m in its first year to €56.9m in 2019 and continues to have a wide range of objectives across two strategic programmes – (A) Public Expenditure and Sectoral Policy and (B) Public Service Management and Reform.
As set out in the Department’s Statement of Strategy 2016-19, these objectives support the two strategic goals of the Department:

1. to manage public expenditure at sustainable levels in a planned, rational and balanced manner in support of Ireland’s economic development and social progress; and
2. to have public management and governance structures that are effective and responsive to the citizen, transparent and accountable, and which thereby improve the effectiveness of public expenditure.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

In the period from the Department's establishment in 2011, expenditure has been driven, in the main, by the Department's cross Government reforms such as the development of the productivity enhancing Build to Share Applications by the Office of the Government Chief Information Officer and the development of the single Civil Service Learning and Development System and OneLearning centre.

Over the course of the last decade, the Office of Government Procurement and the National Shared Services Office commenced their operations within the Department before becoming Offices of Government in their own right as their centralised operations developed.

In 2020, a separate vote for the Office of the Government Chief Information Officer was established which has an Estimate of €21.7 million (net) in 2020. The creation of this Vote, for which the Secretary General is the Accounting Officer, will serve to drive the digital transformation agenda across Government while providing and developing pan-public service ICT infrastructure, service delivery models and cross government applications.
**Vote 12 – Superannuation and Retired Allowances**

**Section 1: Long-Term Trends**

**Gross Expenditure Trends**

**Figure 1: Vote 12 - Superannuation and Retired Allowances Total Gross Expenditure Trend 2010 – 2020, €000s**

Total Gross Voted expenditure for Superannuation and Retired Allowances amounted to €5,640m from 2010 to 2020. Gross expenditure has increased steadily (for the most part) year on year to the 2020 allocation of €640.1m in line with the increase in pensioner numbers (overleaf). The Superannuation and Retired Allowances vote represents less than 1% of total Voted expenditure cumulatively since 2010.
Pension Numbers Trend

Figure 2: Number of Pensioners Paid form Vote 12 from 2010 - 2020

Pensioner numbers have increased steadily from approximately 18,000 in 2010 to the forecast of approximately 28,200 by the end of 2020. This represents an increase of 56% overall.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

The key drivers of total gross expenditure in any one year are subhead A1 (pension payroll for established scheme) and subhead A4 (lump sums paid under established scheme). Expenditure here is led by the number of established pensioners who join (and leave) the scheme each year. For 2020, the basis of the estimate for subhead A.4 was 1,785 retirements under the established scheme offset by deaths of 420.

Other drivers of expenditure are:
- the number of pay periods in the year - 26 in most years (some years have 27).
- changes to the pension rate of pay (for example changes to the Public Service Pension Reduction (PSPR) under FEMPI legislation) and pension increases.
- the number of established civil servants who will choose to retire having reached minimum voluntary retirement age (age 60 generally, or age 50 in the case of Prison Officers).
- the number of deaths (including the number of existing retirees whose deaths may result in a spouses’ pension becoming payable)
- the average lump sum/pension payable to each new cohort of retirees (determined by the grading, years of reckonable service and date of entry to the public service of such retirees)
While there is payroll cost in subhead A2, the cost remains reasonably stable from year to year. Subhead A2 is pensions to widows and children, and becomes payable on the death of the established pensioner. Entrants and exits to the scheme each year tend to cancel out. Subhead A5 is pensions and lump sums to unestablished officers. These pensions are integrated with the State pension, and therefore generally of a lower value. In addition, the total number of unestablished civil servants is low. However the total lump sum amount varies from year to year.

A variation in the numbers opting to retire and/or in the remuneration levels of the persons retiring can cause expenditure from the Vote to fluctuate significantly from one year to the next. The pensions of unestablished staff, and of established staff who entered the civil service after 6 April, 1995, are integrated with the State pension.

Under current arrangements, it is projected that the gross rate of increase in Vote 12 will be of the order of approx. 6% per annum, with a net decrease of 4% per annum. If single scheme receipts are excluded, the expenditure on the vote is expected to show a net rate of increase of 7%. In the short to medium term, it is projected that the gross cost of civil service pensions will continue to increase because of the numbers of those recruited in the 1970s who are reaching retirement age. However, this phenomenon will tail off over the medium to long term. Also, the integration of pensions with the State pension, which was introduced in 1995, is already starting to have a dampening effect on the cost to Vote 12 of the average pension.

It is difficult to predict the level of retirements in any one year. Established staff who joined the civil service before 2004 can choose to retire having reached minimum voluntary retirement age (age 60 generally, or age 50 in the case of Prison Officers). A forecast of numbers of staff reaching the minimum retirement age (60) in each year for a 10 year period shows that the demographics are such that the numbers eligible to retire will rise until at least 2021.

Figure 3: Civil Service Demographics Projection – Reaching Age 60 (Minimum Retirement Age for pre-2004 Joiners)
Section 3: Outcomes

The Vote for Superannuation and Retired Allowances covers pensions, superannuation, occupational injuries, and additional and other allowances and gratuities under the Superannuation Acts 1834 to 2004 and sundry other statutes; extra-statutory pensions, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of pension cases processed in year</td>
<td>2,438</td>
<td>2,508</td>
<td>2,656</td>
<td>2,783</td>
<td>2,777</td>
<td>2,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions in payment</td>
<td>21,794</td>
<td>22,856</td>
<td>23,915</td>
<td>25,190</td>
<td>26,364</td>
<td>28,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4: Future Policy Challenges

Pension Funding

Civil service pensions are funded on a pay as you go basis which means that the costs are met out of the current tax receipts. The possibility of creating a fund for this purpose arises from time to time. Part of the rationale for the National Pension Reserve Fund was to have a fund available to meet the future cost of public service pensions. Considerations to be borne in mind include that a diversion of Appropriations in Aid in order to create a fund to meet the future cost of civil service pensions would mean that those Appropriations in Aid would no longer be available to defray current costs. Moreover, consideration would need to be given as to whether an Employer contribution would also be directed to such a fund.

While there is a risk distribution argument in favour of creating a fund to meet or partially meet the cost of public service pensions in the future, a proposal to create a fund to cover the entire cost of public service pensions would require careful consideration.

Single Scheme receipts and expenditure

The unit has prepared a vote management report in which it has outlined a number of options for the accounting of receipts and expenditure relating to the Single Scheme. At present, all receipts for the Single Scheme are lodged to Vote 12 as an Appropriation in Aid.
Office of Public Works

Summary

- Total voted expenditure by the Office of Public Works (OPW) amounted to €4,108m from 2010 to 2019. In 2019 total gross voted expenditure was €455m.
- Flood Risk Management totalled €778m from 2010-2019.
- Estate Management totalled €3,314m from 2010-2019.
- OPW expenditure represents 0.71 % of total voted expenditure from 2010-2019

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Figure 1: OPW (Vote 13) Long Term Trends 2010-2019, €m

- Total voted expenditure on the Office of Public Works equalled €4,108m from 2010 to 2019.
- Vote 13 is comprised of two programme areas; Flood Risk Management and Estate Management.
Programme A: The Flood Risk Management programme comprises a significant component of voted expenditure of €778m from 2010-2019. This includes current expenditure of €285m and capital expenditure €493m incurred across the period. Programme expenditure includes spending on flood risk management principally through a planned programme of flood relief schemes, drainage maintenance, purchase of plant and machinery and hydrometric and hydrological operations and investments.

Programme B: Estate Management comprises the largest share of voted expenditure with Th€2,461m current expenditure and capital expenditure of €853m from 2010-2019. A total programme spend of €3,314m from 2010-2019.

Programme expenditure includes rents, new works, alterations and additions, property maintenance and supplies, unitary payments (National Convention Centre), heritage services, service charges and utilities and purchase of sites and buildings.

Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

1. Flooding

In 2011, the Government identified 300 areas at potentially significant risk from flooding. Together these areas account for 80% of Ireland's potential flood risk from rivers and seas, the primary source of flooding in Ireland. As part of the Catchment Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) programme agreed in 2018, the OPW has delivered 29 Flood Risk Management Plans and flood maps for the flood risk in each of the areas that will support
planning and emergency response management. There are 150 flood relief capital projects, including CFRAM plans and schemes at different stages of advancement.

The Government has committed €940m to flood defences in the period from 2018 to 2027 as part of the National Development Plan. There are currently 92 schemes being progressed at the moment, including 60 projects from the first phase of the Flood Risk Management Plans. The number being progressed includes 31 small projects under €1m in individual cost terms. As well as the National Flood Plan, the Programme for Government made commitments regarding national flood forecasting, flood response, and other non-structural responses such as individual property protection and voluntary property relocation.

**Figure 3: Vote 13 Total Flood Risk Management Programme Expenditure 2010-2019, €m**

![Graph showing the expenditure from 2010 to 2019 for different categories of flood risk management.

**Figure 4: Vote 13 Total Flood Risk Management Expenditure 2010-2019, €m**

![Graph showing the expenditure from 2010 to 2019 for different categories of flood risk management.
Flood risk management is the largest component of expenditure incurring, €483m across the period of 2010 to 2019.

Drainage maintenance is the second largest driver of expenditure with €159m incurred across the period 2010 to 2019.

2. Estate Management

Expenditure on office accommodation (both owned and leased) has been driven by growing demands for public sector accommodation following such matters as the move from the Employment Control Framework to Delegated Sanction Arrangement in 2015, as well as energy efficiency commitments. There are also ongoing supply-side pressures due to the lack of available office accommodation in urban centres, particularly the Central Business District in Dublin which has impacted on costs. **At present there are several potential outcomes that could affect the size of the office accommodation portfolio arising out of the Covid19 pandemic and possible changes to the “where” and the “how” the civil service will work into the future.**

The OPW is also responsible for the conservation, maintenance and upkeep of some 800 national monuments and other heritage properties in State care. Guided visitor services are provided at up to 70 OPW managed heritage sites on either a fulltime (26) or seasonal (44) basis. The management role also includes curation and presentation of 30 major historical properties, gardens and arboreta and significant collections of art, historical objects, plants and trees. The Tourism Capital Development Investment Programme 2016 - 2021, jointly managed by the OPW, Fáilte Ireland, and the Department of Culture Heritage and the Gaeltacht (DCHG), aims to develop visitor-facing infrastructure at heritage sites in State care and to improve certain facilities where they already exist. Investment by Fáilte Ireland is restricted to a maximum of 75% per project, with the balance paid currently by the OPW.

**Figure 5: Vote 13 Current Expenditure by Function 2010-2019, €m**

---

37. Capital investment in the Heritage estate is primarily a matter for DCHG in the first instance with funding routed through the DCHG to the OPW to carry out specific agreed projects.
Rents is the largest expenditure on the programme, accounting for €997m from 2010 to 2019.

New works, alterations and additions is the second largest expenditure on the programme, accounting for €586m from 2010 to 2019.
Section 3: COVID19 and Other Future Policy Challenges

COVID19

The COVID-19 pandemic and measures adopted by governments worldwide to address it have had an immediate and significant effect on the world economy. Concerns about infection are likely to remain in the short to medium term, and offices will have to be adapted to facilitate staff, there may also be an impact on capital investment, and the future requirements for office accommodation.

Delivery on the Flood Response Programme

The scale of the Flood Risk Management programme is unprecedented in the history of the State and its implementation within the 10 year timescale of the NDP presents considerable challenges. In addition to the 46 flood relief schemes already completed, there are 61 major schemes at various stages of delivery throughout the country, 90 of which are at construction.

In 2018, 29 Flood Risk Management Plans (FRMPs) were published, which arose from the National Catchment-based Flood Risk Assessment and Management (CFRAM) studies, which identified 118 new projects for implementation. As part of the first phase, 60 projects are currently being advanced in association with the Local Authorities.

State Rents

State Rents is the largest item of expenditure by the OPW, representing €91m in 2019 or 20% of total voted expenditure of €455.

Capital Projects

The OPW is charged with delivery of many building projects, including some of significant scale and value, on behalf of, and with capital funding from, other Departments and Agencies, in addition to those delivered under OPW’s own voted allocations.

The OPW in conjunction with DPER are undertaking an analysis on state property expenditure (leasing/buying/building) as part of the 2020 Spending Review. The findings and recommendations arising from this report should inform and develop policy in this expenditure area going forward. This is particularly important in the context of COVID-19.

Heritage

The scale and consistency of the increase in visitor numbers to OPW-managed sites over the reference period is considerable. Admissions to the more prominent guided sites in particular have increased sharply in recent years and total recorded admissions to managed sites have effectively more than doubled in the last 5 years.
Corporate Bodies Unit

Summary

The Corporate Bodies Unit manages five Votes within the PER Group:

1. State Laboratory
2. Secret Service
3. Public Appointments Service
4. National Shared Services Office (NSSO)
5. Office of the Ombudsman

- Gross Voted Expenditure for the Corporate Bodies Votes was €84,584m in 2019, an increase of 245% from 2010 levels which is largely due to the establishment and gradual expansion of the NSSO during this time, and NSSO expenditure accounted for over 56% of the total 2019 expenditure for these five Votes.
- Most of the Votes listed above provide shared services to clients in the civil and public service, or services to the public.
- Greater levels of public sector recruitment since the end of the moratorium in public sector recruitment has led to increased demand for services from the Public Appointments Service.
- Higher numbers of civil and public servants also results in higher levels of transactions to be processed by the NSSO, and in addition the NSSO has grown exponentially over the period 2010-2019.
- The services provided by the Office of the Ombudsman have expanded to cover new areas over the years, e.g. to include those living in Direct Provision and private nursing homes.
- Legislative developments have also led to more public bodies coming within the scope of Freedom of Information, and changes to the FOI fees structure have led to an exponential increase in FOI requests. This has an impact on the number of appeals being submitted to the Office of the Information Commissioner (which is funded under the Office of the Ombudsman Vote).
Vote 14 – State Laboratory

Figure 1: State Laboratory Gross Voted Expenditure 2008-2019, €000s

- Gross Voted Expenditure of €10,830 in 2019, which is an increase of 17.79% from the 2010 allocation – and this Vote does not have a capital budget.
- The Laboratory provide services to clients in the public sector, and main clients include the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine, the Office of the Revenue Commissioners, the Coroner’s Service and the Health Products Registry Authority.
- The State Laboratory achieved high levels of customer satisfaction with the quality and timeliness of the service provided.
- The 2019 output target for analyses testing is 102% higher than 2012 levels, while the number of samples tested is 5% higher.
- Statements issued to assist the Courts, including Coroners, rose by over 20% between 2012 and 2019.

Vote 15 – Secret Service

Figure 1: Secret Service Gross Voted Expenditure 2008-2019, €000s

- Expenditure in 2019 of €1,100m was 89.66% higher than 2010 levels.
The PAS Gross Voted Expenditure of €14,764m in 2019 was 88% higher than 2010 levels.

In 2019 PAS initiated 377 Recruitment Campaigns, held 14,401 interviews and made 9433 assignments to fill vacancies.

The level of 2019 assignments to fill vacancies was 292% higher than the corresponding levels in 2012, and 440% higher than 2011 levels.

The increased activity and level of expenditure is reflective of an increased demand for recruitment services from public sector clients, which increased rapidly following the moratorium in public sector recruitment in 2015.

PAS did not have a capital allocation for most of the period 2010-2019, but secured a capital allocation of €0.635m in 2017, which rose to €1.7m in 2019 – an increase of 168%.

While this capital increase comes from a very low base, it does reflect recent investment in PAS’ offices in Chapter House, and plans to upgrade its IT recruitment platform, both projects being multiannual in nature.

COVID-19 has impacted PAS activities in 2020, and will likely continue to do so for the foreseeable. Face to face interviews have been replaced by video interviews. Online testing was developed to replace computer based testing that would traditionally have taken place as PAS’ offices.

Additional recruitment campaigns were initiated on behalf of the HSE, and PAS took responsibility for the temporary reassignment process.

An Innovation Steering Committee was established to provide structured governance and guidance for the innovations that have been implemented in recent months to maintain services during COVID-19, which may have implications on service delivery into the future.
The National Shared Service Office (NSSO) became an independent established office in 2018, but a Vote has existed since 2013.

Gross Voted Expenditure of €47,479m in 2019 was 203% higher than 2013 levels, which reflects the expansion of NSSO’s business as the HR Shared Service and the Payroll Shared Service became operational.

The Financial Management Shared Services Project is also in process and when operational will reflect the next phase of growth in the NSSO business model.

NSSO expenditure has typically been significantly behind profile on an annual basis each year since the Vote was established in 2013, which have ranged from 8-43% from year to year during this period.

This trend of underspending has been reflective of the phased expansion of shared services for the majority of this timeframe, when project delivery has often taken longer than originally envisaged.

An underspend of 15% in 2019 reflected the delayed rollout of the FMSS Project, and this trend is expected to continue in 2020.

The NSSO have reported approximately €0.5m in COVID related expenditure in 2020, €0.4m of which related to IT costs and €0.1m of which related to facilities costs.
o The Office of the Ombudsman Vote incorporates the Office of the Ombudsman but also incorporates the Office of the Information Commissioner, the Standards in Public Office Commission, the Commission for Public Service Appointments and the Commissioner for Environmental Information.

o Gross Voted Expenditure of €10,411m in 2019 was 50% higher than 2010 levels.

o This is entirely current expenditure – the Vote does not have a capital allocation.

o There has been a steady increase for services from the public in recent years due to a range of factors, but mainly due to the expansion of the remit of the Ombudsman to cover additional areas such as private nursing homes and direct provision.

o Changes to FOI legislation has also significantly increased the level of FOI applications, and subsequently the number of appeals being submitted to the Office of the Information Commissioner.

o The Commission for Public Service Appointments (CPSA) was a separate Vote until the end of 2012, after which it became part of the Office of the Ombudsman corporate structure. Prior to this change the CPSA Vote had allocation of 0.8m, which was approximately 8% of the total Ombudsman Vote at that time.
The Government has committed to lead the Procurement Reform Programme (“PRP”) bringing procurement policy and operations together and focusing on building procurement capacity and capability across the public service. The Office of Government Procurement (OGP) has centralised policy, strategy and operations in one body leading to a coherent and consistent approach to public procurement.

The aim of the Procurement Reform Programme is to develop procurement capacity and capability across the public service. Some of the core outputs and services to be provided by OGP in 2020 are:

- To deliver improved procurement capability in the public service which will yield financial, performance and risk management benefits to the State
- To further develop, implement and action medium term strategy for construction procurement
- To further develop the overarching policy framework for public procurement in Ireland including promoting social and environmental considerations
- To support Future Jobs Ireland and the Climate Action Plan through focused procurement policy initiatives
- To continue to enhance the supports to promote SME access to public procurement
- To publish and deliver Schedule of Contracts and Frameworks giving advance notice to Public Service Bodies (PSB’s) and the supply markets of planned tenders
- To create awareness and encourage uptake of procurement frameworks and contracts
- To publish a 2018 Public Service Spend and Tendering Analysis report
- To operate a customer service helpdesk to support supplier and buyer procurement needs nationally
- To support the development of a new national eTenders system.
To deliver **Commercial Skills training**, including procurement elements, across the public sector through the OGP’s Commercial Skills Academy.

## Section 3: COVID19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

- COVID-19 may have a continued impact for the administrative costs for all Votes into the future, however the majority of costs in 2020 appear to have involved IT expenditure to enable working from home.
- COVID-19 has had a significant impact on recruitment campaigns run by PAS, such as the need for remote assessment, but also in terms of HSE recruitment and the transfer scheme that was organised for public servants.
- PAS will be impacted by any increased demand for posts associated by either Brexit or COVID, an campaigns for additional veterinary inspectors, agricultural officers, clerical port inspectors etc. took place in 2019, with more campaigns possible based upon the requirements of their clients.
- The **State Laboratory** will also likely to be impacted by Brexit, as a significant increase in tariff classification advice will be required by Revenue in the event of a no-deal Brexit, which would place a significant challenge to the Laboratory due to the complex nature of the work, and the large volume of advice expected.
- The State Laboratory have also advised that additional veterinary residue testing currently conducted in the UK for the D/Agriculture will have to be conducted by the State Laboratory following Brexit, which will represent additional work for the laboratory, which will require investment in equipment and personnel.
- **OGP** has published Covid-19 information notes to support contracting authorities in managing procurements where urgency is required.
- Updates published on construction procurement and contractual matters associated with the Covid-19 response measures during the period of shutdown.
- Guidance issued on pre-start preparation and re-starting public works contracts with further guidance to issue on tendering and award.
- OGP developed a website (gov.ie/covidsupplies) to capture the many offers of support being made by business to assist Ireland’s response to COVID-19.
- Government Departments and key Agencies – including the Brexit Unit in DPER – have been preparing for Brexit for four years now – and will continue to do so, through extensive contingency planning and stakeholder engagement.
- For its part, OGP has been addressing the implications of Brexit through its governance structures and via proactive stakeholder engagement including through forums such as the SME Advisory Group.
- OGP has updated its Information Note on Brexit and Public Procurement twice in 2019 (June & October) to take account of developments.
- The OGP has assessed the Framework Agreements (FWA) it has put in place to identify the risks associated with a no-deal Brexit.
- OGP has been discussing the legal implications of Brexit with the CSSO.
• The Chief Procurement Officer wrote to Government Departments in September 2019 reminding them to identify and manage any potential risks and to put in place the necessary detailed contingency plans.
• OGP has requested Industry Bodies on SME Advisory Group to bring Government’s support initiatives to the attention of their members.
• The OGP continues to monitor the situation and will issue new guidance as appropriate.
**Rural & Community Development**  
Paul Kilkenny and Tina Hayes

**Summary**
- The Department of Rural and Community Development was established with effect from 26 July 2017.
- Current and capital combined voted expenditure for the Department of Rural and Community Development increased from €136m in 2017 to €291m in 2019, an increase of 114%.
- The Rural and Community Development group represented 0.43% of total voted expenditure in 2019.

**Section 1: Short-Term Trends**

**Figure 1: Vote 42 Short Term Trends: Total Expenditure – Current and Capital 2017-2019, €m**

- Total voted expenditure on Rural and Community Development amounted to €664m for the three years from 2017 to 2019.
- A large proportion of current expenditure on rural and community development is in the form of grants, in many cases to local authorities and also through schemes administered by Pobal on behalf of the Department on community development schemes such as SICAP, the Community Services Programme, SSNOs and the Seniors Alert Scheme.

---

38 The Community Services Programme transferred from Vote 37 (D/Employment Affairs and Social Protection) to Vote 42 (D/Rural and Community Development) with effect from 01/01/2018. The programme amount of €46.19m, with respect to 2018, is reflected in figure 1.
Programme A: Capital spending focused primarily on the Rural Development and Regional Affairs programme. €242m million was invested in the period 2017-2019 in a range of capital schemes including LEADER, the Rural Regeneration and Development Fund, Outdoor Recreation Infrastructure Fund, Town and Village Renewal, Broadband and the CLÁR programme. An additional €38 million was invested in current programmes which included supporting the work of the Western Development Commission, the walks programme and other rural supports.

Programme B: Current spending has been directed more to community development, with €323 million invested 2017-2019 supporting the Social Inclusion and Community Activation
Programme (SICAP), the Community Services Programme (CSP) and other supports for the community and voluntary sectors. An additional €49 million was spent on community capital programmes including Library Development, the Community Enhancement Programme (CEP) and the Peace Programme and other community support measures such as the Dublin North East Inner City Programme and Social Enterprise supports. Programme B constitutes the largest spend on the vote.

**Programme C:** The Charities Regulatory Authority (CRA) is ensuring the development and operation of effective regulation of the charities sector. It is the smallest component of expenditure for the Department totalling €12m of current expenditure between 2017 and 2019.

**Section 2: Expenditure Drivers**

Unlike other areas of expenditure, changes in allocation or actual spend in this area are not primarily driven by demographics or service demand. The key expenditure drivers are Government policy including the Programme for a Partnership Government, Project Ireland 2040, the National Development Plan and EU programmes.

Other strategies on the Community Development side have recently been published and will guide the allocation of resources include the ambitious 5 year strategy Sustainable, Inclusive and Empowered Communities as well as the National Social Enterprise Strategy for Ireland 2019-2022. DRCD is currently developing the next phase of rural development policy to succeed the Action Plan for Rural Development.

**Figure 4: Programme A – Rural Development & Regional Affairs Current Expenditure 2017 – 2019, €000s**
SICAP is the largest component of current expenditure, with a total outturn of €129m between 2017-2019.

The Community Services Programme is the second largest current expenditure on the Vote in 2018 with total programme expenditure of €87m.\(^\text{39}\)

\(^{39}\) Responsibility for CSP moved to Department in 2018, annual spend on a par with SICAP
Figure 6: Programme A – Rural Development & Regional Affairs Capital Expenditure 2017 – 2019, €000s

Figure 7: Programme B – Community Development Capital Expenditure 2017 – 2019, €000s
LEADER (A.5) accounts for the largest component of capital expenditure on the Vote. Total expenditure amounted to €84m from 2017-2019.

Rural Supports accounts for the second largest component of capital expenditure, totalling €48m from 2017-2019.

Section 3: COVID 19 & Future Policy Challenges

COVID Pressures

DRCD is playing a crucial role in providing supports to communities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic through the Community Call and other supports. The COVID-19 Community Call Forum established by each local authority works with State agencies and community and voluntary groups to provide supports or services to any vulnerable person who needs them.

- The primary aim of the recently announced €35m Stability Fund, resourced from the Dormant Accounts Fund, is to assist community and voluntary, charity and social enterprises who are experiencing financial difficulties due to a reduction in their fundraising income and/or traded income as a direct result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

- €5m in additional matching funding for 2020 has been provided for ‘Innovate Together’. This time limited philanthropic fund is a collaboration between Government, philanthropists and social innovators. It will focus on supporting innovative responses to the Covid-19 crisis.

- €3.5m has been repurposed, or reallocated from existing resources, by the Department to support increased demand for a number of community support schemes.

National Development Plan

One of the National Strategic Outcomes of the National Development Plan is to bring about strengthened rural economies and communities. As part of this, €1bn is committed to the Rural Regeneration and Development Fund between 2018 and 2027 to promote rural renewal in order to enable towns, villages and outlying rural areas to grow sustainably and support delivery of the strategic objectives of the National Planning Framework. Proposals supported under the Fund must demonstrate additionality, value for money, and a sustainable impact on social or economic development of rural communities through measurable outputs. This is complemented by an Urban Regeneration and Development Fund, under the responsibility of the Department of Housing, Planning, and Local Government.

In the period 2018 - 2022, €315m is committed to the Rural Regeneration and Development Fund, €225m for LEADER, and €166m for other capital schemes, including the town and village renewal scheme, rural recreation schemes, Local Improvement Schemes, library development, and PEACE.

EU programmes
Expenditure on programmes part-funded by the EU (LEADER & Programme for Peace and Reconciliation) are dependent both on Ireland’s allocation and on the interest of individuals and organisations to apply, as well as the ability of organisations to apply for funding.

Rural Development Policy
Since 2017, the Action Plan for Rural Development has been delivering a wide range of measures across Departments and agencies to support job creation, invest in town and village renewal and improve the delivery of services in rural areas, taking account of the ever-changing nature of our society.

It is estimated that 50% of the population live in settlements of fewer than 10,000 people and that rural-based enterprises support hundreds of thousands of jobs and contribute significantly to our national economy. Rural communities are also important guardians of our heritage, culture and natural environment. It is important that we ensure that people living in rural areas have reasonable access to services if they are to continue to live and work in rural Ireland.

DRCD is currently developing the next phase of rural policy to succeed the Action Plan for Rural Development. The new policy will reflect a whole-of-Government commitment to a range of matters impacting on rural Ireland.

Community Development Policy
Sustainable, Inclusive and Empowered Communities is Government’s five-year strategy to support the community and voluntary sector in Ireland 2019-2024. This Strategy recognises the importance of the community and voluntary sector to a healthy, just and prosperous society, and it sets out how Government will support the sector in the years to come. It builds upon the Government’s 2016 Framework Policy for Local and Community Development, serving as an implementation plan for that policy, and it meets the Programme for a Partnership Government commitment to develop a strategy to support the community and voluntary sector. It has been co-produced by national and local government in collaboration with the community and voluntary sector, and it is underpinned by shared principles and values that guided its development. It includes an ambitious range of actions that will ensure communities are served by robust and sustainable community and voluntary organisations.
Summary

The Taoiseach Group of Votes consists of the following votes;

- Vote 1 – President’s Establishment
- Vote 2 – Department of The Taoiseach
- Vote 3 – Office of the Attorney General
- Vote 4 – Central Statistics Office
- Vote 5 – Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions
- Vote 6 – Office of the Chief State Solicitor

Total voted expenditure for this Departmental Group 2010-2019 is €1,654m (Gross). This review focusses on the expenditure of the Department of the Taoiseach and the Central Statistics Office (CSO).

The primary function of the Department of the Taoiseach is to support the Taoiseach and Government in delivering on its programme of delivering a sustainable economy and a successful society. Over 50% of the non-pay portion of the Vote funds independent entities which have been established outside of any individual Department or Agency. The Department of the Taoiseach have a wide range of responsibilities under its programme for Government.

The CSO is an independent office under the aegis of the Department of the Taoiseach. The CSO is Ireland’s national statistical institute and is responsible for the production and oversight of the production of all official statistics for Ireland. The functions of the CSO, as set out in the Statistics Act, include “the collection, compilation, extraction and dissemination for statistical purposes of information relating to economic, social and general activities and conditions in the State”. The European Statistical System (ESS) has a significant impact on the CSO’s work programme, which comprises approximately 60% of the statistics produced annually, and which are legally required under European legislation.

The volume and nature of data now accessible and the technological and analytical capacity available positions the CSO to act as impartial compilers of information contextualising what is happening in our societies and economies, identifying drivers of change, pinpointing challenges and informing policy makers, the business community and society as a whole. Confidence in the quality and independence of official statistics is crucial as this information serves as an objective input to policy development, oversight and governance at national and international levels.

In 2019, salaries, wages and allowances accounted for 74% of the Gross Total Expenditure on Vote 4 – CSO.
Figure 1: Total Voted Taoiseach Group Expenditure, 2010 – 2019

Section 1: Long-Term Trends

Department of the Taoiseach

Figure 2: Expenditure on the Department of the Taoiseach 2010 – 2019, €m
Total Voted Expenditure on the Department of the Taoiseach equalled €232m within the period 2010-2019. With the exception of 2017, Pay accounts for over half of the Total Voted Expenditure within the period reflected in the above graph.

**Figure 3: Expenditure on the Department of the Taoiseach 2010 – 2019, €m**

The graph above illustrates the Gross Total Expenditure for Vote 2 – Department of The Taoiseach from 2010 – 2019.
Total Voted Expenditure on the Central Statistics Office equalled €521m within the period 2010-2019. Figure 4 above shows the cyclical nature of the CSO allocation, peaking each Census year (2011 and 2016) within the 10 year period.

**Section 2: Expenditure Drivers**

Expenditure requirements remain broadly constant year on year. However, the **Department of the Taoiseach** must remain responsive to the changing social, political and economic landscape.

A unique feature of the Department of the Taoiseach Voted Expenditure is the fact that over 50% of the non-pay portion of the Vote is monies utilised to run independent entities, which, of necessity, have been established outside of any individual Department or agency. These are entities established by the Government and the Houses of the Oireachtas, such as the National Economic and Social Development Office, Tribunals of Inquiry, Commissions of Investigation or Citizen Assembly-type entities which are each independent and generally Judge-led.

Following a Government Decision in May 2020, an additional €20m, which accounts for 57% of the non-pay portion of the Vote for 2020, was allocated to Department of the Taoiseach to co-ordinate and manage the whole-of-government Covid-19 communications campaign in 2020.
The focus of the Government’s public awareness programme to date has been to build mass awareness and understanding of Government information related to Covid-19 as quickly and efficiently as possible. This is in alignment with both World Health Organisation and European Centre for Disease Control advice, which recommends the provision of easily accessible information such as signs and symptoms of COVID-19, contact details of local health services, the population groups at risk, self-isolation, social distancing measures and travel advice.

The CSO demand for products and services are constantly increasing both nationally and internationally. The volume and nature of data now accessible and the technological and analytical capacity available positions the CSO to act as impartial compilers of information contextualising what is happening in our societies and economies, identifying drivers of change, pinpointing challenges and informing policy makers, the business community and society as a whole.

The European Statistical System (ESS) has a significant impact on the CSO’s work programme, which comprises approximately 60% of the statistics we produce annually, and which are legally required under European legislation.

The CSO is increasingly providing and facilitating data analysis (Covid-19 response) and new survey work or the incorporation of existing studies into its programme of official statistics, (Growing Up in Ireland survey from 2023 and a Sexual Violence Survey for Ireland).

COVID-19 has impacted on CSO’s statistical work programme in 2020 with pilots for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC - undertaken on behalf of the Department of Education and Skills) and the Sexual Violence Survey (SVS) both being postponed until 2021 along with the Household Budget Survey (HBS). Face to face interviewing had to be ceased with new technological solutions and mixed mode collection options being implemented. The impact on the progression of a traditional Census in 2021 is under continuous review. These various issues will all have implications for expenditure into 2021 and beyond.

Section 3: COVID19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

The need for CSO’s statistical and analytical expertise was made clear during the course of COVID-19 when the CSO provided data analysis to inform central government’s response to the pandemic. In response to the pandemic the CSO developed:

A C19 Data Research Hub to enable specialised experts (epidemiology and related subject matter experts) to collaborate with CSO teams in analysing sensitive health data sources in a safe environment to inform the Government response to the C19 pandemic

An information dashboard for the Department of the Taoiseach which tracks in real time the critical data which will provide evidence of a need to respond to certain trends rapidly as well as potential emerging issues which will need to be dealt with through recovery.
A National Covid-19 Data Hub on the GeoHive platform to allow for geospatial visualization, in collaboration with Ordnance Survey Ireland (OSI), the Department of Housing, Planning & Local Government (DHPLG) and the All Island Research Observatory (AIRO) in Maynooth University, along with Esri Ireland as technical partners.

A Covid-19 Information Hub on the CSO website. This is a cross office development that reports on the changing state of aspects of Ireland's economy and society since the COVID-19 outbreak and includes the outputs from the new surveys developed by CSO to capture the economic, business and social impact of the pandemic in a more real time way to help inform society and decision makers.

This work moved the CSO closer to producing real time data and it is likely that post Covid the demand for more real time or flash estimates will continue.

The CSO is also focusing on developing administrative data sources and on modernising how we collect, process and publish data. The CSO will continue to use technology to reduce respondent burden and to make its outputs accessible and user-friendly and advance the Office’s position as a leading national statistical institute.

Following a Government Decision in May, an additional €20m was allocated to Department of the Taoiseach to co-ordinate and manage the whole-of-government Covid-19 communications campaign for 2020. The focus of the Government’s public awareness programme to date has been to invest in media to build mass awareness and understanding of Government information related to the COVID-19 emergency response as quickly and efficiently as possible.

Given the importance of the information, both national and local media have been deployed to get the balance right between main national news sources, which help to communicate information which is important to all of Ireland, and local news sources, which resonate particularly strongly with local communities.

The campaign activity and corresponding media spend is being planned on a weekly basis based on two phases: May to the end of August and September to the end of the year with a mix of media presence and mediums as appropriate based on the public health requirements.

The Department of the Taoiseach’s Vote encompasses a wide range of responsibilities under its programme including responsibility for the system of Cabinet Committees covering a range of priority areas. The Programme for Government includes a Shared Island Unit, Commission on Future Media, and coordinating social dialogue while the Department plays an important role in other challenges including Brexit, economic recovery and climate action.
Transport, Tourism & Sport
Joseph O’Connell and Philip Maher

Summary

- Total gross expenditure by the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport reduced by €823 million from €3,175 million in 2009 to €2,352 million in 2019 (26%).

- However, total gross expenditure allocations have increased since 2013 by €652m from €1,700m to €2,352m in 2019 (38%).

- A significant increase in Capital of €10bn has been provided to DTTAS under the new National Development Plan (NDP) 2018-2027.

- The Department operates across five programme areas - Aviation, Land Transport, Maritime, Tourism and Sport, with 60% of expenditure allocated to Land Transport (covering Roads and Public Transport).

- The primary drivers of expenditure are economic growth, leading to pressures on the existing roads and public transport system, policy commitments in relation to roads, regional airports and sport and the transition to more sustainable transport systems.

Section 1: Long-Term Trends
The Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport was established in 2011. In the 2002 to 2011 period, the Department operated as the Department of Transport and prior to that, was known as the Department of Public Enterprise. The Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport operates across 5 main programme areas.

- **Aviation**: to maximise air transport connectivity with a safe, competitive, cost effective and sustainable aviation sector.

- **Land Transport**: to best serve the needs of society and the economy through safe, sustainable and competitive transport networks and services.

- **Maritime**: to facilitate safe and sustainable maritime transport and the delivery of emergency management services.

- **Sport**: to contribute to a healthier and more active society by promoting sports participation and by supporting high performance and the provision of sport facilities.

- **Tourism**: to support the tourism industry to grow in a sustainable way.

Over the period 2009 to 2019, gross expenditure (current and capital) decreased from €3,175 million in 2009 to €2,352 million in 2019, a decrease of €823 million or 26%. Figure 1 sets out the breakdown between current and capital expenditure for that period. Figures 2 and 3 set out the breakdown by programme area between current and capital respectively. **NOTE**: The
Department of Transport only became responsible for Tourism and Sport in 2011. It should also be noted that Land Transport is responsible for almost 95% of Capital Expenditure and 58% of Total Expenditure in 2019.

**Figure 1: Total Gross Expenditure 2009-2019, €m**

**Figure 2: Current Expenditure by Programme Area 2009-2019, €m**
Table 1: Gross Expenditure 2009-2019 by Programme Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aviation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>5,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>2,451</td>
<td>2,040</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>14,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,040</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>1,987</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>1,362</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>1,392</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>1,475</td>
<td>1,690</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>20,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total €m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>7,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>2,061</td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>1,313</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>15,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired Exp.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>3,174</td>
<td>2,739</td>
<td>2,347</td>
<td>2,050</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>1,799</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>1,835</td>
<td>1,818</td>
<td>2,054</td>
<td>2,352</td>
<td>23,596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 2: Expenditure Drivers

The main drivers of expenditure are the growth in the economy, policy direction (including PfG) and implementation and climate change adaptations and pay.

Economy and employment growth has created an increased demand on roads and public transport services. Demand is forecast to be at peak 2007 levels by 2020, with associated expenditure pressure for improved capacity.

There are a number of policy commitments driving expenditure, including increased expenditure on roads and public transport, together with regional airports and the Sports Capital Programme.

The transition to sustainable transport systems will impact on expenditure. This will include the potential for developing technology and alternative fuel sources including hybrid and full electric bus systems.

Roads

The road network in Ireland covers approximately 98,500km and accounts for 44% of gross expenditure. National Roads cover 5% of the road network and carry 45% of traffic.

- Motorways 1,224km
- National Primary Roads 1,515km
- National Secondary Roads 2,676km
- Regional & Local Roads 93,000km

Regional and Local Roads cover 95% of the road network and carry 55% of traffic.

National Roads development and maintenance expenditure and PPPs are managed by grants to Transport Infrastructure Ireland (TI). This sub-programme areas has seen a reduction of €1,063 million (73%) over the 2009-2019 period, as illustrated in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Expenditure on National Primary and Secondary Roads 2009-2019, €bn
Regional and Local Roads (Local Government Funded)
Regional and Local Roads accounts for 94% of the roads network and the primary responsibility for improvement and maintenance of regional and local roads rests with local authorities. Funding from the Department of Transport Tourism and Sport is intended to supplement contributions by local authorities from their own resources. Expenditure in this sub-programme area has increased by €32 million (7%) over the 2009-2019 period, as set out in Figure 5.
Up to 2018, funding for these roads came from the Local Government Fund, managed by the Department of Housing, Planning, Community and Local Government. From 2018 this funding is provided by the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport.

Figure 5: Regional and Local Road Grants 2009-2019, €m

Public and Sustainable Transport
Public and Sustainable Transport, as represented in Figure 6, is a sub-programme of the Land Transport programme, representing around 25% of gross expenditure. It provides funding for the development of public transport infrastructure and the improvement of railway safety.

The National Transport Authority (NTA) has responsibility for public transport infrastructure in the Greater Dublin Area. The Authority also administers the Regional Cities and Accessibility Grants Programmes on behalf of the Department, Accessibility; Regional Cities (Public Transport) Programme; Technical Assistance; heavy rail network maintenance and renewal; Luas/Metro; buses nationally; integration measures including Leap Card, RTPI and National Journey Planner in the Greater Dublin Area and regional cities. This subhead has reduced by €193 million (29%) in 2019 compared to the 2009 allocation.
Public Service Provision Payments.
Public Service Provision payments, a sub-programme of the Land Transport programme accounts for around 16% Gross Expenditure. Public Service Provision payments provides funding to two areas (1) Public Service Obligation (PSO) (2) Rural Transport, with over 95% of the funding to the Public Service Obligation (PSO). This funding is managed by National Transport Authority (NTA) who in turn provides funding to Dublin Bus, Bus Éireann, Iarnród Éireann and M&A Coaches Ltd. These four companies are tasked with providing socially necessary but financially unviable public transport services. As illustrated by Figure 7 below, this expenditure has reduced by €25million (8%) in the period 2009-2019.

Sports and Recreation Services programme
The two main sub-programmes in the Sports and Recreation Services Programme are:

1) Grants for sporting bodies which, since 2011, has an average spend of around 32% of the main programme. This provides grants for sports facilities to sports clubs and organisations and to voluntary and community organisations. Figure 8 shows an
increase in grants for sporting bodies in 2016-2018 reflecting the allocation of the Páirc Úi Chaoimh grant award of €30 million at €10 million per year 2016-2018.

2) Sports Ireland average spend, since 2011, is around 68% of the main programme, providing grants to National Governing Bodies of Sport; Local Sports Partnerships; International Carding Scheme; and support for other sporting bodies and institutions (Institute of Sport, Olympic Council of Ireland, etc.) It also provides support for specific Sport Ireland programmes such as High Performance Strategy; Anti-Doping Programme; Buntús Programme; Women in Sport Initiative; Go for Life; Irish Trails Strategy; Code of Ethics; and Research. It includes a subsidy to a subsidiary of Sport Ireland – National Sports Campus Development Authority NSCDA (Operations) Limited. The subsidiary is responsible for the day-to-day management and operation of the National Sports Campus sporting facilities. It also provides building management services for the office accommodation provided to NGBs on Campus. There was a decrease in the allocation from 2016 to 2017 (see Figure 8), as the National Indoor Arena (NIA) Phase I was completed in 2016. However, the NIA Phase II commenced in late 2017 and this has resulted in an increase in 2018.

There is also a sub-programme for swimming pools, with funding for grant aiding the provision of new and the refurbishment of local authority swimming pools.

Figure 8: Sports and Recreation Services Programme 2011-2019, €000s

Tourism Services Programme
The two main sub-programmes under the Tourism Service programme:

Fáilte Ireland
Fáilte Ireland, the National Tourism Development Authority, supports the tourism industry to sustain Ireland as a high-quality and competitive tourism destination. They provide a range of practical business supports to help tourism businesses better manage, market and sell their products and services and to develop experiences in line with Fáilte Ireland’s new experience brands (Wild Atlantic Way, Ireland’s Ancient East and Dublin). They also work with other state agencies and representative bodies, at local and national levels, to implement and champion
positive and practical strategies that will benefit Irish tourism and the Irish economy. They promote Ireland as a holiday destination through their domestic marketing campaign (DiscoverIreland.ie) and through the experience brands and also manage a network of nationwide tourist information centres that provide help and advice for visitors to Ireland. Fáilte Ireland receives around 42% of the total Tourism Service programme. Fáilte Ireland funding has increased by €6 million (9%) in the 2011 to 2019 period.

Tourism Ireland
Tourism Ireland is responsible for marketing the island of Ireland overseas as a holiday and business tourism destination. It was established as one of the “twelve areas of co-operation” under the framework of the Belfast Agreement of Good Friday 1998, to increase tourism to the island of Ireland and to support Northern Ireland to realise its tourism potential. Operating under the auspices of the North/South Ministerial Council through the Department for the Economy in Northern Ireland and the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport in Ireland, they work closely with the two tourist boards, Fáilte Ireland and Tourism NI, and with their partners in the tourism industry. Tourism Ireland, Tourism Marketing Fund and Tourism Product Development receives around 42% of the total Tourism Service programme and has had a €13 million (16%) increase in funding in the 2011-2019 period, see Figure 9 below.

Figure 9: Fáilte Ireland and Tourism 2011-2019, €000s

Pay: Transport Tourism and Sport 2011-2019
Figure 10. The Department of Transport Tourism and Sport’s overall gross pay has increased by €37m (54%). During the 2009-2019 period the departmental pay budget increased by €2 million (6%) over the 2009-2019 period. Pay for Non-commercial State Agencies in 2019 increased by €35 million, mainly as a result of the increase in staff in the Road safety Authority (RSA), the National Transport Authority (NTA) and Sports Ireland based on sanction given in 2018 for those agencies.
Figure 10: *Gross Departmental and Agency Pay 2009-2019, €m*

Staff

Figure 11 below details staff numbers for both the Department and Agencies between 2009 and 2019. The overall Full Time Equivalent staffing numbers increased from 1,103 in 2009 to 2,011 by 2019, an increase of 908 or 82%. Departmental staff increased from 534 in 2009 to 582 in 2019, an increase of 48 or 9%, while the Agencies staff increased from 569 in 2009 to 1,429 in 2019, an increase of 860 or 151%.

Figure 11: *DTTaS and Agencies Staff Analysis Numbers 2009-2019*
Section 3: Outcomes and Performance Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Airport Passenger Numbers</td>
<td>26m</td>
<td>22.6m</td>
<td>22.7m</td>
<td>22.8m</td>
<td>23.8m</td>
<td>25.5m</td>
<td>28.8m</td>
<td>31.8m</td>
<td>33.6m</td>
<td>35.7m</td>
<td>35.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of overseas visitors</td>
<td>6.9m</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>6.5m</td>
<td>6.5m</td>
<td>7m</td>
<td>7.6m</td>
<td>8.6m</td>
<td>9.5m</td>
<td>9.9m</td>
<td>10.6m</td>
<td>10.8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Investigation of Vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Coast Guard Helicopter Tasked</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>1,246</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi Complaints</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>1,310</td>
<td>1,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident deaths</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident injuries</td>
<td>9,742</td>
<td>8,270</td>
<td>7,235</td>
<td>7,942</td>
<td>6,880</td>
<td>8,079</td>
<td>7,840</td>
<td>7,710</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of privately owned cars</td>
<td>1.9m</td>
<td>1.8m</td>
<td>1.8m</td>
<td>1.8m</td>
<td>1.9m</td>
<td>1.9m</td>
<td>1.9m</td>
<td>2m</td>
<td>2m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of medals won at elite level</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 4: COVID-19, Brexit and Other Future Policy Challenges

1. COVID-19
Passenger numbers and associated revenue on public transport has collapsed since March 2020 due to people working from home or being temporarily laid off. This has resulted in the Public Service Obligation (PSO) funding being increased by 161% (460m max) €285m to €745m approx. in 2020 to compensate for passenger revenues earned by transport operators. Financial supports have also been given to ensure the viability of 5 essential shipping routes (€15m once off), to provide for repatriation and compensation of overseas travellers under an EU directive (€15m), and Sporting NGB’s (€70m).

2. 2021 Outlook
The ongoing public health advice will affect the ability of these sectors to return to normality. If social distancing continues into 2021, there is likely to be a demand for significant support for the Public Service Obligation (PSO) with some smaller level of funding also likely to be needed for overseas travellers and sporting NGB’s.
3. Brexit
Brexit presents challenges right across the Transport and Tourism sectors in the areas of aviation, road haulage, adequacy of infrastructure at ports and airports, supporting tourism sector, protecting the efficiency of the North-South rail and bus connections; certification and standards issues in the maritime sector, also the impacts on road haulage and other sectors. The tourism sector will need to be supported to enable it to mitigate immediate negative economic impacts and to accelerate market diversification though both overseas marketing initiatives and development of domestic tourism “product”, particularly in those regional and rural areas most likely to suffer the negative impacts most keenly.

4. Public Transport Post 2020
Pre Covid-19, Ireland was heading for an economy with almost full employment and expected increasing demand for Public Transport. Additional funding will be required for public transport to enhance services by bus, rail and tram. It will include elements of the DART Expansion Programme and constituent projects which will make it more efficient to operate trains that access or move within the Greater Dublin Area. It will be needed to progress the BusConnects programme and the MetroLink project. Also additional capacity on the Luas Green Line with the purchase of tram extensions is on-going.

5. Emissions-related Climate challenge
The enhancing of the capacity and quality of Public Transport because of the demand must be met, as far as possible and practical by greener public transport. The Dept. of Transport, Tourism and Sport have additional measures under Carbon Reduction Programme such as, the transition of a regional city bus fleet or potentially a bus fleet based at a specific bus depot to a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)/Biogas fuelled fleet, through a collaboration with the NTA. The Green Public Transport Fund will be used to fund the differential costs between the conventionally and alternatively fuelled vehicles and also to support the supporting charging/refuelling infrastructure. In relation to the national bus fleet, Dept. of Transport are no longer purchasing diesel-only buses for the urban public bus fleet since July 2019 which will result in air quality benefits especially where older buses are being replaced.

6. Evidence Base
In order to assess whether value for money is being attained, visibility needs to be achieved in conveying the essential improvements being funded. For example, upgrading roads are reducing travel time and improving safety. Increasing public transport and frequency will reduce the time spent in queues and commuting. The National Transport Authority (NTA) have the metrics needed to check the performance level being delivered and determine whether the public transport system is achieving its goals.